

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 17-6620**

---

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DARRYL A. STUCKEY,

Defendant - Appellant.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Maryland, at Greenbelt.  
Roger W. Titus, Senior District Judge. (8:12-cr-00042-RWT-1)

---

Submitted: September 27, 2017

Decided: September 29, 2017

---

Before WILKINSON, TRAXLER, and WYNN, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Darryl A. Stuckey, Appellant Pro Se. Jeffrey Brian Bender, Gregory Victor Davis, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, Washington, D.C.; Kelly O. Hayes, Joseph McFarlane, OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY, Greenbelt, Maryland; Sean B. O'Connell, Assistant United States Attorney, Baltimore, Maryland, for Appellee.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

## PER CURIAM:

Darryl A. Stuckey appeals the district court's order denying his motion for early termination of supervised release pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3583(e)(1) (2012). We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. *United States v. Stuckey*, No. 8:12-cr-00042-RWT-1 (D. Md. filed May 2, 2017, & entered May 3, 2017).<sup>\*</sup> We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

*AFFIRMED*

---

<sup>\*</sup> To the extent Stuckey seeks vacatur of the restitution order entered at his original sentencing, his failure to raise any challenge to the order below precludes our review of his claim on appeal. *See Pronomo v. United States*, 814 F.3d 681, 686 (4th Cir. 2016) (explaining that, absent exceptional circumstances, this court generally declines to consider issues raised for first time on appeal).