1	JOHN C. CRUDEN
2	Assistant Attorney General
	Environment & Natural Resources Division
3	United States Department of Justice
4	VALERIE K. MANN, DC Bar no. 440744
5	Environmental Enforcement Section
6	Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice
	601 D Street, NW
7	Washington, D.C. 20004
8	(202) 616-8756 (tel)
9	(202) 616 2427 (fax)
10	Email: Valerie.mann@usdoj.gov
11	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	WESTERN DIVISION
14	
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
16	Plaintiff,
17	
18	Civil Action No. 2:15-ev-7619
	v.
19	SHELL OIL COMPANY,
20	Defendant.
21	
22	COMPLAINT
23	The United States of America, by authority of the Attorney General of the
24	United States and through the undersigned attorneys, acting at the request of the
25	
26	Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"),
27	files this Complaint and alleges as follows:
28	
	1

#### **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

- 1. This is a civil action for injunctive relief and recovery of costs brought against Shell Oil Company ("Defendant") pursuant to Sections 106(a) and 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(a) and 9607(a).
- 2. The United States seeks (a) performance of response actions by Defendant at the Soil and Non-Aqueous Phase Liquid ("NAPL") Operable Unit ("OU1") at the Del Amo Superfund Site, in Los Angeles, California (the "Site"), consistent with the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300 (as amended) ("NCP"), and (b) reimbursement of response costs incurred by EPA and the Department of Justice related to the Site (but not specifically attributed to Operable Unit 3, as described herein) together with any accrued interest, and a declaratory judgment of liability for response costs that will be incurred related to OU1.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action and over Shell pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(a), 9607(a) and 9613(b).
- 4. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(a) and 9613(b), and 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c), because the Site is located, and the claims arose and the threatened and actual releases of hazardous substances occurred, within this judicial district.

# **DEFENDANT**

- 5. Shell Oil Company is a Delaware corporation and is a "person" within the meaning of Section 101(21) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(21).
- 6. Shell Chemical Company, which was formed in 1929, merged with Shell Union Oil Corporation effective October 1, 1943, with Shell Union Oil Corporation the surviving entity.

- 7. On August 25, 1949, Shell Union Oil Corporation changed its name to Shell Oil Company.
- 8. Shell Chemical Corporation was a Delaware corporation organized on December 17, 1945, and it merged into Shell Oil Company effective December 31, 1959.
- 9. Shell Oil Company is a successor to the liabilities of Shell Chemical Company and Shell Chemical Corporation.
- 10. Shell Oil Company and its relevant corporate predecessors are collectively referred to herein as "Shell."
- 11. Shell was an "owner" and/or "operator" of a facility at the Site at the time of disposal of hazardous substances within the meaning of Sections 101(20) and 107(a)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601(20) and 9607(a)(2).

### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 12. The Site consists of approximately 280 acres in the Harbor Gateway area of Los Angeles, California, at the southwest corner of the intersection of the 405 and 110 freeways, and formerly included a synthetic rubber manufacturing plant.
- 13. The synthetic rubber manufacturing plant was originally established in the early 1940s with the construction of a chemical plant to produce synthetic rubber to support defense efforts during World War II.
- 14. The former synthetic rubber manufacturing plant began operation in or about 1942 and ceased operations in 1972. During its operation, hazardous substances were released from the plant into the environment.
- 15. The plant was divided into three sub-plants (called "plancors"): a butadiene plancor, a styrene plancor, and a copolymer plancor.
- 16. The Reconstruction Finance Corporation ("RFC") was a government agency established by Congress in 1932.

- 17. Initially the synthetic rubber manufacturing plant was owned by an RFC subsidiary, the Defense Plant Corporation, and operated by private companies under agreements, until the plant was purchased by Shell in 1955.
- 18. In 1942, the Defense Plant Corporation leased the butadiene plancor, which was in the southeastern portion of the plant, to Shell Chemical Company.
- 19. In 1942, the Rubber Reserve Company, an RFC subsidiary, entered into an agreement with Shell Chemical Company for Shell to operate the butadiene plancor.
- 20. From approximately 1942 to 1955, Shell operated the butadiene plancor under agreements between Shell and the Rubber Reserve Company.
- 21. In 1955, Shell Chemical Corporation purchased the plant, including all three plancors.
- 22. Shell owned and operated the synthetic rubber manufacturing plant from 1955 until 1972, at which time operations ceased.
- 23. As part of the manufacturing processes, the plant used many chemicals, including benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, propane, butylene, butane, styrene, and 1,3-butadiene, to create synthetic rubber.
- 24. In 1972, Shell sold the property which had included the synthetic rubber manufacturing plant, except for the waste pits, OU2.
- 25. On May 7, 1992, Shell Oil Company and Dow Chemical Company entered into an Administrative Order on Consent with EPA and the California Department of Health Services (U.S. EPA Docket No. 92-13) to conduct a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study for the Site pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.430.
- 26. EPA divided the Site into three operable units: OU1, the Soil and NAPL Operable Unit; OU2, known as the Waste Pits; and OU3, known as the Dual Site Groundwater Operable Unit, which includes contaminated groundwater from both the Site and the adjacent Montrose Chemical Superfund Site.

- 27. The Site and OU1 are each a "facility" within the meaning of Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9).
- 28. The Remedial Investigation Report for OU1 was completed in July 2007, and the Feasibility Study Report for OU1 was completed in January 2010.
- 29. Pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, EPA placed the Site on the National Priorities List, set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, Appendix B, by publication in the Federal Register on September 7, 2002, 67 Fed. Reg. 56,760.
- 30. The decision by EPA on the remedial action to be implemented at OU1 is embodied in a final Record of Decision ("ROD"), executed on September 30, 2011, on which the State of California has given its concurrence. EPA supplemented the ROD with a memorandum to file dated July 26, 2013.
- 31. The selected remedy in the ROD includes capping, implementation of soil vapor extraction ("SVE") in shallow soil, implementation of SVE in the deep soil, implementation of building engineering controls, implementation of in-situ chemical oxidation, and implementation of institutional controls. The ROD also addresses additional site-related contamination that may be encountered in the future during redevelopment or construction activities.
- 32. The selected remedy in the ROD for OU1 is consistent with CERCLA and the NCP.
- 33. Benzene, ethylbenzene, toluene, xylene, styrene, trichloroethene ("TCE"), tetrachloroethene ("PCE"), 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, cyclohexane, isopropylbenzene, benzo[a]pyrene, benzo[a]anthracene, benzo[b]fluoranthene, benzo(k)fluoranthene, indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene, arsenic, copper, 4,4'-DDT, N-nitrosodiphenylamine, naphthalene, and phenanthrene are "hazardous substances" as defined in Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14), and 40 C.F.R. § 302.4, App. A.
- 34. Each hazardous substance listed in Paragraph 33 has come to be located at OU1.

- 35. There has been a "release" or threatened "release" within the meaning of Section 101(22) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(22), of hazardous substances into the environment, including the soils, at OU1.
- 36. At times that Shell owned or operated at the Site, including between 1955 and 1972, hazardous substances within the meaning of Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14), including but not limited to benzene and ethylbenzene, were disposed of at OU1.
- 37. As stated in the ROD, EPA estimates that the remedial action for OU1 will cost in excess of \$52 million.
- 38. EPA has incurred at least \$1,547,629 in unreimbursed response costs not inconsistent with the NCP by responding to the releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances at the Site in connection with OU1 and in connection with the Site (but not including costs that are specifically attributed to OU3).
- 39. The United States will continue to incur response costs in connection with OU1.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 40. Paragraphs 1-39 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 41. Section 106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606(a), provides in pertinent part:

[W]hen the President determines that there may be an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment because of an actual or threatened release of a hazardous substance from a facility, he may require the Attorney General of the United States to secure such relief as may be necessary to abate such danger or threat, and the district court of the United States in the district in which the threat occurs shall have jurisdiction to grant such relief as the public interest and the equities of the case may require.

- 42. By Executive Order 12580 of January 23, 1987, the President's functions under Section 106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606(a), have been delegated to the Administrator of EPA.
- 43. The Administrator of EPA has re-delegated this authority to the Regional Administrators of EPA.
- 44. EPA has determined that there may be an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment because of actual or threatened releases of a hazardous substance at and from OU1.
- 45. Pursuant to Section 106(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9606(a), Shell is liable to the United States for injunctive relief to abate and remedy the conditions at OU1 that may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment because of an actual or threatened release of a hazardous substance at OU1.

### SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 46. Paragraphs 1-39 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 47. Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), provides in pertinent part:
  - (2) any person who at the time of disposal of any hazardous substance owned or operated any facility at which such hazardous substances were disposed of,

. . .

- ... shall be liable for-
- (A) all costs of removal or remedial action incurred by the
  United States Government or a State ... not inconsistent
  with the national contingency plan;

. . .

The amounts recoverable in an action under this section shall include interest on the amounts recoverable under subparagraphs (A) through (D)....

- 48. Pursuant to Section 107(a)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a)(2), Shell is liable as the owner and/or operator of a facility at OU1 when disposal of hazardous substances occurred at OU1.
- 49. Shell is liable to the United States pursuant to CERCLA Section 107(a), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for unrecovered response costs not inconsistent with the NCP incurred by the United States in connection with the Site.
- 50. Shell is also liable for any applicable interest on the response costs incurred, pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a).
- 51. Section 113(g)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(g)(2), provides in pertinent part that in any action for recovery of costs, "the court shall enter a declaratory judgment on liability for response costs or damages that will be binding on any subsequent action or actions to recover further response costs or damages."
- 52. Pursuant to Section 113(g)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(g)(2), the United States is entitled to a declaratory judgment that Defendant is liable to the United States under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for all further response costs not inconsistent with the NCP incurred by the United States in connection with OU1.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, the United States of America, respectfully requests that the Court:

1. Order Defendant to abate the conditions at OU1 that may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment by performing the remedy selected by EPA in the ROD;

2. Award the United States a judgment against Defendant for response costs
not inconsistent with the NCP incurred by the United States in connection with the
Site (but not including costs specifically attributed to OU3), plus any accrued
interest on the costs;
3. Award the United States a declaratory judgment that Defendant is liable to
the United States for all response costs not inconsistent with the NCP to be
incurred by the United States in connection with OU1; and
4. Grant any such other relief as the Court deems appropriate.
Respectfully submitted,
(A) M/1 ind
JOHN'C, CRUDE
Assistant Attorney General
Environment and Natural Resources Division
U.S. Department of Justice
Valor Villa
VALERIE K. MANN
Trial Attorney
Environmental Enforcement Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, DC 20044-7611
OF COUNSEL:
****
SARAH E. MUELLER Assistant Regional Counsel
Office of Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105