UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

No. 16 CR 170

v.

Magistrate Judge Mason

JEFFREY CHO

PLEA AGREEMENT

1. This Plea Agreement between the United States Attorney for the Northern District of Illinois, ZACHARY T. FARDON, and defendant JEFFREY CHO, and his attorney, DAMON CHERONIS, is made pursuant to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and is governed in part by Rule 11(c)(1)(B), as more fully set forth below. The parties to this Agreement have agreed upon the following:

Charge in This Case

- 2. The information in this case charges defendant with theft of property from the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2113(b).
- 3. Defendant has read the charge against him contained in the information, and that charge has been fully explained to him by his attorney.
- 4. Defendant fully understands the nature and elements of the crime with which he has been charged.

Charge to Which Defendant Is Pleading Guilty

5. By this Plea Agreement, defendant agrees to enter a voluntary plea of guilty to the information, which charges defendant with theft of property from the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2113(b).

Factual Basis

6. Defendant will plead guilty because he is in fact guilty of the charge contained in the information. In pleading guilty, defendant admits the following facts and that those facts establish his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt:

On or about May 18, 2015, at Chicago, in the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, defendant JEFFREY CHO did take and carry away, with the intent to steal and purloin, property of a value not exceeding \$1,000 belonging to, and in the care, custody, control, management, and possession of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, a banking institution organized and operating under the laws of the United States, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2113(b).

Specifically, from on or about March 21, 2011 through on or about May 26, 2015, defendant JEFFREY CHO was an employee of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago. CHO was a Senior Supervision Analyst, and had access to sensitive, proprietary, and valuable information belonging to the Federal Reserve Bank. Specifically, CHO had access to financial data and information relating to the Bank's responsibility to assess and monitor the health of certain financial

institutions in the United States. CHO was entrusted with this sensitive information in order to carry out his job responsibilities and duties. CHO was also provided training on numerous occasions about the need to maintain the confidentiality of this information, and on or about March 21, 2011, CHO executed a Code of Conduct agreement, which agreement stated that all bank material must be left at the Federal Reserve Bank when his employment ended.

In May 2015, CHO stole approximately 35 confidential documents from the Federal Reserve Bank. Specifically, on or about May 12, 2015, CHO accepted an employment offer at Company A. Prior to accepting this offer, on or about May 7, 2015, CHO printed one confidential Federal Reserve document from his work computer. After accepting this offer, on or about May 18, 2015, CHO printed 31 confidential Federal Reserve documents from his work computer, and on or about May 26, 2015, CHO printed 3 additional confidential Federal Reserve documents from his work computer. All of these documents were the property of the Federal Reserve Bank and contained sensitive, confidential, proprietary and valuable information belonging to the Federal Reserve Bank. The documents included financial data and information about the health of various United States financial CHO took these documents back to his residence and retained them. CHO knew these documents were confidential, were the property of the Federal Reserve Bank, and that he was not permitted to take these documents with him after he left his employment. On or about May 26, 2015, CHO resigned from the Federal Reserve Bank, effective that day. At that time, CHO still retained the confidential documents.

Moreover, in or about March 2015, CHO had previously printed out confidential documents while he was interviewing with, and after receiving an offer from, Company B. Those documents were also sensitive documents concerning the financial health of certain United States financial institutions.

On or about June 1, 2015, CHO was interviewed by agents from the Federal Bureau of Investigation. During this interview, CHO admitted that he printed out the 35 documents, but falsely stated that he had left them at the Federal Reserve Bank before his employment ended. On or about June 5, 2015, in a second interview with the FBI, CHO admitted that he took the confidential documents out of the Federal Reserve Bank and brought them to his residence. CHO also stated that he had shredded most of the documents after the FBI's first interview with him. CHO provided the FBI with four of the confidential documents, and recovered and turned over the bag with the remaining, shredded confidential documents to the FBI on or about June 6, 2015.

The Federal Reserve Bank incurred approximately \$34,609 of employee time from in or about May 2015 through in or about December 2015 to determine how much information CHO stole and the nature of the information that he stole.

The Federal Reserve Bank is a banking institution organized and operating under the laws of the United States within the meaning of Title 18, United States Code, Section 2113(f).

Maximum Statutory Penalties

- 7. Defendant understands that the charge to which he is pleading guilty carries the following statutory penalties:
- a. A maximum sentence of 1 year's imprisonment. This offense also carries a maximum fine of \$100,000. Defendant further understands that the judge also may impose a term of supervised release of not more than one year.
- b. In accord with Title 18, United States Code, Section 3013, defendant will be assessed \$25 on the charge to which he has pled guilty, in addition to any other penalty imposed.

Sentencing Guidelines Calculations

- 8. Defendant understands that in imposing sentence the Court will be guided by the United States Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory, not mandatory, but that the Court must consider the Guidelines in determining a reasonable sentence.
- 9. For purposes of calculating the Sentencing Guidelines, the parties agree on the following points:
- a. **Applicable Guidelines**. The Sentencing Guidelines to be considered in this case are those in effect at the time of sentencing. The following statements regarding the calculation of the Sentencing Guidelines are based on the Guidelines Manual currently in effect, namely the November 2015 Guidelines Manual.

b. Offense Level Calculations.

- i. The base offense level is 6, pursuant to Guideline § 2B1.1(a)(1).
- ii. The offense level is increased by 4 levels, pursuant to Guideline § 2B1.1(b)(1)(C) because the loss in this case is approximately \$34,609, which is more than \$15,000 but less than \$40,000.
- iii. The offense level is increased by 2 levels, pursuant to Guideline § 3B1.3, because the offense involved an abuse of private trust.
- iv. Defendant has clearly demonstrated a recognition and affirmative acceptance of personal responsibility for his criminal conduct. If the government does not receive additional evidence in conflict with this provision, and if defendant continues to accept responsibility for his actions within the meaning of Guideline § 3E1.1(a), including by furnishing the United States Attorney's Office and the Probation Office with all requested financial information relevant to his ability to satisfy any fine that may be imposed in this case, a two-level reduction in the offense level is appropriate.
- c. **Criminal History Category**. With regard to determining defendant's criminal history points and criminal history category, based on the facts now known to the government, defendant's criminal history points equal zero and defendant's criminal history category is I.
- d. Anticipated Advisory Sentencing Guidelines Range.

 Therefore, based on the facts now known to the government, the anticipated offense

level is 10, which, when combined with the anticipated criminal history category of I, results in an anticipated advisory sentencing guidelines range of 6 to 12 months' imprisonment, in addition to any supervised release and fine the Court may impose.

- e. Defendant and his attorney and the government acknowledge that the above guidelines calculations are preliminary in nature and based on facts known to the parties as of the time of this Agreement. Defendant understands that the Probation Office will conduct its own investigation and that the Court ultimately determines the facts and law relevant to sentencing, and that the Court's determinations govern the final guidelines calculation. Accordingly, the validity of this Agreement is not contingent upon the probation officer's or the Court's concurrence with the above calculations, and defendant shall not have a right to withdraw his plea on the basis of the Court's rejection of these calculations.
- f. Both parties expressly acknowledge that while none of the guidelines calculations set forth above are binding on the Court or the Probation Office, the parties have agreed pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B) that certain components of those calculations—specifically, those set forth above in subparagraph b of this paragraph—are binding on the parties, and it shall be a breach of this Agreement for either party to present or advocate a position inconsistent with the agreed calculations set forth in the identified subparagraphs.
- 10. Defendant understands that with the exception of the guidelines provisions identified above as binding on the parties, the guidelines calculations set forth above are non-binding predictions, upon which neither party is entitled to rely,

and are not governed by Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(c)(1)(B). Errors in applying or interpreting any of the sentencing guidelines (other than those identified above as binding) may be corrected by either party prior to sentencing. The parties may correct these errors either by stipulation or by a statement to the Probation Office or the Court, setting forth the disagreement regarding the applicable provisions of the guidelines. The validity of this Agreement will not be affected by such corrections, and defendant shall not have a right to withdraw his plea, nor the government the right to vacate this Agreement, on the basis of such corrections.

Agreements Relating to Sentencing

- 11. Each party is free to recommend whatever sentence it deems appropriate.
- 12. It is understood by the parties that the sentencing judge is neither a party to nor bound by this Agreement and may impose a sentence up to the maximum penalties as set forth above. Defendant further acknowledges that if the Court does not accept the sentencing recommendation of the parties, defendant will have no right to withdraw his guilty plea.
- 13. Defendant agrees to pay the special assessment of \$25 at the time of sentencing with a cashier's check or money order payable to the Clerk of the U.S. District Court.

Acknowledgments and Waivers Regarding Plea of Guilty

Nature of Agreement

- 14. This Agreement is entirely voluntary and represents the entire agreement between the United States Attorney and defendant regarding defendant's criminal liability in case 16 CR 170.
- 15. This Agreement concerns criminal liability only. Except as expressly set forth in this Agreement, nothing herein shall constitute a limitation, waiver, or release by the United States or any of its agencies of any administrative or judicial civil claim, demand, or cause of action it may have against defendant or any other person or entity. The obligations of this Agreement are limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Illinois and cannot bind any other federal, state, or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authorities, except as expressly set forth in this Agreement.

Waiver of Rights

- 16. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty he surrenders certain rights, including the following:
- a. **Trial rights**. Defendant has the right to persist in a plea of not guilty to the charge against him, and if he does, he would have the right to a public and speedy trial.
- i. The trial could be either a jury trial or a trial by the judge sitting without a jury. However, in order that the trial be conducted by the judge

sitting without a jury, defendant, the government, and the judge all must agree that the trial be conducted by the judge without a jury.

- ii. If the trial is a jury trial, the jury would be composed of twelve citizens from the district, selected at random. Defendant and his attorney would participate in choosing the jury by requesting that the Court remove prospective jurors for cause where actual bias or other disqualification is shown, or by removing prospective jurors without cause by exercising peremptory challenges.
- that defendant is presumed innocent, that the government has the burden of proving defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, and that the jury could not convict him unless, after hearing all the evidence, it was persuaded of his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. The jury would have to agree unanimously before it could return a verdict of guilty or not guilty.
- iv. If the trial is held by the judge without a jury, the judge would find the facts and determine, after hearing all the evidence, whether or not the judge was persuaded that the government had established defendant's guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- v. At a trial, whether by a jury or a judge, the government would be required to present its witnesses and other evidence against defendant.

 Defendant would be able to confront those government witnesses and his attorney would be able to cross-examine them.

- vi. At a trial, defendant could present witnesses and other evidence in his own behalf. If the witnesses for defendant would not appear voluntarily, he could require their attendance through the subpoena power of the Court. A defendant is not required to present any evidence.
- b. At a trial, defendant would have a privilege against selfincrimination so that he could decline to testify, and no inference of guilt could be drawn from his refusal to testify. If defendant desired to do so, he could testify in his own behalf.
- c. Waiver of appellate and collateral rights. Defendant further understands he is waiving all appellate issues that might have been available if he had exercised his right to trial. Defendant is aware that Title 28, United States Code, Section 1291, and Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742, afford a defendant the right to appeal his conviction and the sentence imposed. Acknowledging this, defendant knowingly waives the right to appeal his conviction, any pre-trial rulings by the Court, and any part of the sentence (or the manner in which that sentence was determined), including any term of imprisonment and fine within the maximums provided by law, in exchange for the concessions made by the United States in this Agreement. In addition, defendant also waives his right to challenge his conviction and sentence, and the manner in which the sentence was determined, in any collateral attack or future challenge, including but not limited to a motion brought under Title 28, United States Code, Section 2255. The waiver in this paragraph does not apply to a claim of involuntariness or ineffective assistance

of counsel, nor does it prohibit defendant from seeking a reduction of sentence based directly on a change in the law that is applicable to defendant and that, prior to the filing of defendant's request for relief, has been expressly made retroactive by an Act of Congress, the Supreme Court, or the United States Sentencing Commission.

17. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty he is waiving all the rights set forth in the prior paragraphs. Defendant's attorney has explained those rights to him, and the consequences of his waiver of those rights.

Presentence Investigation Report/Post-Sentence Supervision

- 18. Defendant understands that the United States Attorney's Office in its submission to the Probation Office as part of the Pre-Sentence Report and at sentencing shall fully apprise the District Court and the Probation Office of the nature, scope, and extent of defendant's conduct regarding the charge against him, and related matters. The government will make known all matters in aggravation and mitigation relevant to sentencing.
- 19. Defendant agrees to truthfully and completely execute a Financial Statement (with supporting documentation) prior to sentencing, to be provided to and shared among the Court, the Probation Office, and the United States Attorney's Office regarding all details of his financial circumstances, including his recent income tax returns as specified by the probation officer. Defendant understands that providing false or incomplete information, or refusing to provide this information, may be used as a basis for denial of a reduction for acceptance of responsibility pursuant to Guideline § 3E1.1 and enhancement of his sentence for

obstruction of justice under Guideline § 3C1.1, and may be prosecuted as a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001 or as a contempt of the Court.

20. For the purpose of monitoring defendant's compliance with his obligations to pay a fine during any term of supervised release or probation to which defendant is sentenced, defendant further consents to the disclosure by the IRS to the Probation Office and the United States Attorney's Office of defendant's individual income tax returns (together with extensions, correspondence, and other tax information) filed subsequent to defendant's sentencing, to and including the final year of any period of supervised release or probation to which defendant is sentenced. Defendant also agrees that a certified copy of this Agreement shall be sufficient evidence of defendant's request to the IRS to disclose the returns and return information, as provided for in Title 26, United States Code, Section 6103(b).

Other Terms

- 21. Defendant agrees to cooperate with the United States Attorney's Office in collecting any unpaid fine for which defendant is liable, including providing financial statements and supporting records as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.
- 22. Defendant understands that pursuant to Title 12, United States Code, Sections 1785(d) and 1829, his conviction in this case will prohibit him from directly or indirectly participating in the affairs of any financial institution insured by the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund or the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, except with the prior written consent of the National Credit Union

Administration Board or the FDIC and, during the ten years following his conviction, the additional approval of this Court. Defendant further understands that if he knowingly violates this prohibition, he may be punished by imprisonment for up to five years, and a fine of up to \$1,000,000 for each day the prohibition is violated.

23. Defendant understands that, if convicted, a defendant who is not a United States citizen may be removed from the United States, denied citizenship, and denied admission to the United States in the future.

Conclusion

- 24. Defendant understands that this Agreement will be filed with the Court, will become a matter of public record, and may be disclosed to any person.
- 25. Defendant understands that his compliance with each part of this Agreement extends throughout the period of his sentence, and failure to abide by any term of the Agreement is a violation of the Agreement. Defendant further understands that in the event he violates this Agreement, the government, at its option, may move to vacate the Agreement, rendering it null and void, and thereafter prosecute defendant not subject to any of the limits set forth in this Agreement, or may move to resentence defendant or require defendant's specific performance of this Agreement. Defendant understands and agrees that in the event that the Court permits defendant to withdraw from this Agreement, or defendant breaches any of its terms and the government elects to void the Agreement and prosecute defendant, any prosecutions that are not time-barred by

the applicable statute of limitations on the date of the signing of this Agreement

may be commenced against defendant in accordance with this paragraph,

notwithstanding the expiration of the statute of limitations between the signing of

this Agreement and the commencement of such prosecutions.

26. Should the judge refuse to accept defendant's plea of guilty, this

Agreement shall become null and void and neither party will be bound to it.

27. Defendant and his attorney acknowledge that no threats, promises, or

representations have been made, nor agreements reached, other than those set

forth in this Agreement, to cause defendant to plead guilty.

28. Defendant acknowledges that he has read this Agreement and

carefully reviewed each provision with his attorney. Defendant further

acknowledges that he understands and voluntarily accepts each and every term and

condition of this Agreement.

AGREED THIS DATE:		
ZACHARY T. FARDON United States Attorney	JEFFREY CHO Defendant	
SUNIL R. HARJANI Assistant U.S. Attorney	DAMON CHERONIS Attorney for Defendant	