1 BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney ANDRÉ M. ESPINOSA Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 3 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 4 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900 5 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America 7 8 9 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. 12 13 V. ERIC SHAFFER. 14 15 16 17 18 A. 19 20

FILED

JUN 3 0 2015

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO. 15-CR-0096-JAM

PLEA AGREEMENT

DATE: JUNE 30, 2015

9:00AM TIME:

COURT: HON, JOHN A. MENDEZ

Plaintiff.

Defendant.

T. INTRODUCTION

Scope of Agreement.

The Information in this case charges the defendant with violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 641 – Theft of Government Property (Counts One through Three). This document contains the complete plea agreement between the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of California (the "government") and the defendant regarding this case. This Plea Agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the Eastern District of California and cannot bind any other federal, state, or local prosecuting, administrative, or regulatory authorities.

В. Court Not a Party.

The Court is not a party to this Plea Agreement. Sentencing is a matter solely within the discretion of the Court, and the Court may take into consideration any and all facts and circumstances concerning the criminal activities of defendant, including activities which may not have been charged in

US v. Eric Shaffer Plea Agreement

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

 the Information. The Court is under no obligation to accept any recommendations made by the government, and the Court may in its discretion impose any sentence it deems appropriate up to and including the statutory maximum stated in this plea agreement.

If the Court should impose any sentence up to the maximum established by the statute, the defendant cannot, for that reason alone, withdraw his guilty plea and he will remain bound to fulfill all of the obligations under this plea agreement. The defendant understands that neither the prosecutor, defense counsel, nor the Court can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence he will receive.

C. <u>Timeliness and Offer Revocation.</u>

The disposition contemplated by this Plea Agreement is conditioned upon: (i) the original plea agreement being signed by the defendant and defense counsel and returned to counsel for the Government no later than the close of business on April 17, 2015; (ii) the defendant entering a guilty plea to the Information by approximately May 15, 2015; and (iii) the defendant not filing any substantive motions, including those described in Rule 12 of the Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure.

Further, in the event that the defendant seeks any delay of the disposition of this case to which the government objects, the government may, in its sole discretion, revoke the disposition offer contained in this Plea Agreement and the government shall be relieved of its obligation to perform in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.

II. <u>DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS</u>

A. Guilty Plea.

The defendant will waive indictment and plead guilty to Counts One through Three, which charge him with violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 641 – Theft of Government Property. The defendant agrees that he is in fact guilty of these charges and that the facts set forth in the Factual Basis for Plea attached hereto as Exhibit A are accurate.

The defendant agrees that this Plea Agreement will be filed with the Court and become a part of the record of the case. The defendant understands and agrees that he will not be allowed to withdraw his plea(s) should the Court not follow the government's sentencing recommendations.

The defendant agrees that the statements made by him in signing this Agreement, including the

factual admissions set forth in the factual basis, shall be admissible and useable against the defendant by the United States in any subsequent criminal or civil proceedings, even if the defendant fails to enter a guilty plea pursuant to this Agreement. The defendant waives any rights under Fed. R. Crim. P. 11(f) and Fed. R. Evid. 410, to the extent that these rules are inconsistent with this paragraph or with this Agreement generally.

1. Waiver of Indictment:

The defendant acknowledges that under the United States Constitution he is entitled to be indicted by a grand jury on the charges to which he is pleading guilty and that pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 7(b) he agrees to waive any and all rights he has to being prosecuted by way of Indictment to the charges set forth in the Information. The defendant agrees that at a time set by the Court, he will sign a written waiver of prosecution by Indictment and consent to proceed by Information rather than by Indictment.

B. Restitution.

The Mandatory Victim Restitution Act requires the Court to order restitution to the victims of certain offenses. The Mandatory Victim Restitution Act requires the Court to order restitution to the victims of certain offenses. The defendant agrees that the Court may order full restitution to any person who would qualify as a victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 3663 or 3663A. Further, the defendant agrees that the victim in this case is the United States government and agrees to pay restitution in the amount of: \$316,557.99, as ordered by the Court at sentencing. Payment shall be by cashier's or certified check made payable to the Clerk of the Court. Defendant further agrees that he will not seek to discharge any restitution obligation or any part of such obligation in any bankruptcy proceeding.

C. Fine.

The defendant agrees to pay a criminal fine as ordered by the Court. However, the government does not recommend imposition of a fine.

D. Special Assessment.

The defendant agrees to pay a special assessment of \$300 at the time of sentencing (comprised of \$100 per count of conviction), by delivering a check or money order payable to the United States

District Court to the United States Probation Office immediately before the sentencing hearing. The

__

defendant understands that this plea agreement is voidable at the option of the government if he fails to pay the assessment prior to that hearing. If the defendant is unable to pay the special assessment at the time of sentencing, he agrees to earn the money to pay the assessment, if necessary by participating in the Inmate Financial Responsibility Program.

E. Violation of Plea Agreement by Defendant/Withdrawal of Plea(s).

If the defendant, cooperating or not, violates this plea agreement in any way, withdraws his plea, or tries to withdraw his plea, this plea agreement is voidable at the option of the government. The government will no longer be bound by its representations to the defendant concerning the limits on criminal prosecution and sentencing as set forth herein. One way a cooperating defendant violates the plea agreement is to commit any crime or provide any statement or testimony which proves to be knowingly false, misleading, or materially incomplete. Any post-plea conduct by a defendant constituting obstruction of justice will also be a violation of the agreement. The determination whether the defendant has violated the plea agreement will be under a probable cause standard.

If the defendant violates the plea agreement, withdraws his plea, or tries to withdraw his plea, the government shall have the right (1) to prosecute the defendant on any of the counts to which he pleaded guilty; (2) to reinstate any counts that may be dismissed pursuant to this plea agreement; and (3) to file any new charges that would otherwise be barred by this plea agreement. The defendant shall thereafter be subject to prosecution for any federal criminal violation of which the government has knowledge, including perjury, false statements, and obstruction of justice. The decision to pursue any or all of these options is solely in the discretion of the United States Attorney's Office.

By signing this plea agreement, the defendant agrees to waive any objections, motions, and defenses that the defendant might have to the government's decision. Any prosecutions that are not time-barred by the applicable statute of limitations as of the date of this plea agreement may be commenced in accordance with this paragraph, notwithstanding the expiration of the statute of limitations between the signing of this plea agreement and the commencement of any such prosecutions. The defendant agrees not to raise any objections based on the passage of time with respect to such counts including, but not limited to, any statutes of limitation or any objections based on the Speedy Trial Act or the Speedy Trial Clause of the Sixth Amendment to any counts that were not time-barred as

2

3

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 of the date of this plea agreement.

In addition, (1) all statements made by the defendant to the government or other designated law enforcement agents, or any testimony given by the defendant before a grand jury or other tribunal, whether before or after this plea agreement, shall be admissible in evidence in any criminal, civil, or administrative proceedings hereafter brought against the defendant; and (2) the defendant shall assert no claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, or any other federal rule, that statements made by the defendant before or after this plea agreement, or any leads derived therefrom, should be suppressed. By signing this plea agreement, the defendant waives any and all rights in the foregoing respects.

F. Asset Disclosure.

The defendant agrees to make a full and complete disclosure of his assets and financial condition, and will complete the United States Attorney's Office's "Authorization to Release Information" and "Financial Affidavit" within five (5) weeks from the entry of the defendant's change of plea. The defendant also agrees to have the Court enter an order to that effect. The defendant understands that this plea agreement is voidable at the option of the government if the defendant fails to complete truthfully and provide the described documentation to the United States Attorney's office within the allotted time.

III. THE GOVERNMENT'S OBLIGATIONS

Α. Recommendations.

1. Incarceration Range.

The government will recommend that the defendant be sentenced to the low end of the applicable guideline range as determined by the Court at sentencing.

> 2. Acceptance of Responsibility.

The government will recommend a two-level reduction (if the offense level is less than 16) or a three-level reduction (if the offense level reaches 16) in the computation of his offense level if the defendant clearly demonstrates acceptance of responsibility for his conduct as defined in U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1. This includes the defendant meeting with and assisting the probation officer in the preparation of the pre-sentence report, being truthful and candid with the probation officer, and not otherwise engaging

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

in conduct that constitutes obstruction of justice within the meaning of U.S.S.G § 3C1.1, either in the preparation of the pre-sentence report or during the sentencing proceeding.

B. Use of Information for Sentencing.

The government is free to provide full and accurate information to the Court and Probation, including answering any inquiries made by the Court and/or Probation and rebutting any inaccurate statements or arguments by the defendant, his attorney, Probation, or the Court. The defendant also understands and agrees that nothing in this Plea Agreement bars the government from defending on appeal or collateral review any sentence that the Court may impose.

Further, other than as set forth above, the government agrees that any incriminating information provided by the defendant during his cooperation will not be used in determining the applicable guideline range, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 1B1.8., unless the information is used to respond to representations made to the Court by the defendant, or on his behalf, that contradict information provided by the defendant during his cooperation.

IV. **ELEMENTS OF THE OFFENSE**

At a trial, the government would have to prove beyond a reasonable doubt the following elements of the offense(s) to which the defendant is pleading guilty, namely, violations of Title 18, United States Code, Section 641 – Theft of Government Property (Counts One through Three):

- 1. Defendant knowingly stole, embezzled, or converted to his use a thing of value with the intention of depriving the owner of the use or benefit of that thing of value;
 - 2. The thing of value belonged to the United States or department or agency thereof, and
 - 3. The thing of value was worth more than \$1,000.

The defendant fully understands the nature and elements of the crimes charged in the Information to which he is pleading guilty, together with the possible defenses thereto, and has discussed them with his attorney.

V. MAXIMUM SENTENCE

Maximum Penalty. A.

The maximum sentence that the Court can impose on each count of conviction is 10 years of incarceration, a fine of \$250,000, a three-year period of supervised release and a special assessment of

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

\$100. By signing this Plea Agreement, the defendant also agrees that the Court can order the payment of restitution for the full loss caused by the defendant's wrongful conduct, as stipulated in this Agreement. The defendant agrees that the restitution order is not restricted to the amounts alleged in the specific Counts to which he is pleading guilty. The defendant further agrees, as noted above, that he will not attempt to discharge in any present or future bankruptcy proceeding any restitution imposed by the Court.

B. Violations of Supervised Release.

The defendant understands that if he violates a condition of supervised release at any time during the term of supervised release, the Court may revoke the term of supervised release and require the defendant to serve up to 2 additional years imprisonment.

VI. SENTENCING DETERMINATION

A. Statutory Authority.

The defendant understands that the Court must consult the Federal Sentencing Guidelines and must take them into account when determining a final sentence. The defendant understands that the Court will determine a non-binding and advisory guideline sentencing range for this case pursuant to the Sentencing Guidelines and must take them into account when determining a final sentence. The defendant further understands that the Court will consider whether there is a basis for departure from the guideline sentencing range (either above or below the guideline sentencing range) because there exists an aggravating or mitigating circumstance of a kind, or to a degree, not adequately taken into consideration by the Sentencing Commission in formulating the Guidelines. The defendant further understands that the Court, after consultation and consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines, must impose a sentence that is reasonable in light of the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

Estimated Guideline Calculation. B.

The government and the defendant agree that there is no material dispute as to the following sentencing guidelines variables and therefore stipulate to the following guideline calculation concerning each Count in the Information:

27

26

27 · 1. <u>Base Offense Level</u>: The base offense level for the charges to which the defendant is pleading guilty is 6. <u>See U.S.S.G.</u> § 2B1.1(a)(1).

2. Specific Offense Characteristics:

- a. Twelve levels are added (+12) because the loss in this case was more than \$200,000 but less than \$400,000. Id. at (b)(1)(G).
 - 3. Specific Offense Level: The parties anticipate that the specific offense level will be 18.
- 4. <u>Grouping Multiple Counts</u>: The total offense level applicable to the group in this case is the highest offense level for any Count in the group. <u>See U.S.S.G. §3D1.3(a)</u>.
 - 5. Chapter Three Adjustments: Acceptance of Responsibility: See Part III.B.2 above.
- 6. <u>Adjusted Offense Level</u>: Given the stipulations above, the parties anticipate that the adjusted offense level applicable to each Count in the Information will be 15.
- 7. <u>Criminal History</u>: The parties agree and stipulate that the applicable criminal history will be determined by the Court at sentencing. The parties anticipate, however, that the defendant's criminal history category will be I, and that the Sentencing Guideline range will be no less than 18 to 24 months.
- 8. <u>Departures or Other Enhancements or Reductions</u>: The parties agree that they will not seek or argue in support of any other specific offense characteristics, Chapter Three adjustments (other than the decrease for "Acceptance of Responsibility"), or cross-references, except that the government may move for a departure or adjustment based on defendant's post-plea obstruction of justice (§3C1.1). Both parties agree not to move for, or argue in support of, any departures or adjustments from the Sentencing Guidelines.

The defendant may argue for the Court to consider and apply the sentencing factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553 to arrive at whatever sentence the Court may determine is appropriate. The government may oppose any such request.

VII. <u>WAIVERS</u>

A. Waiver of Constitutional Rights.

The defendant understands that by pleading guilty he is waiving the following constitutional rights: (a) to plead not guilty and to persist in that plea if already made; (b) to be tried by a jury; (c) to

3

2

4 5

6 7

8 Ÿ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

28

27

VIII. ENTIRE PLEA AGREEMENT

Other than this plea agreement, no agreement, understanding, promise, or condition between the government and the defendant exists, nor will such agreement, understanding, promise, or condition exist unless it is committed to writing and signed by the defendant, counsel for the defendant, and counsel for the United States.

IX. APPROVALS AND SIGNATURES

A. Defense Counsel.

I have read this plea agreement and have discussed it fully with my client. The plea agreement accurately and completely sets forth the entirety of the agreement. I concur in my client's decision to plead guilty as set forth in this plea agreement.

Dated: 4/6/2015

OA OREN. ESO. Attorney for Defendant

В. Defendant:

I have read this plea agreement and carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. I understand it, and I voluntarily agree to it. Further, I have consulted with my attorney and fully understand my rights with respect to the provisions of the Sentencing Guidelines that may apply to my case. No other promises or inducements have been made to me, other than those contained in this plea agreement. In addition, no one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this plea agreement.

Finally, I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this case.

Dated:

ERIC SHĂFFI Defendant

C. **Attorney for United States:**

I accept and agree to this plea agreement on behalf of the government.

Dated:

BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney

ANDRÉ M. ESPINOSA

Assistant United States Attorney

US v. Eric Shaffer Plea Agreement

EXHIBIT "A"

Factual Basis for Plea(s)

Background

Between in or about February 2011 through on or about March 26, 2015, Eric M. Shaffer ("Shaffer") was an employee of the Department of Defense ("DoD"), Defense Logistics Agency ("DLA"), San Joaquin Distribution Center, in Tracy, California (the "Tracy DLA Depot"), in the Eastern District of California. As part of his employment at the Tracy DLA Depot, Shaffer was assigned to Warehouse 30, where Shaffer regularly came into contact with new goods delivered by venders to the DoD and the United States General Service Administration ("GSA") through the GSA Advantage Program and similar programs, which were stored at the Tracy DLA Depot until being shipped to DoD end-users stationed at bases in the Pacific Ocean region and elsewhere. Between in or about February 2011 through on or about March 26, 2015, Shaffer took without authorization of, or permission from, the DLA, property purchased by DoD agencies and sold that property to others, by various means including through an account he maintained on eBay, the online auction website, resulting in the permanent deprivation of such property from the DoD and personal financial gain to Shaffer. The DoD and GSA are agencies of the United States government.

To accomplish his theft and resale scheme, Shaffer stole items from the Tracy DLA Depot and offered those items for sale using an eBay account Shaffer operated with the username "mousecat1995." Between February 27, 2011, and January 3, 2015, Shaffer used the mousecat1995 eBay account to initiate approximately 346 auctions on eBay, of which approximately 325 involved items that Shaffer stole from the Tracy DLA Depot. Many of those 325 auctions involved multiple quantities of the item listed for sale, resulting in a total of approximately 622 items stolen by Shaffer and sold on eBay. In

¹ The GSA Advantage and GSA Global Supply services are both operated by the GSA and are designed to provide a streamlined, efficient purchasing portal for federal agencies to acquire the goods and services from GSA-approved sources at GSA-negotiated prices.

addition to the eBay sales, Shaffer also accepted approximately forty-two payments totaling \$62,712, for the sale of items Shaffer stole from the Tracy DLA Depot and sold directly to customers who paid Shaffer via PayPal, an online payment system. Shaffer sold the stolen government property at deep discounts from their purchase prices. Nevertheless, Shaffer's scheme generated approximately \$238,000 in illicit revenue, which Shaffer used to enhance his lifestyle beyond his legitimate means.

Specific Factual Basis Concerning Count One

On or about May 20, 2014, Shaffer sold to a third party a GE Video Boroscope kit (the "GE Boroscope") through his eBay account for \$5,500. Law enforcement agents identified the GE Boroscope Shaffer sold by serial number, and determined that the GE Boroscope had been purchased by the US Air Force through the GSA Advantage Program and was delivered to the Tracy DLA Depot, Warehouse 30, on or about April 17, 2014. The purchase contract for the sale of the GE Boroscope revealed that it cost the US Air Force \$10,674. Law enforcement agents confirmed with US Air Force officials that one of the five GE Boroscopes purchased under the particular contract and delivered to the Tracy DLA Depot on or about April 17, 2014, was never received by the Air Force. In an interview with law enforcement agents on February 26, 2015, Shaffer admitted that he stole the GE Boroscope from the Tracy DLA Depot and sold it on eBay.

Specific Factual Basis Concerning Count Two

On or about September 27, 2014, Shaffer listed three Cisco CTS-CTRL-DVC8 TelePresence 8-inch LCD Touch Panels (the "Cisco Touch Panels") for sale on eBay. Law enforcement agents identified the devices by serial numbers and determined that they had been ordered by the US Army through the GSA Advantage Program and delivered to the Tracy DLA Depot, Warehouse 30, on or about September 26, 2014. A copy of the purchase contract revealed that the Cisco Touch Panels cost the US Army \$1,563.93 each.

On or about October 1, 2014, an undercover law enforcement agent ("UC") used an eBay account to purchase the three Cisco Touch Panels from Shaffer for \$250 each. The UC paid for the items using PayPal and requested that the items be shipped to an address maintained by law enforcement agents. On or about October 6, 2014, three separate packages were delivered to the address the UC had provided Shaffer. Each of the packages contained a Cisco Touch Panel Shaffer had offered for sale on eBay and bearing one of the serial numbers of devices that had been ordered by the US Army through the GSA Advantage Program and delivered to the Tracy DLA Depot, Warehouse 30, on or about September 26, 2014. In an interview with law enforcement agents on February 26, 2015, Shaffer admitted that he stole the three Cisco Touch Panels from the Tracy DLA Depot and sold them on eBay.

Specific Factual Basis Concerning Count Three

On or about September 19, 2014, the US Air Force ordered sixteen Cisco WS-C3560C-8PC-S Ethernet switches (the "Cisco Ethernet Switches") through the GSA Advantage Program with instructions that the order be shipped to the Tracy DLA Depot to be forwarded to the US Air Force customer. Law enforcement agents confirmed that the retailer shipped the Cisco Ethernet Switches to the Tracy DLA Depot, Warehouse 30, and that the devices arrived at the Tracy DLA Depot on or about September 25, 2014. Law enforcement agents also identified the sixteen Cisco Ethernet Switches by serial numbers and confirmed that they sold for \$686.25 each, for a total of \$10,980.

On or about September 18, 2014, the US Army placed an order through the GSA Advantage program to purchase a Panasonic AJ-PCD35 5-Bay P2 Memory Card Drive (the "Panasonic Memory Card"), with instructions that it be shipped to the Tracy DLA Depot, Warehouse 30, to be forwarded to the US Army customer. Law enforcement agents reviewed the purchase order and confirmed the serial number of the Panasonic Memory Card and the retail price of \$2,303.64. Law enforcement agents also confirmed that the Panasonic Memory Card was delivered to the Tracy DLA Depot on or

PLEA AGREEMENT

about October 2, 2014. On or about October 4, 2014, Shaffer listed a Panasonic Memory Card for sale on his on eBay account.

On or about October 23, 2014, Shaffer sent an email to the UC referenced above (to an email address associated with the UC's PayPal account) and offered four new Cisco Ethernet Switches for sale. On October 24, 2014, the UC responded to Shaffer by email and agreed to purchase the Cisco Ethernet Switches for \$250 each and the Panasonic Memory Card then-listed on eBay, which Shaffer later agreed to sell to the UC for \$600. The total sale price for all five items was \$1,600. Between October 27 and 28, 2014, Shaffer and the UC arranged to cut out eBay from the sales transaction and for the UC to purchase the items directly from Shaffer. Shaffer claimed he was unable to personally deliver the items and arranged for an individual with the initials A.J.M., to meet the UC at a coffee shop in Ceres, California, and deliver the four Cisco Ethernet Switches and the Panasonic Memory Card in exchange for \$1,600.

On or about October 27, 2014, law enforcement agents conducted surveillance of Shaffer's residence. At approximately 8:25am, agents observed a man, later identified as A.J.M., park a grey Chevrolet pickup truck in front of Shaffer's residence and enter the residence. Shortly thereafter, A.J.M. exited Shaffer's residence carrying several boxes, which A.J.M. placed in the back of his pickup truck. The UC called A.J.M. by telephone using contact information Shaffer had provided and described the UC's location at the coffee shop. When A.J.M. arrived at the coffee shop, he delivered the boxes he had retrieved from Shaffer's residence to the UC. A.J.M. accepted \$1,600 in \$100 bills from the UC, counted the money, and placed a \$100 bill into his jacket pocket before helping the UC carry the boxes to the UC's vehicle and leaving. A.J.M. returned to Shaffer's residence, where he entered the residence for a short time before he exited and drove away.

On or about October 30, 2014, law enforcement agents confirmed that the serial number of the Panasonic Memory Card delivered by A.J.M. matched the serial number of the Panasonic Memory

Card previously delivered to the Tracy DLA Depot on or about October 2, 2014, and that the serial numbers of the four Cisco Ethernet Switches delivered by A.J.M. matched the serial numbers of four of the sixteen Cisco Ethernet Switches delivered to the Tracy DLA Depot on or about September 25, 2014. In an interview with law enforcement agents on February 26, 2015, Shaffer admitted that he stole the Panasonic Memory Card and the four Cisco Ethernet Switches from the Tracy DLA Depot and sold them to the UC.

Conclusion

In an interview with law enforcement agents on or about February 26, 2015, Shaffer reviewed a spreadsheet of all the items he sold in eBay between February 27, 2011, and January 3, 2015, and agreed that, with the exception of certain personal property, nearly all of those sales were of goods Shaffer stole from the Tracy DLA Depot. Shaffer agrees that, with respect to each item he took from the Tracy DLA Depot, including the items charged in Counts One through Three of the Information and described herein: (i) Shaffer took each item without authorization; (ii) Shaffer knew he was not authorized to sell the goods for personal gain but did so anyway; and (iii) each time Shaffer took and sold goods he intended to permanently deprive the DoD end-purchaser of the use or benefit of those goods. Shaffer agrees that the fair market replacement value of the goods he took without authorization from the Tracy DLA Depot and sold for his personal gain, is at least approximately \$316,557.99.

I have read and carefully reviewed the Factual Basis for Plea with my attorneys. I agree that as it concerns my conduct it is correct. I also agree that if this matter proceeded to trial, the United States could establish each of the facts contained within the Factual Basis for Plea beyond a reasonable doubt, and that those facts satisfy the elements of the offense to which I am pleading guilty.

Dated:

ERIC SHAFFE