¢	ase 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 #:8055	Filed 08/06/20	Page 1 of 97	Page D			
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JEFFREY BOSSERT CLARK Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice GABRIEL M. ALLEN (Georgia Bar No. 7407) Senior Attorney DEBORAH A. GITIN (California Bar No. 284 Senior Counsel Environmental Enforcement Section Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice 301 Howard St., Suite 1050 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 744-6488 Fax: (415) 744-6476 Email: deborah.gitin@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of Americ	947) a					
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Plaintiffs, v. MONTROSE CHEMICAL CORP. OF CALIFORNIA, et al., Defendants	RICT COURT CALIFORNIA	ev-03122 DOO NSENT DECR indwater - Plume Remed	EE			
-	United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree						

¢	ase 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 2 of 97 Page #:8056	D
1 2 3 4 5 6	XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California SARAH E. MORRISON (State Bar No. 143459) Supervising Deputy Attorney General CATHERINE WIEMAN (State Bar No. 222384) Email: catherine.wieman@doj.ca.gov MEGAN K. HEY (State Bar No. 232345)	
7 8 9	Email: megan.hey@doj.ca.gov Deputy Attorneys General 300 South Spring Street Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6000	
10 11 12	Facsimile: (916) 731-2128 Attorneys for Plaintiff State of California, on behalf of the Department of Toxic Substances Control	
13 14 15	LATHAM & WATKINS LLP KELLY E. RICHARDSON (State Bar No. 210511) kelly.richardson@lw.com JOHN T. RYAN (State Bar No. 211899)	
16 17 18	jake.ryan@lw.com BENJAMIN D. GIBSON (State Bar No. 287521) benjamin.gibson@lw.com 12670 High Bluff Drive San Diego, California 92130	
19 20 21	Telephone: (858) 523-5400 Fax: (858) 523-5450 Attorneys for Defendant Montrose Chemical Corporation of California	
22 23 24	SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP WINSTON P. HSIAO (State Bar No. 273638) whsiao@skadden.com 300 South Grand Avenue	
25 26 27	Suite 3400 Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 687-5219 Fax: (213) 621-5219 Attorneys for Defendant TFCF America, Inc.	
28	United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al.	

Partial Consent Decree

C	ase 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 3 of 97 Page #:8057	D
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	FOUNDATION LAW GROUP GREGG D. ZUCKER (State Bar No. 166692) gregg@foundationlaw.com 2049 Century Park East Suite 2460 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 979-7561 Attorneys for Defendant Stauffer Management Company and attorneys-in-fact for Defendant Bayer CropScience, Inc. and McCARTER & ENGLISH LLP J. WYLIE DONALD ( <i>pro hac vice</i> ) jdonald@mccarter.com 1301 K Street NW, Suite 1000 West Washington, DC 20005 Attorneys for Defendant Stauffer Management Company LLC and attorneys-in- fact for Defendant Stauffer Management Company LLC and attorneys-in- fact for Defendant Stauffer Management Company LLC and attorneys-in-	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	fact for Defendant Bayer CropScience, Inc. DLA PIPER LLP (US) GEORGE J. GIGOUNAS (State Bar No. 209334) george.gigounas@dlapiper.com 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 836-2500 Fax: (415) 836-2501 Attorneys for Defendant JCI Jones Chemicals, Inc.	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28		
	United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree ii	

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	BACKGROUND	1
II.	JURISDICTION	8
III.	PARTIES BOUND	8
IV.	DEFINITIONS	9
V.	GENERAL PROVISIONS	17
VI.	PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY SETTLING	
	DEFENDANTS	21
VII.	REMEDY REVIEW	25
VIII.	ACCESS	
IX.	EPA APPROVAL OF PLANS, REPORTS, AND	
	OTHER DELIVERABLES	29
X.	PROJECT COORDINATORS	31
XI.	PERFORMANCE GUARANTEE	
XII.	CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION	40
XIII.	EMERGENCY RESPONSE	44
XIV.	PAYMENTS FOR RESPONSE COSTS	45
XV.	INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE	50
XVI.	FORCE MAJEURE	53
XVII.	DISPUTE RESOLUTION	55
XVIII	. STIPULATED PENALTIES	60
XIX.	COVENANTS BY PLAINTIFFS	65
XX.	COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS	
XXI.	EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION	72
XXII.	ACCESS TO INFORMATION	74
XXIII	. RETENTION OF RECORDS	76
XXIV	. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS	78
XXV.	RETENTION OF JURISDICTION	81
XXVI	APPENDICES	82
XXVI	I. COMMUNITY RELATIONS	
XXVI	II. MODIFICATION	
XXIX	. LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT	
XXX.	SIGNATORIES/SERVICE	
XXXI	. FINAL JUDGMENT	

28

1

## 1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### I. BACKGROUND

A. In December 1999, the United States and the State of California ("State"), on behalf of the California Department of Toxic Substances Control ("DTSC"), among other State entities (collectively "Plaintiffs"), filed a Third Amended Complaint ("Complaint") in this matter pursuant to Section 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601 – 9675, seeking, *inter alia*, recovery of response costs in connection with releases of the pesticide DDT and other hazardous substances into the environment at and from the former manufacturing facility located at 20201 Normandie Avenue in Los Angeles, California ("Montrose Plant Property"), which was operated by Montrose Chemical Corporation of California ("Montrose"). This Partial Consent Decree constitutes partial satisfaction of the claims asserted in the Complaint, as described further below.

B. In the First Claim for Relief of the Complaint, the Plaintiffs asserted a claim under Section 107(a)(1-4)(C) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a)(1-4)(C), for declaratory relief and recovery of response costs and damages for injury to, destruction of or loss of natural resources under their trusteeships resulting from releases of hazardous substances into the environment in and around Los Angeles, California, including those parts of the San Pedro Channel area in the vicinity of the Palos Verdes Peninsula, the Los Angeles-Long Beach Harbors and the environs of Santa Catalina Island and the Channel Islands. The First Claim was settled in a Consent Decree Relating to Offshore Matters and Department of Justice Costs entered by the Court on March 15, 2001.

C. In the Second Claim for Relief of the Complaint, the Plaintiffs asserted a claim for recovery of costs incurred by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and DTSC in response to the release or threatened release of hazardous substances into the environment at and/or from *United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al.* Partial Consent Decree

the Montrose Plant Property pursuant to Section 107(a)(1-4)(A) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a)(1-4)(A).

D. The Complaint specified that the Second Claim included costs related to contamination in ocean sediment at the Palos Verdes Shelf, as well as a number of specified water bodies. This portion of the Second Claim was also settled in the Consent Decree entered on March 15, 2001.

E. Pursuant to a Partial Consent Decree for Past Costs, entered by the Court on October 20, 2000, the United States and DTSC recovered \$5,125,000 as reimbursement and settlement of claims for specified past response costs. In addition, Montrose had previously paid \$1,354,612.37 to EPA as reimbursement of past response costs incurred by the United States with respect to portions of the "Onshore Areas," as defined in the Partial Consent Decree with Montrose Chemical Corporation of California, Aventis CropScience USA, Inc., Chris-Craft Industries, Inc., and Atkemix Thirty Seven, Inc. (Relating to Offshore Matters and Department of Justice Costs), entered by the Court on March 15, 2001.

F. Trial in this action commenced on October 17, 2000. On October 18, 2000, the Court took under submission the issue of liability of all the defendants who have entered into this Partial Consent Decree ("Settling Defendants") other than JCI Jones Chemicals, Inc. ("Jones") for the incurrence of response costs caused by the release or threatened release of hazardous substances from the Montrose Plant Property. The Parties (other than Jones) subsequently lodged and the Court entered two separate consent decrees for certain of those costs. Specifically, on June 26, 2002, the Court entered a "Partial Consent Decree (relating to the Neighborhood Areas)," which resolved the liability of all Settling Defendants other than Jones to the United States and DTSC for response costs related to the Neighborhood Areas, as defined in that agreement. On the same day, the Court entered a "Partial Consent Decree (relating to the Current Storm Water Pathway)," which resolved the liability of United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

all Settling Defendants other than Jones to the United States, DTSC, and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region, for response costs relating to the Current Storm Water Pathway, as defined in that agreement.

G. This Partial Consent Decree satisfies claims against the-Settling Defendants for certain costs incurred by the United States and DTSC for certain response actions at the Dual Site Groundwater Operable Unit of the Montrose Chemical Corp. and Del Amo Superfund Sites (the "Dual Site") in Los Angeles County, California, together with accrued interest, as set forth herein. This Partial Consent Decree also provides for the performance of response actions by the Settling Defendants for the Chlorobenzene Plume at the Dual Site consistent with the National Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300 ("NCP").

H. In accordance with the NCP and Section 121(f)(1)(F) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(f)(1)(F), EPA notified DTSC on February 4, 2010, of negotiations with potentially responsible parties ("PRPs") regarding the remedy for the Dual Site. EPA has provided DTSC with an opportunity to participate in such negotiations and be a party to this Partial Consent Decree.

I. In accordance with Section 122(j)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(j)(1), EPA notified the U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, and the U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service and National Park Service on November 17, 2010, of these negotiations and invited them to participate in the consent decree negotiations.

J. Settling Defendants do not admit any liability to Plaintiffs arising out of the transactions or occurrences alleged in the Complaint, nor do they acknowledge that the release or threatened release of hazardous substances at or from the Dual Site constitutes an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health or welfare or the environment, nor any damage to natural resources.

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

K. Pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, EPA placed the Montrose Chemical Corp. Superfund Site (the "Site") on the National Priorities List ("NPL"), set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, Appendix B, by publication in the Federal Register on October 4, 1989, 54 Fed. Reg. 41015. By the same authority, EPA included the Del Amo Superfund Site on the NPL on September 5, 2002. 67 Fed. Reg. 56757.

L. In response to a release or a substantial threat of a release of a hazardous substance(s) at or from the Dual Site, Montrose undertook Remedial Investigation ("RI") activities for the Montrose Chemical Corp. Superfund Site in 1986. In 1998, EPA took over the Montrose investigation and completed a Remedial Investigation Report in May 1998, pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 300.430; and Shell Oil Company ("Shell") issued a separate Remedial Investigation Report for the adjacent Del Amo Study Area the same month. EPA had already assumed responsibility for the Feasibility Study ("FS") and issued a Feasibility Study Report for the Dual Site Groundwater Operable Unit in May 1998.

M. Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, EPA published notice of the completion of the FS and of the proposed plan for the Dual Site in June 1998, in a major local newspaper of general circulation. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the proposed plan. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which the Director of the Superfund Division, as the delegate of the Regional Administrator of EPA Region IX, based the selection of the response action.

N. The decision by EPA on the remedy to be implemented at the Dual Site is embodied in a final Record of Decision ("ROD"), executed on March 30, 1999, on which the State has given its concurrence. The ROD includes a responsiveness summary to the public comments. Notice of the final plan was published in accordance with Section 117(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617(b). *United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al.* Partial Consent Decree

1

#### ase 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 9 of 97 Page D #:8063

The ROD was modified on October 7, 2019 by a memorandum regarding Clarification of Performance Standards Regarding Hydraulic Extraction and Reinjection in Section 13 of the 1999 Superfund Record of Decision: Montrose Chemical and Del Amo Sites, OU 3 (the "Flowrate Memo"). The modification will not have a significant impact on the scope, performance or cost of the remedy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

О. After issuance of the ROD, remedial design work began. Initially, EPA undertook a groundwater modeling effort to assess the direction and flow of groundwater in and near the Dual Site. In 2003, EPA issued separate Administrative Orders to Montrose and Shell for the Interim Remedial Design. See In The Matter of the Montrose Chemical Superfund Site and the Del Amo Superfund Site, Los Angeles, California, Groundwater Operable Unit, U.S. EPA Docket Number 2003-06, and In The Matter of the Del Amo Superfund Site and Montrose Chemical Superfund Site, Los Angeles, California, Groundwater Operable Unit, U.S. EPA Docket Number 2003-08. Montrose and Shell complied with those orders. EPA subsequently issued another order to Montrose and Shell, EPA Administrative Order Number 2008-04A ("EPA Order 2008-04A"), requiring completion of certain elements of remedial design. EPA approved the remedial design report for the Chlorobenzene Plume remedy required under EPA Order 2008-04A in September 2012.

P. On August 22, 2012, the Court entered a partial consent decree (the "Construction CD") under CERCLA in this action relating to the Dual Site. Under the Construction CD, Settling Defendants Montrose Chemical Corporation of California, Bayer CropScience Inc., TFCF America, Inc., and Stauffer Management Company LLC (collectively, the "DDT Parties"), are performing a discrete component of the remedy for the Dual Site selected by EPA in the ROD, namely financing and performing construction of the primary groundwater treatment system for the Chlorobenzene Plume of groundwater contamination, as United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

described in the ROD for the Dual Site. All elements of construction that are set forth in the statement of work attached to the Construction CD will be undertaken pursuant to the Construction CD, rather than this Partial Consent Decree. The DDT Parties will also pay oversight costs incurred by EPA and DTSC pursuant to the terms of the Construction CD. The DDT Parties receive a covenant not to sue from the United States and DTSC in the Construction CD with respect to their performance of their obligations thereunder, fully effective upon completion of the work required by the Construction CD. The DDT Parties currently estimate that the work required by the Construction CD will be fully implemented before the end of 2021.

Q. On August 4, 1993, EPA issued General Notice to Settling Defendant Jones, providing notice that EPA considers Jones to be a potentially responsible party with respect to contamination found at the Dual Site. EPA issued Special Notice to Settling Defendant Jones on January 20, 2011, inviting Jones to participate in formal negotiations to facilitate implementation of the remedial action for the Dual Site.

R. To facilitate the resolution of this matter and the prompt and early commencement of the remedial action for the Dual Site, EPA, after consultation with the State, has determined that, based on the information currently available, it is appropriate to enter into this Partial Consent Decree, which addresses part of the multi-step remedy selected in the ROD as well as certain response costs incurred by the United States and DTSC. This Partial Consent Decree addresses only the Chlorobenzene Plume and does not address the TCE Plume and Benzene Plume. This Partial Consent Decree is without prejudice to all Parties' rights, claims, and defenses with respect to future response actions related to the TCE Plume and the Benzene Plume. Plaintiffs anticipate that the remedial actions for the TCE Plume and the Benzene Plume, as described in the ROD, will be implemented by other parties under separate enforcement instruments.

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

2

S. Based on the information currently available, EPA and DTSC believe that the Work will be properly and promptly conducted by Settling Defendants if conducted in accordance with the requirements of this Partial Consent Decree and its appendices.

T. Solely for the purposes of Section 113(j) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(j), the remedial action set forth in the ROD and the Work to be performed by Settling Defendants shall constitute a response action taken or ordered by the President for which judicial review shall be limited to the administrative record. In accordance with Section 113(j) of CERCLA, otherwise applicable principles of administrative law shall govern whether any supplemental materials may be considered by the Court.

U. In addition, the Court has already considered certain issues relating to liability for the groundwater contamination emanating from the Site and issued an Order on Summary Judgment (Order Granting United States' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, April 24, 2000, as amended by Joint Stipulation and Order, July 18, 2000) (ECF Nos. 1922 and 2100). In these Orders, the Court concluded that Montrose, Atkemix Thirty-Seven, Inc. (corporate predecessor of Settling Defendant Stauffer Management Company LLC), and Aventis CropScience USA, Inc. (corporate predecessor of Settling Defendant Bayer CropScience Inc.) are jointly and severally liable for all costs of removal or remedial action incurred by the United States or DTSC with respect to the former Montrose Plant Property and certain property referred to in the orders as the "Stauffer Property" and currently owned by Settling Defendant Stauffer Management Company LLC. The relevant property is the 13-acre parcel located at 20201 Normandie Avenue in Los Angeles County where Montrose manufactured the pesticide dichlorodiphenyl trichloroethane, or DDT, between 1947 and 1982. Montrose and Aventis CropScience USA, Inc. (corporate predecessor of Settling Defendant Bayer CropScience Inc.) were also adjudged United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

jointly and severally liable for all costs of removal or remedial action incurred by
the United States and State of California in responding to releases of hazardous
substances to the Palos Verdes Shelf and in the soil and groundwater at and
around the Montrose property (ECF No. 2445). These judgments provided
declaratory relief pursuant to Section 113(g)(2)(B) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C.
§ 9613(g)(2)(B).

V. The Parties recognize, and the Court by entering this Partial Consent Decree finds, that this Partial Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith, that implementation of this Partial Consent Decree will expedite the cleanup of the Chlorobenzene Plume and will avoid further prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Partial Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged, and Decreed:

## **II. JURISDICTION**

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and 9613(b). This Court also has personal jurisdiction over Settling Defendants. Solely for the purposes of this Partial Consent Decree and the underlying Complaint, Settling Defendants waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District. Settling Defendants shall not challenge the terms of this Partial Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Partial Consent Decree.

#### **III. PARTIES BOUND**

2. This Partial Consent Decree applies to and is binding upon the United States, DTSC, and Settling Defendants and their successors and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate status of a Settling Defendant including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property, shall in no way alter Settling Defendants' responsibilities under this Partial Consent Decree.

Settling Defendants shall provide a copy of this Partial Consent 3. Decree to each contractor hired by Settling Defendants to perform the Work required by this Partial Consent Decree and to each person representing any Settling Defendant with respect to the Chlorobenzene Plume or the Work, and shall condition all contracts entered into hereunder upon performance of the Work in conformity with the terms of this Partial Consent Decree. Settling Defendants or their contractors shall provide written notice of this Partial Consent Decree to all subcontractors hired to perform any portion of the Work required by this Partial Consent Decree. Settling Defendants shall nonetheless be responsible for ensuring that their contractors and subcontractors perform the Work in accordance with the terms of this Partial Consent Decree. With regard to the activities undertaken pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree, each contractor and subcontractor shall be deemed to be in a contractual relationship with Settling Defendants within the meaning of Section 107(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(b)(3).

#### **IV. DEFINITIONS**

4. Unless otherwise expressly provided in this Partial Consent Decree, terms used in this Partial Consent Decree that are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Partial Consent Decree or in the appendices attached hereto and incorporated hereunder, the following definitions shall apply solely for purposes of this Partial Consent Decree:

"Benzene Plume" shall mean the portion of the distribution of benzene in groundwater at the Dual Site that is not commingled with chlorobenzene, as defined in the ROD (page 7-11).

"CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C.

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1 **§§** 9601 – 9675.

2

"Chlorobenzene Plume" shall mean the entire distribution of chlorobenzene in groundwater at the Dual Site, and all other contaminants that are commingled with the chlorobenzene, as defined in the ROD (page 7-10).

"Construction CD" shall mean the Partial Consent Decree entered by the Court on August 22, 2012 (ECF No. 2735).

"Construction CD Obligations" shall mean all activities and obligations within the definition of "Work" set forth in Paragraph 4 of the Construction CD and all costs required to be paid under the Construction CD.

"Containment Zone" shall have the same meaning as set forth in the ROD. *See* Section 13 of the ROD.

"Day" shall mean a calendar day unless expressly stated to be a Working Day. The term "Working Day" shall mean a day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or federal or State holiday. In computing any period of time under this Partial Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or federal or State holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next Working Day.

"DDT Parties" shall mean Settling Defendants Montrose Chemical Corporation of California, Bayer CropScience Inc., TFCF America, Inc. (formerly 21st Century Fox America, Inc.), and Stauffer Management Company LLC.

"DTSC" shall mean the California Department of Toxic Substances Control, its officers, employees and representatives, all of its divisions and branches, any predecessor agency in interest, and the Hazardous Substance Account, as defined in California Health and Safety Code § 25330.

"DTSC Future Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs, that DTSC incurs in reviewing or developing plans, reports, and other deliverables submitted pursuant to this

Partial Consent Decree, in overseeing implementation of the Work, or otherwise
 implementing, overseeing, or enforcing this Partial Consent Decree, including,
 but not limited to, payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs, laboratory costs,
 the costs incurred pursuant to Sections VII (Remedy Review) and XIII
 (Emergency Response), and attorney fees (including paying for the services of
 the California Attorney General's Office). DTSC Future Response Costs also
 shall include all DTSC Interim Response Costs, and all Interest on those DTSC
 Past Response Costs that remain outstanding more than 60 Days from the date of
 the bill.

"DTSC Interim Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including direct and indirect costs, (a) paid by DTSC in connection with the Dual Site between October 1, 2019, and the Effective Date, or (b) incurred prior to the Effective Date but paid after that date in connection with the Dual Site. DTSC Interim Response Costs shall not include, however, DTSC costs paid by the DDT Parties under the Construction CD.

"DTSC Past Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs, that DTSC paid at or in connection with the Dual Site between July 1, 2017, and September 30, 2019, plus Interest on all such costs which has accrued pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a) through such date. DTSC Past Response Costs paid under this Partial Consent Decree shall not be costs paid by the DDT Parties under the Construction CD.

"Dual Site" shall mean the Dual Site Groundwater Operable Unit of the Montrose Chemical Corp. and Del Amo Superfund Sites, in Los Angeles County, California, which is depicted generally on the map attached as Appendix C.

"Effective Date" shall be the date upon which this Partial Consent Decree is entered by the Court as recorded on the Court docket, or, if the Court instead issues an order approving this Partial Consent Decree, the date such order

is recorded on the Court docket.

"EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies of the United States.

"EPA Future Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs, that the United States incurs in reviewing or developing plans, reports, and other deliverables submitted pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree, in overseeing implementation of the Work, or otherwise implementing, overseeing, or enforcing this Partial Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, payroll costs, contractor costs, travel costs, laboratory costs, the costs incurred pursuant to Paragraph 9 (Notice to Successors-in-Title and Transfers of Real Property), Section VII (Remedy Review), Section VIII (Access) (including, but not limited to, the cost of attorney time and any monies paid to secure access including, but not limited to, the amount of just compensation), Section XIII (Emergency Response), Paragraph 36 (Funding for Work Takeover), and Section XXVII (Community Relations). EPA Future Response Costs shall also include all EPA Interim Response Costs, and all Interest on those EPA Past Response Costs Settling Defendants have agreed to pay under this Partial Consent Decree that has accrued pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a) during the period from July 31, 2019 to the Effective Date. EPA Future Response Costs shall not include, however, EPA costs payable by the DDT Parties under the Construction CD.

"EPA Interim Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including direct and indirect costs, (a) paid by the United States in connection with the Chlorobenzene Plume between July 31, 2019, and the Effective Date, including costs incurred pursuant to EPA's oversight of EPA Order 2008-04A, or (b) incurred by the United States in connection with the Chlorobenzene Plume prior to the Effective Date but paid after that date. EPA Interim Response Costs shall not include, however, EPA costs payable by the DDT Parties under the

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

28

1

Construction CD, and shall not include costs related to the Dense Nonaqueous Phase Liquid ("DNAPL") Operable Unit of the Site.

"EPA Past Response Costs" shall mean all unrecovered costs, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs, that the United States paid at or in connection with the Dual Site between October 1, 1999, and July 31, 2019, including costs related to EPA Order 2008-04A, plus Interest on all such costs which has accrued pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a) through such date. EPA Past Response Costs shall not include, however, EPA costs payable by the DDT Parties under the Construction CD, and shall not include costs related to the DNAPL Operable Unit of the Site.

"Flowrate Memo" shall mean the memorandum making nonsignificant changes to the ROD titled "Clarification of Performance Standards Regarding Hydraulic Extraction and Reinjection in Section 13 of the 1999 Superfund Record of Decision: Montrose Chemical and Del Amo Sites, OU 3," issued on October 7, 2019.

"Institutional Controls" or "ICs" shall mean Proprietary Controls and state or local laws, regulations, ordinances, zoning restrictions, or other governmental controls or notices that: (a) limit land, water, or other resource use to minimize the potential for human exposure to Waste Material at or in connection with the Dual Site; (b) limit land, water, or other resource use to implement, ensure non-interference with, or ensure the protectiveness of the remedial action for the Dual Site as set forth in the ROD; and/or (c) provide information intended to modify or guide human behavior at or in connection with the Dual Site.

"Interest" shall mean interest at the rate specified for interest on investments of the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund established by 26 U.S.C. § 9507, compounded annually on October 1 of each year, in accordance with 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a). The applicable rate of interest shall be the rate in

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

effect at the time the interest accrues. The rate of interest is subject to change on October 1 of each year.

"MACP" shall mean the monitoring and aquifer compliance plan for implementing, maintaining, monitoring, and reporting of performance monitoring sufficient to meet the objectives of the ROD, as required by Section 3.9 of the SOW.

"Montrose Onshore Special Account" shall mean the special account, within the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund, established for the Montrose Chemical Corp. Superfund Site by EPA pursuant to Section 122(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(b)(3).

"National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, and any amendments thereto.

"Owner Settling Defendant" shall mean Stauffer Management Company LLC.

"Paragraph" shall mean a portion of this Partial Consent Decree identified by an Arabic numeral or an upper or lower case letter.

"Partial Consent Decree" or "Decree" shall mean this Partial Consent Decree and all appendices attached hereto (listed in Section XXVI, Appendices). In the event of conflict between this Partial Consent Decree and any appendix, this Partial Consent Decree shall control.

"Parties" shall mean the United States, DTSC, and Settling Defendants.

"Performance Standards" shall mean the cleanup standards and other measures of achievement of the goals of the Remedial Action that are related to the Chlorobenzene Plume, set forth in Section 13 of the ROD, as modified by the Flowrate Memo.

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

"Plaintiffs" shall mean the United States and the State, on behalf of DTSC.

"Post-Achievement O&M" shall mean all activities required to maintain the effectiveness of the Remedial Action after Performance Standards are met, including, but not limited to, activities required to contain the Chlorobenzene Plume within the Containment Zone indefinitely, as set forth in the RA/OM WP approved by EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, pursuant to Section VI (Performance of the Work by Settling Defendants) and the SOW, as provided in the MACP.

"Pre-Achievement O&M" shall mean all operation and maintenance activities required for the Remedial Action to achieve Performance Standards, as provided under the RA/OM WP approved by EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, pursuant to Section VI (Performance of the Work by Settling Defendants) and the SOW, until Performance Standards are met.

"Proprietary Controls" shall mean easements or covenants running with the land that (a) limit land, water, or other resource use and/or provide access rights and (b) are created pursuant to common law or statutory law by an instrument that is recorded in the appropriate land records office.

"Remedial Action/Operation and Maintenance Work Plan" or "RA/OM WP" shall mean the document developed pursuant to Section 3.1 of the SOW and Paragraph 12 below and approved by EPA, and any modifications thereto.

"RCRA" shall mean the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6901 – 6992 (also known as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act).

"Record of Decision" or "ROD" shall mean the EPA Record of Decision relating to the Dual Site Groundwater Operable Unit signed on March

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

30, 1999, by the Regional Administrator, EPA Region IX, or his/her delegate, and all attachments thereto, as modified by the Flowrate Memo. The ROD and the Flowrate Memo are attached as Appendix A.

"Remaining Work" shall mean, collectively, all activities, excluding the Work and the Construction CD Obligations, that will be necessary to implement the remedy selected in the ROD.

"Remedial Action" shall mean all activities Settling Defendants are required to perform under this Partial Consent Decree to implement the relevant portions of the ROD relating to the Chlorobenzene Plume, in accordance with the SOW, the final approved remedial design submission, the approved RA/OM WP, and other plans approved by EPA, including Pre-Achievement O&M, until the Performance Standards are met, and excluding performance of Post-Achievement O&M and the activities required under Section XXIII (Retention of Records).

"Section" shall mean a portion of this Partial Consent Decree identified by a Roman numeral.

"Settling Defendant," individually, and "Settling Defendants," collectively, shall mean TFCF America, Inc., Bayer CropScience Inc., Montrose Chemical Corporation of California ("Montrose"), Stauffer Management Company LLC and/or JCI Jones Chemicals, Inc. ("Jones").

"SOW" shall mean the statement of work for implementation of the Remedial Action and O&M for the Chlorobenzene Plume, as set forth in Appendix B to this Partial Consent Decree, and any modifications made to it in accordance with this Partial Consent Decree.

"State" shall mean the State of California and each department, agency and instrumentality of the State of California, including DTSC.

"Supervising Contractor" shall mean the principal contractor retained by Settling Defendants to supervise and direct the implementation of the Work under this Partial Consent Decree.

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

#### Case 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 21 of 97 Page ID #:8075

"TCE Plume" shall mean the portion of the distribution of chlorinated solvents – including, but not necessarily limited to, trichloroethene ("TCE"), perchloroethene ("PCE"), dichloroethene ("DCE") and trichloroethane ("TCA"), and any isomers of these compounds, in groundwater at the Dual Site that is <u>not</u> commingled with the Chlorobenzene Plume, as defined in the ROD (page 7-11).

"Transfer" shall mean to sell, assign, convey, lease, mortgage, or grant a security interest in, or where used as a noun, a sale, assignment, conveyance, or other disposition of any interest by operation of law or otherwise.

"United States" shall mean the United States of America and each department, agency and instrumentality of the United States, including EPA.

"Waste Material" shall mean (1) any "hazardous substance" under Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14); (2) any pollutant or contaminant under Section 101(33) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(33); (3) any "solid waste" under Section 1004(27) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6903(27); and (4) any hazardous substance under California Health and Safety Code § 25316.

"Work" shall mean all activities and obligations that Settling Defendants are required to perform under this Partial Consent Decree relating to the Chlorobenzene Plume, except the activities required under Section XXIII (Retention of Records).

#### V. GENERAL PROVISIONS

5. <u>Objectives of the Parties</u>. The objectives of the Parties in entering into this Partial Consent Decree are to protect public health, welfare, and the environment by providing for the implementation of response actions at the Chlorobenzene Plume by Settling Defendants, the payment by Settling Defendants of EPA and DTSC response costs, and the resolution of certain claims (or, in the case of Jones, the satisfaction of certain potential claims) of Plaintiffs against Settling Defendants, as set forth in Sections XIX and XX

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

("Covenants by Plaintiffs" and "Covenants by Settling Defendants").

6. <u>Commitments by Settling Defendants</u>.

a. Settling Defendants shall finance and perform the Work in
accordance with this Partial Consent Decree, the applicable substantive portions
of the ROD, the SOW, and all work plans and other plans, standards,
specifications, and schedules set forth in this Partial Consent Decree or developed
by and/or approved by EPA pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree.

b. The obligations of Settling Defendants to finance and perform the Work, including obligations to pay amounts due under this Partial Consent Decree, are joint and several. In the event of the insolvency of any Settling Defendant or the failure by any Settling Defendant to implement any requirement of this Partial Consent Decree, the remaining Settling Defendants shall complete all such requirements.

c. Settling Defendants shall pay the United States for EPA Past Response Costs, EPA Interim Response Costs and EPA Future Response Costs, and pay DTSC for DTSC Past Response Costs, DTSC Interim Response Costs and DTSC Future Response Costs, as provided in this Partial Consent Decree.

d. Settling Defendants shall finance and perform any periodic review activities required under Section VII of this Partial Consent Decree (Remedy Review) and any additional activities related to the Chlorobenzene Plume required pursuant to Section XII (Certification of Completion) in accordance with this Partial Consent Decree, the applicable provisions of the ROD, the SOW, and all work plans and other plans, standards, specifications, and schedules set forth in this Partial Consent Decree or developed by and approved by EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC.

7. <u>Compliance with Applicable Law</u>. All activities undertaken by Settling Defendants pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree shall be performed in accordance with the requirements of all applicable federal and California laws

#### Case 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 23 of 97 Page ID #:8077

and regulations. Settling Defendants must also comply with all applicable or
relevant and appropriate requirements of all federal and state environmental laws
as set forth in the ROD and the SOW. The activities conducted pursuant to this
Partial Consent Decree, if approved by EPA, shall be deemed to be consistent
with the NCP.

8. <u>Permits</u>.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

a. As provided in Section 121(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(e), and Section 300.400(e) of the NCP, no permit shall be required for any portion of the Work conducted entirely on-site (i.e., within the areal extent of contamination or in very close proximity to the contamination and necessary for implementation of the Work). Settling Defendants may seek the assistance of the United States and DTSC with respect to permits proposed by any State, county, municipal, or other governmental body.

b. Where any portion of the Work that is not on-site requires a federal or state permit or approval, Settling Defendants shall submit timely and complete applications and take all other actions necessary to obtain all such permits or approvals. Settling Defendants may seek relief under the provisions of Section XVI (Force Majeure) for any delay in the performance of the Work resulting from a failure to obtain, or a delay in obtaining, any permit or approval referenced in Paragraph 8.a and required for the Work, provided that Settling Defendants have submitted timely and complete applications and taken all other actions necessary to obtain all such permits or approvals.

c. This Partial Consent Decree is not, and shall not be construed to be, a permit issued pursuant to any federal or state statute or regulation.

9.

Notice to Successors-in-Title and Transfers of Real Property.

a. For any real property owned or controlled by Owner Settling
Defendant located at the Dual Site, Owner Settling Defendant shall, within 15
Days after the Effective Date, submit to EPA for review and approval a proposed

#### Case 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 24 of 97 Page ID #:8078

notice to be filed with the appropriate land records office that provides a description of the real property and provides notice to all successors-in-title that the real property is part of the Dual Site, that EPA has selected a remedy for the Dual Site, and that potentially responsible parties have entered into a Partial Consent Decree requiring implementation of the remedy. The notice also shall identify the United States District Court in which this Partial Consent Decree was filed, the name and civil action number of this case, and the date this Partial Consent Decree was entered by the Court. Owner Settling Defendant shall record the notice within ten Days after EPA's approval of the notice. Owner Settling Defendant shall provide EPA with a certified copy of the recorded notice within ten Days after recording such notice.

b. Owner Settling Defendant shall, at least 60 Days prior to any Transfer of any real property located at the Dual Site, give written notice: (1) to the transferee regarding this Partial Consent Decree; and (2) to EPA and DTSC regarding the proposed Transfer, including the name and address of the transferee and the date on which the transferee was notified of this Partial Consent Decree.

c. Owner Settling Defendant may Transfer any real property located at the Dual Site only if: Owner Settling Defendant has obtained an agreement from the transferee, enforceable by Settling Defendants, the State, and the United States, to allow access and restrict land/water use, pursuant to Paragraph 20, and EPA has approved the agreement in writing. If, after a Transfer of the real property, the transferee fails to comply with the agreement provided for in this Paragraph 9.c, Owner Settling Defendant shall take all reasonable steps to obtain the transferee's compliance with such agreement. The United States may seek the transferee's compliance with the agreement and/or assist Owner Settling Defendant in obtaining compliance with the agreement. Settling Defendants shall reimburse the United States under Section XIV (Payments for Response Costs), for all costs incurred, direct or indirect, by the United States regarding

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

obtaining compliance with such agreement, including, but not limited to, the cost of attorney time.

d. In the event of any Transfer of real property located at the Dual Site, unless the United States otherwise consents in writing, Settling Defendants shall continue to comply with their obligations under this Partial Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, their obligation to provide and/or secure access.

## VI. PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS

10. <u>Selection of Supervising Contractor</u>.

a. All aspects of the Work to be performed by Settling Defendants pursuant to Sections VI (Performance of the Work by Settling Defendants), VII (Remedy Review), VIII (Access), and XIII (Emergency Response) shall be under the direction and supervision of the Supervising Contractor, the selection of which shall be subject to disapproval by EPA after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC. Within 30 Days after the lodging of this Partial Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall notify EPA and DTSC in writing of the name, title, and qualifications of any contractor proposed to be the Supervising Contractor for the Work. With respect to any contractor proposed to be Supervising Contractor, Settling Defendants shall demonstrate that the proposed contractor has a quality assurance system that complies with ANSI/ASQC E4-2004, Quality Systems for Environmental Data and Technology Programs: Requirements with Guidance for Use (American National Standard), by submitting a copy of the proposed contractor's Quality Management Plan ("QMP"). The QMP should be prepared in accordance with "EPA Requirements for Quality Management Plans (QA/R-2)" (EPA/240/B-01/002, March 2001, reissued May 2006) or equivalent documentation as determined by EPA. EPA will issue a notice of disapproval or an authorization to proceed regarding hiring of each proposed contractor. If at any time thereafter, Settling Defendants propose to change the Supervising Contractor, Settling Defendants shall give

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

notice to EPA and DTSC and must obtain an authorization to proceed from EPA,
after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, before the new
Supervising Contractor performs, directs, or supervises any Work under this
Partial Consent Decree.

b. If EPA disapproves a proposed Supervising Contractor, EPA will notify Settling Defendants in writing. Settling Defendants shall submit to EPA and DTSC a list of contractors, including the qualifications of each contractor, that would be acceptable to them within 30 Days of receipt of EPA's disapproval of the contractor previously proposed. EPA will provide written notice of the names of any contractor(s) that it disapproves and an authorization to proceed with respect to any of the other contractors. Settling Defendants may select any contractor from that list that is not disapproved and shall notify EPA and DTSC of the name of the contractor selected within 21 Days of EPA's authorization to proceed.

c. If EPA fails to provide written notice of its authorization to proceed or disapproval as provided in this Paragraph and this failure prevents Settling Defendants from meeting one or more deadlines in a plan approved by EPA pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree, Settling Defendants may seek relief under Section XVI (Force Majeure).

11. <u>Remedial Design</u>.

a. The Parties acknowledge that Montrose has completed the remedial design report for the Chlorobenzene Plume remedy, pursuant to EPA Order 2008-04A.

b. Montrose did not undertake design of systems to address the TCE Plume or the Benzene Plume, which are elements of the remedy selected in the ROD. Design of the TCE Plume and Benzene Plume remedy is outside the scope of this Partial Consent Decree.

12. <u>Remedial Action</u>.

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

a. Within 30 Days after the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall submit to EPA and DTSC an RA/OM WP for performance of the Remedial Action at the Chlorobenzene Plume. Remedial Action for the TCE Plume and Benzene Plume is outside the scope of this Partial Consent Decree. The RA/OM WP shall provide for implementation of the SOW and achievement of the Performance Standards, in accordance with this Partial Consent Decree, the relevant portions of the ROD, the SOW, and the final approved remedial design submission. Upon approval by EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, the RA/OM WP shall be incorporated into and enforceable under this Partial Consent Decree.

b. Periodic monitoring for the Chlorobenzene Plume remedy shall
be conducted as part of this remedy in accordance with the EPA-approved
MACP, approved by EPA after reasonable opportunity for review and comment
by DTSC.

c. In this and every other respect, Settling Defendants shall fully implement and comply with the SOW that is attached hereto as Appendix B and incorporated herein by reference. The Work to be performed pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree shall, at a minimum, achieve the requirements of, and be performed in a manner consistent with, the applicable portions of the ROD and this Partial Consent Decree.

13. Settling Defendants shall continue to implement the Remedial Action until the Performance Standards are achieved. Settling Defendants shall implement Post-Achievement O&M for so long thereafter as is required by this Partial Consent Decree.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14. <u>Modification of SOW or Related Work Plans</u>.

a. If EPA, following consultation with DTSC, determines that it is necessary to modify the work specified in the SOW and/or in work plans developed pursuant to the SOW to achieve and maintain the Performance

Standards or to carry out and maintain the effectiveness of the remedy set forth in
the relevant portions of the ROD, and such modification is consistent with the
scope of the remedial work set forth in the ROD, then EPA may issue such
modification in writing and shall notify Settling Defendants of such modification.
For the purposes of this Paragraph and Paragraphs 38 (Completion of the
Remedial Action) and 39 (Completion of the Work) only, the "scope of the
remedial work set forth in the ROD" is generally composed of the following
elements for the Chlorobenzene Plume, as further specified in the ROD:

(1) Where technically practicable, reduce the concentrations of contaminants in groundwater for the Chlorobenzene Plume to in-situ groundwater standards levels, as described in the ROD, Section 13, part 9;

(2) In areas of groundwater where attainment of in-situ groundwater standards levels is not technically practicable, contain contaminants within their lateral extent and depth, as described in the ROD, Section 10.4 and Section 13, parts 5 and 8;

(3) Isolate chlorobenzene non-aqueous phase liquid("NAPL") by surrounding it with a zone of groundwater from which dissolved phase contaminants cannot escape, as described in the ROD, Section 13, parts 5 and 8;

(4) Prevent lateral and vertical migration of dissolved
 contaminants in groundwater at concentrations greater than in-situ
 groundwater standards to areas where currently they are not present or are
 below in-situ groundwater standards, as described in the ROD, Section 13,
 part 10; and

(5) Protect current and future users of groundwater from exposure to Chlorobenzene Plume groundwater contaminants at concentrations above in-situ groundwater standards levels.

The "scope of the remedial work set forth in the ROD" shall not include
treatment of para-chlorobenzene sulfonic acid ("pCBSA") below the 25,000 parts
per billion ("ppb") reinjection standard set forth in the ROD or discharge of
treated water by means other than aquifer reinjection. If Settling Defendants
object to the modification they may, within 45 Days after EPA's notification,
seek dispute resolution under Paragraph 59 (Record Review).

b. The SOW and/or related work plans shall be modified: (i) in accordance with the modification issued by EPA; or (ii) if Settling Defendants invoke dispute resolution, in accordance with the final resolution of the dispute. The modification shall be incorporated into and enforceable under this Partial Consent Decree. Settling Defendants shall incorporate the modification into its RA/OM WP under Paragraph 12, as appropriate, and shall implement all work required by such modification.

c. Nothing in this Paragraph shall be construed to limit EPA's authority to require performance of further response actions as provided in other paragraphs of this Partial Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, Paragraph 17.

15. Nothing in this Partial Consent Decree, the SOW, EPA Order 2008-04A, the final approved remedial design submission, or the RA/OM WP constitutes a warranty or representation of any kind by Plaintiffs that compliance with the work requirements set forth in the SOW and the RA/OM WP will ensure completion of the Work in compliance with the applicable substantive portions of the ROD.

#### VII. REMEDY REVIEW

16. <u>Periodic Review</u>. Settling Defendants shall conduct any studies and investigations that EPA requests in order to permit EPA to conduct reviews of whether the Remedial Action is protective of human health and the environment at least every five years as required by Section 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C.

1 || § 9621(c), and any applicable regulations.

17. <u>EPA Selection of Further Response Actions</u>. If EPA determines at any time that the Remedial Action is not protective of human health and the environment, EPA may, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, select further response actions for the Dual Site in accordance with the requirements of CERCLA and the NCP.

18. <u>Opportunity to Comment</u>. DTSC, Settling Defendants, and, if required by Sections 113(k)(2) or 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9613(k)(2) or 9617, the public, will be provided with an opportunity to comment on any further response actions proposed by EPA as a result of the review conducted pursuant to Section 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), and to submit written comments for the record during the comment period.

## VIII. ACCESS

19. If any real property where access or land/water use restrictions are needed to implement the Work or the Remaining Work is owned or controlled by any of Settling Defendants:

a. Such Settling Defendants shall, commencing on the date of lodging of this Partial Consent Decree, provide the United States, the State, DTSC, and the other Settling Defendants, and their representatives, contractors, and subcontractors, with access at all reasonable times to the Dual Site, or such other real property, for the purpose of conducting any activity related to the Work or Remaining Work including, but not limited to, the following activities:

(1) Monitoring the Work or Remaining Work;

(2) Verifying any data or information submitted to the United States or DTSC;

(3) Conducting investigations regarding contamination at or near the Dual Site;

(4) Obtaining samples;

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

(5) Assessing the need for, planning, or implementing the Work or Remaining Work or additional response actions at or near the Dual Site;

(6) Assessing implementation of quality assurance and quality control practices as defined in the approved Quality Assurance Project Plans;

(7) Implementing the Work pursuant to the conditions set forth in Paragraph 77 (Work Takeover);

(8) Inspecting and copying non-privileged records,operating logs, contracts, or other documents maintained or generated bySettling Defendants or their agents, consistent with Section XXII (Access to Information);

(9) Assessing Settling Defendants' compliance with this Partial Consent Decree or any other orders or consent decrees that implement the remedy described in the relevant provisions of the ROD; and

(10) Determining whether the Dual Site or other real property is being used in a manner that may need to be prohibited or restricted under this Partial Consent Decree.

b. Commencing on the date of lodging of this Partial Consent Decree, such Settling Defendants shall not use the Dual Site, or such other real property, in any manner that EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, determines will pose an unacceptable risk to human health or to the environment due to exposure to Waste Materials or interfere with or adversely affect the implementation, integrity, or protectiveness of the Remedial Action. The restrictions shall include, but not be limited to, the following: prohibiting the use of groundwater in the Containment Zone as a drinking water source and limiting the drilling of new groundwater wells or any other excavation

<sup>1</sup> with the potential to penetrate contaminated aquifers.

20. If any real property where access is needed to implement the requirements of the relevant provisions of the ROD or this Partial Consent Decree, is owned or controlled by persons other than any Settling Defendant, Settling Defendants shall use best efforts to secure from such persons an agreement to provide access thereto for the United States, the State, DTSC, and Settling Defendants, and their representatives, contractors and subcontractors, to conduct any activity related to its Work for the Dual Site including, but not limited to, the activities listed in Paragraph 19.a.

For purposes of Paragraph 20, "best efforts" includes the payment of 21. reasonable sums of money to obtain access, except that "best efforts" shall not include payment of money to any party that received from EPA a letter providing special notice of potential liability related to the Montrose Chemical Corp. Superfund Site, the Del Amo Superfund Site, or the Dual Site. If, within 120 Days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendants have not obtained agreements to provide access, as required by Paragraph 20, Settling Defendants shall promptly notify the United States and DTSC in writing, and shall include in that notification a summary of the steps that they have taken to attempt to comply with Paragraph 20. The United States and DTSC may, in the manner they deem appropriate, assist Settling Defendants in obtaining access. Settling Defendants shall reimburse the United States and DTSC under Section XIV (Payments for Response Costs), for all costs incurred, direct or indirect, by the United States and DTSC in obtaining such access, including, but not limited to, the cost of attorney time and the amount of monetary consideration paid or just compensation.

22. If EPA, in consultation with DTSC, determines that Institutional Controls in the form of state or local laws, regulations, ordinances, zoning restrictions, or other governmental controls are needed, Settling Defendants shall

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

2

cooperate with EPA's and DTSC's efforts to secure and ensure compliance with such Institutional Controls.

23. Notwithstanding any provision of this Partial Consent Decree, the United States and DTSC retain all of their access authorities and rights, as well as all of their rights to require Institutional Controls, including enforcement authorities related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA, and any other applicable federal or California statute or regulations.

# IX. EPA APPROVAL OF PLANS, REPORTS, AND OTHER DELIVERABLES

24. <u>Initial Submissions</u>.

a. After review of any plan, report, or other deliverable that is required to be submitted for approval pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree, EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, shall: (i) approve, in whole or in part, the submission; (ii) approve the submission upon specified conditions; (iii) disapprove, in whole or in part, the submission; or (iv) any combination of the foregoing.

b. EPA also may modify the initial submission to cure deficiencies in the submission if: (i) EPA determines that disapproving the submission and awaiting a resubmission would cause substantial disruption to the Work; or (ii) previous submission(s) have been disapproved due to material defects and the deficiencies in the initial submission under consideration indicate a bad faith lack of effort to submit an acceptable plan, report, or deliverable.

25. <u>Resubmissions</u>. Upon receipt of a notice of disapproval under Paragraph 24.a.(iii) or (iv), or if required by a notice of approval upon specified conditions under Paragraph 24.a.(ii), Settling Defendants shall, within 21 Days or such longer time as specified by EPA in such notice, correct the deficiencies and resubmit the plan, report, or other deliverable for approval. After review of the resubmitted plan, report, or other deliverable, EPA may, after reasonable

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

opportunity for review and comment by DTSC: (a) approve, in whole or in part,
the resubmission; (b) approve the resubmission upon specified conditions; (c)
modify the resubmission; (d) disapprove, in whole or in part, the resubmission,
requiring Settling Defendants to correct the deficiencies; or (e) any combination
of the foregoing.

26. <u>Material Defects</u>. If an initially submitted or resubmitted plan, report, or other deliverable contains a material defect, and the plan, report, or other deliverable is disapproved or modified by EPA under Paragraph 24.b.(ii) or 25 due to such material defect, then the material defect shall constitute a lack of compliance for purposes of Paragraph 62. The provisions of Section XVII (Dispute Resolution) and Section XVIII (Stipulated Penalties) shall govern the accrual and payment of any stipulated penalties regarding Settling Defendants' submissions under this Section.

27. <u>Implementation</u>. Upon approval, approval upon conditions, or modification by EPA under Paragraph 24 or 25, of any plan, report, or other deliverable, or any portion thereof: (a) such plan, report, or other deliverable, or portion thereof, shall be incorporated into and enforceable under this Partial Consent Decree; and (b) Settling Defendants shall take any action required by such plan, report, or other deliverable, or portion thereof, subject only to their right to invoke the Dispute Resolution procedures set forth in Section XVII (Dispute Resolution) with respect to the modifications or conditions made by EPA. The implementation of any non-deficient portion of a plan, report, or other deliverable submitted or resubmitted under Paragraph 24 or 25 shall not relieve Settling Defendants of any liability for stipulated penalties under Section XVIII (Stipulated Penalties).

28. <u>Review by DTSC</u>. All plans, reports or other deliverables required to be submitted to, or reviewed by, DTSC pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree shall be sent to DTSC separately and simultaneously at the addresses provided in

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

Section XXIV (Notices and Submissions) of this Partial Consent Decree.

## X. PROJECT COORDINATORS

29. Within 30 Days of lodging this Partial Consent Decree, DTSC, EPA, and Settling Defendants will notify all other Parties, in writing, of the name, address, and telephone number of their designated Project Coordinator and Alternate Project Coordinator. If a Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator initially designated is changed, the identity of the successor will be given to the other Parties at least five Working Days before the change occurs, unless impracticable, but in no event later than the actual day the change is made. Settling Defendants' Project Coordinator shall be subject to disapproval by EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, and shall have the technical expertise sufficient to adequately oversee all aspects of the Work. Project Coordinator shall not be an attorney for any Settling Defendant in this matter. A Project Coordinator may assign another individual, including another contractor, to serve as Settling Defendants' representative for oversight of performance of daily operations during remedial activities.

30. Plaintiffs may designate other representatives, including, but not limited to, EPA and State employees, and federal and State contractors and consultants, to observe and monitor the progress of any activity undertaken pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree. EPA's Project Coordinator and Alternate Project Coordinator shall have the authority lawfully vested in a Remedial Project Manager ("RPM") and an On-Scene Coordinator ("OSC") by the NCP, 40 C.F.R. Part 300. EPA's Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator shall have authority, consistent with the NCP, to halt any Work required by this Partial Consent Decree and to take any necessary response action when he or she determines that conditions at the Dual Site constitute an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment due to release or threatened release of Waste

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

<sup>1</sup> Material.

31. Settling Defendants' Project Coordinator will meet with EPA's and DTSC's Project Coordinators on a monthly basis, either in person or by teleconference, as established by EPA's Project Coordinator. One year following the Effective Date, the meetings will take place quarterly, unless EPA determines that monthly meetings are still required. Three years after the Effective Date, the meetings will take place semi-annually, unless EPA determines that more frequent meetings are necessary.

## XI. PERFORMANCE GUARANTEE

32. In order to ensure the full and final completion of the Work, Settling Defendants shall establish and maintain a performance guarantee for the benefit of EPA, initially in the amount of \$52,600,000 (the "Estimated Cost of the Work"). The performance guarantee, which must be satisfactory in form and substance to EPA, shall be in the form of one or more of the following mechanisms (provided that, if Settling Defendants intend to use multiple mechanisms, such multiple mechanisms shall be limited to surety bonds guaranteeing payment, letters of credit, trust funds, and insurance policies):

a. A surety bond unconditionally guaranteeing payment and/or performance of the Work that is issued by a surety company among those listed as acceptable sureties on federal bonds as set forth in Circular 570 of the U.S. Department of the Treasury;

b. One or more irrevocable letters of credit, payable to or at the direction of EPA, that is issued by one or more financial institution(s) (i) that has the authority to issue letters of credit and (ii) whose letter-of-credit operations are regulated and examined by a federal or state agency;

c. A trust fund established for the benefit of EPA that is administered by a trustee (i) that has the authority to act as a trustee and (ii) whose trust operations are regulated and examined by a federal or state agency;

d. A policy of insurance that (i) provides EPA with acceptable rights as a beneficiary thereof; and (ii) is issued by an insurance carrier (a) that has the authority to issue insurance policies in the applicable jurisdiction(s) and (b) whose insurance operations are regulated and examined by a federal or state agency;

e. A demonstration by one or more Settling Defendants that such Settling Defendant(s) meet(s) the financial test criteria of 40 C.F.R. § 264.143(f) with respect to the Estimated Cost of the Work (plus the amount(s) of any other federal or any state environmental obligations financially assured through the use of a financial test or guarantee), provided that all other requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 264.143(f) are met to EPA's satisfaction; or

f. A written guarantee to fund or perform the Work executed in favor of EPA by one or more of the following: (i) a direct or indirect parent company of a Settling Defendant, or (ii) a company that has a "substantial business relationship" (as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 264.141(h)) with at least one Settling Defendant; provided, however, that any company providing such a guarantee must demonstrate to the satisfaction of EPA that it satisfies the financial test and reporting requirements for owners and operators set forth in subparagraphs (1) through (8) of 40 C.F.R. § 264.143(f) with respect to the Estimated Cost of the particular Work (plus the amount(s) of any other federal or any state environmental obligations financially assured through the use of a financial test or guarantee) that it proposes to guarantee hereunder.

33. Settling Defendants have selected, and EPA has found satisfactory, as an initial performance guarantee, two letters of credit, each in the amount of \$26,300,000 for a total of \$52,600,000. The substance of those letters of credit is set forth as Appendix D. Within 30 Days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall submit copies of all executed and/or otherwise finalized instruments or other documents required in order to make the selected

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

performance guarantee(s) legally binding to the EPA Regional Financial
Management Officer in accordance with Section XXIV (Notices and
Submissions), with a copy to Financial Analyst, 75 Hawthorne Street, San
Francisco, California 94105, and to the United States and EPA and DTSC as
specified in Section XXIV (Notices and Submissions).

If, at any time after the Effective Date and before issuance of the 34. Certification of Completion of the Work pursuant to Paragraph 39, Settling Defendants provide a performance guarantee for completion of the Work by means of a demonstration or guarantee pursuant to Paragraph 32.e or 32.f, Settling Defendants shall also comply with the other relevant requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 264.143(f) relating to these mechanisms unless otherwise provided in this Partial Consent Decree, including but not limited to: (a) the initial submission of required financial reports and statements from the relevant entity's chief financial officer ("CFO") and independent certified public accountant ("CPA"), in the form prescribed by EPA in its financial test sample CFO letters and CPA reports available under the "Financial Assurance – Settlements" subject list category on the Cleanup Enforcement Model Language and Sample Documents Database at https://cfpub.epa.gov/compliance/models; (b) the annual re-submission of such reports and statements within 90 Days after the close of each such entity's fiscal year; and (c) the prompt notification of EPA after each such entity determines that it no longer satisfies the financial test requirements set forth at 40 C.F.R. § 264.143(f)(1) and in any event within 90 Days after the close of any fiscal year in which such entity no longer satisfies such financial test requirements. For purposes of the performance guarantee mechanisms specified in this Section XI, references in 40 C.F.R. Part 264, Subpart H, to "closure," "post-closure," and "plugging and abandonment" shall be deemed to include the Work; the terms "current closure cost estimate," "current post-closure cost estimate," and "current plugging and abandonment cost estimate" shall be

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

28

deemed to include the Estimated Cost of the Work; the terms "owner" and "operator" shall be deemed to refer to Settling Defendants making a demonstration under Paragraph 32.e; and the terms "facility" and "hazardous waste facility" shall be deemed to include those facilities or components of the Dual Site located within the area addressed by the Work.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

35. In the event that EPA determines at any time that a performance guarantee provided by any Settling Defendant pursuant to this Section is inadequate or otherwise no longer satisfies the requirements set forth in this Section, whether due to an increase in the estimated cost of completing the Work or for any other reason, or in the event that any Settling Defendant becomes aware of information indicating that a performance guarantee provided pursuant to this Section is inadequate or otherwise no longer satisfies the requirements set forth in this Section, whether due to an increase in the estimated cost of completing the Work or for any other reason, Settling Defendants, within 30 Days of receipt of notice of EPA's determination or, as the case may be, within 30 Days of any Settling Defendant becoming aware of such information, shall obtain and present to EPA for approval a proposal for a revised or alternative form of performance guarantee listed in Paragraph 32 that satisfies all requirements set forth in this Section XI; provided, however, that if any Settling Defendant cannot obtain such revised or alternative form of performance guarantee within such 30-Day period, and provided further that the Settling Defendant shall have commenced to obtain such revised or alternative form of performance guarantee within such 30-Day period, and thereafter diligently proceeds to obtain the same, EPA shall extend such period for such time as is reasonably necessary for Settling Defendants in the exercise of due diligence to obtain such revised or alternative form of performance guarantee, such additional period not to exceed 30 Days. In seeking approval for a revised or alternative form of performance guarantee, Settling Defendants shall follow the procedures

set forth in Paragraph 37. Settling Defendants' inability to post a performance
guarantee for completion of the Work shall in no way excuse performance of any
other requirements of this Partial Consent Decree, including, without limitation,
the obligation of Settling Defendants to complete the Work in strict accordance
with the terms of this Partial Consent Decree.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Funding for Work Takeover. The commencement of Work 36. Takeover for any particular Work pursuant to Paragraph 77 shall trigger EPA's right to receive the benefit of any performance guarantee(s) provided pursuant to Paragraphs 32.a, 32.b, 32.c, 32.d, or 32.f, and at such time EPA shall have immediate access to resources guaranteed under any such performance guarantee(s), whether in cash or in kind, as needed to continue and complete the Work assumed by EPA under the Work Takeover. Upon the commencement of any Work Takeover, if (a) for any reason EPA is unable to promptly secure the resources guaranteed under any such performance guarantee(s), whether in cash or in kind, necessary to continue and complete the Work assumed by EPA under the Work Takeover, or (b) in the event that the performance guarantee involves a demonstration of satisfaction of the financial test criteria pursuant to Paragraph 32.e or Paragraph 32.f(ii), Settling Defendants (or in the case of Paragraph 32.f.(ii), the guarantor) shall immediately upon written demand from EPA deposit into a special account within the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund or such other account as EPA may specify, in immediately available funds and without setoff, counterclaim, or condition of any kind, a cash amount up to but not exceeding the estimated cost of completing the Work as of such date, as determined by EPA. In addition, if at any time EPA is notified by the issuer of a performance guarantee that such issuer intends to cancel the performance guarantee mechanism it has issued, then, unless Settling Defendants provide a substitute performance guarantee mechanism in accordance with this Section XI no later than 30 Days prior to the impending cancellation date, EPA

#### Case 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 41 of 97 Page ID #:8095

shall be entitled (as of and after the date that is 30 Days prior to the impending 1 cancellation) to draw fully on the funds guaranteed under the then-existing 2 performance guarantee. All EPA Work Takeover costs not reimbursed under this Paragraph shall be reimbursed under Section XIV (Payments for Response Costs).

3

#### Modification of Amount and/or Form of Performance Guarantee. 37.

a. Reduction of Amount of Performance Guarantee. If Settling Defendants believe that the estimated cost of completing the Work has diminished below the amount set forth in Paragraph 32, Settling Defendants may, on any anniversary of the Effective Date, or at any other time agreed to by EPA, DTSC and Settling Defendants, petition EPA in writing to request a reduction in the amount of the performance guarantee provided pursuant to this Section so that the amount of the performance guarantee is equal to the estimated cost of completing the relevant Work. Settling Defendants shall submit a written proposal for such reduction to EPA that shall specify, at a minimum, the estimated cost of completing its Work and the basis upon which such cost was calculated. In seeking approval for a reduction in the amount of the performance guarantee, Settling Defendants shall follow the procedures set forth in Paragraph 37.b(2) for requesting a revised or alternative form of performance guarantee, except as specifically provided in this Paragraph. If EPA decides to accept any such proposal for a reduction in the amount of the performance guarantee, either to the amount set forth in a written proposal or to some other amount as selected by EPA, EPA will notify Settling Defendants of such decision in writing. Upon EPA's acceptance of a reduction in the amount of the performance guarantee, the Estimated Cost of the Work shall be deemed to be the estimated cost of completing the Work set forth in EPA's written decision. After receiving EPA's written decision, Settling Defendants may reduce the amount of the performance guarantee in accordance with and to the extent permitted by such

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

written acceptance and shall submit copies of all executed and/or otherwise
 finalized instruments or other documents required in order to make the selected
 performance guarantee(s) legally binding in accordance with Paragraph 37.b(2).
 In the event of a dispute, Settling Defendants may reduce the amount of the
 performance guarantee required hereunder only in accordance with a final
 administrative or judicial decision resolving such dispute pursuant to Section
 XVII (Dispute Resolution). No change to the form or terms of any performance
 guarantee provided under this Section, other than a reduction in amount, is
 authorized except as provided in Paragraphs 35 or 37.b.

b. Change of Form of Performance Guarantee.

(1) If, after the Effective Date, Settling Defendants desire to change the form or terms of any performance guarantee(s) provided pursuant to this Section, Settling Defendants may, on any anniversary of the Effective Date, or at any other time agreed to by the Parties, petition EPA in writing to request a change in the form or terms of the performance guarantee provided hereunder. The submission of such proposed revised or alternative performance guarantee shall be as provided in Paragraph 37.b(2). Any decision made by EPA on a petition submitted under this Paragraph shall be made in EPA's sole and unreviewable discretion, and such decision shall not be subject to challenge by Settling Defendants pursuant to the dispute resolution provisions of this Partial Consent Decree or in any other forum.

(2) Settling Defendants shall submit a written proposal for a revised or alternative performance guarantee to EPA that shall specify, at a minimum, the estimated cost of completing the Work, the basis upon which such cost was calculated, and the proposed revised performance guarantee, including all proposed instruments or other documents required in order to make the proposed performance guarantee legally binding. The

proposed revised or alternative performance guarantee must satisfy all requirements set forth or incorporated by reference in this Section. Settling Defendants shall submit such proposed revised or alternative performance guarantee to the EPA Regional Financial Management Officer in accordance with Section XXIV (Notices and Submissions), with a copy to Financial Analyst, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105. EPA will notify Settling Defendants in writing of its decision to accept or reject a revised or alternative performance guarantee submitted pursuant to this Paragraph. Within ten Working Days after receiving a written decision approving the proposed revised or alternative performance guarantee, Settling Defendants shall execute and/or otherwise finalize all instruments or other documents required in order to make the selected performance guarantee(s) legally binding in a form substantially identical to the documents submitted to EPA as part of the proposal, and such performance guarantee(s) shall thereupon be fully effective. Settling Defendants shall submit copies of all executed and/or otherwise finalized instruments or other documents required in order to make the selected performance guarantee(s) legally binding to the EPA Regional Financial Management Officer within 30 Days of receiving a written decision approving the proposed revised or alternative performance guarantee in accordance with Section XXIV (Notices and Submissions), with a copy to Financial Analyst, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105, and to the United States, EPA, and DTSC as specified in Section XXIV.

c. <u>Release of Performance Guarantee</u>. Settling Defendants shall not release, cancel, or discontinue any performance guarantee provided pursuant to this Section except as provided in this Paragraph. If Settling Defendants receive written notice from EPA in accordance with Paragraph 39 that the Work has been fully and finally completed in accordance with the terms of this Partial Consent

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

28

Decree, or if EPA otherwise so notifies Settling Defendants in writing, Settling
 Defendants may thereafter release, cancel, or discontinue the performance
 guarantee(s) provided pursuant to this Section. In the event of a dispute Settling
 Defendants may release, cancel, or discontinue the performance guarantee(s)
 required hereunder only in accordance with a final administrative or judicial
 decision resolving such dispute pursuant to Section XVII (Dispute Resolution).

# XII. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION

38. <u>Completion of the Remedial Action</u>.

a. Within 90 Days after Settling Defendants conclude that the Remedial Action has been fully performed and the Performance Standards have been achieved, Settling Defendants shall schedule and conduct a pre-certification inspection to be attended by Settling Defendants, EPA, and DTSC. If, after the pre-certification inspection, Settling Defendants still believe that the Remedial Action has been fully performed and the Performance Standards have been achieved, they shall submit a written report requesting certification to EPA for approval, with a copy to DTSC pursuant to Section IX (EPA Approval of Plans, Reports, and Other Deliverables) within 30 Days after the inspection. In the report, a professional engineer registered in California and Settling Defendants' Project Coordinator shall state that the Remedial Action has been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Partial Consent Decree. The written report shall include as-built drawings signed and stamped by a professional engineer registered in California. The report shall be prepared in accordance with Chapter 2 (Remedial Action Completion) of EPA's Close Out Procedures for NPL Sites guidance (May 2011), as supplemented by Guidance for Management of Superfund Remedies in Post Construction, OLEM 9200.3-105 (Feb. 2017), and any amendments to the guidance. The report shall include a detailed and comprehensive Performance Evaluation and Status Report, including the elements in Section 3.6 of the SOW, and containing monitoring data to

demonstrate that Performance Standards have been achieved. The report shall 1 contain the following statement, signed by a responsible corporate official of a 2 Settling Defendant or Settling Defendants' Project Coordinator: 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I certify under penalty of federal and State law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

If, after completion of the pre-certification inspection and receipt and review of the written report, EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, determines that the Remedial Action or any portion thereof has not been completed in accordance with this Partial Consent Decree or that the Performance Standards have not been achieved, EPA will notify Settling Defendants in writing of the activities that must be undertaken by Settling Defendants pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree to complete the Remedial Action and achieve the Performance Standards, provided, however, that EPA may only require Settling Defendants to perform such activities pursuant to this Paragraph to the extent that such activities are consistent with the "scope of the remedial work set forth in the ROD," as that term is defined in Paragraph 14.a. EPA will set forth in the notice a schedule for performance of such activities consistent with this Partial Consent Decree and the SOW or require Settling Defendants to submit a schedule to EPA for approval pursuant to Section IX (EPA Approval of Plans, Reports, and Other Deliverables). Settling Defendants

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

shall perform all activities described in the notice in accordance with the
specifications and schedules established pursuant to this Paragraph, subject to
their right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XVII
(Dispute Resolution).

b. If EPA concludes, based on the initial or any subsequent report requesting Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action and after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, that the Remedial Action has been performed in accordance with this Partial Consent Decree and that the Performance Standards have been achieved, EPA will so certify in writing to Settling Defendants. This certification shall constitute the Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action for purposes of this Partial Consent Decree. Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action shall not affect Settling Defendants' remaining obligations under this Partial Consent Decree.

39.

Completion of the Work.

a. Within 90 Days after Settling Defendants conclude that all the Work, other than any periodic review activities required under Section VII (Remedy Review), has been fully performed and the Performance Standards have been achieved, Settling Defendants shall schedule and conduct a pre-certification inspection to be attended by Settling Defendants, EPA, and DTSC. If, after the pre-certification inspection, Settling Defendants still believe that the Work has been fully performed, Settling Defendants shall submit a written report by a professional engineer registered in California stating that the Work has been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Partial Consent Decree. The report shall contain the following statement, signed by a responsible corporate official of a Settling Defendant or Settling Defendants' Project Coordinator:

I certify under penalty of federal and State law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

If, after review of the written report, EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, determines that any portion of the Work has not been completed in accordance with this Partial Consent Decree, EPA will notify Settling Defendants in writing of the activities that must be undertaken by Settling Defendants pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree to complete the Work, provided, however, that EPA may only require Settling Defendants to perform such activities pursuant to this Paragraph to the extent that such activities are consistent with the "scope of the remedial work set forth in the ROD," as that term is defined in Paragraph 14.a. EPA will set forth in the notice a schedule for performance of such activities consistent with this Partial Consent Decree and the SOW or require Settling Defendants to submit a schedule to EPA for approval pursuant to Section IX (EPA Approval of Plans, Reports and Other Deliverables). Settling Defendants shall perform all activities described in the notice in accordance with the specifications and schedules established therein, subject to their right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XVII (Dispute Resolution).

b. If EPA concludes, based on the initial or any subsequent request for Certification of Completion of the Work by Settling Defendants and after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by DTSC, that the Work has been performed in accordance with this Partial Consent Decree, EPA will so

notify Settling Defendants in writing.

# XIII. EMERGENCY RESPONSE

40. If any action or occurrence during the performance of the Work causes or threatens a release of Waste Material from the Dual Site that constitutes an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment, Settling Defendants shall, subject to Paragraph 41, immediately take all appropriate action to prevent, abate, or minimize such release or threat of release, in consultation with EPA's Project Coordinator or other available authorized EPA officer and in accordance with all applicable provisions of the Health and Safety Plans, the Contingency Plans, and any other applicable plans or documents developed pursuant to the SOW. Settling Defendants shall also immediately notify the EPA's Project Coordinator, or, if the Project Coordinator is unavailable, EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator. If neither of these persons is available, Settling Defendants shall notify the EPA Emergency Response Unit, Region IX. In the event that Settling Defendants fail to take appropriate response action as required by this Section, and EPA or, as appropriate, DTSC takes such action instead, Settling Defendants shall reimburse EPA and DTSC costs of the response action not inconsistent with the NCP pursuant to Section XIV (Payments for Response Costs).

Subject to Section XIX (Covenants by Plaintiffs), nothing in the 41. preceding Paragraph or in this Partial Consent Decree shall be deemed to limit any authority of the United States, or the State, (a) to take all appropriate action to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material on, at, or from the Dual Site, or (b) to direct or order such action, or seek an order from the Court, to protect human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material on, at, or from the Dual Site.

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

## XIV. PAYMENTS FOR RESPONSE COSTS

42. Payment by Settling Defendants for EPA Past Response Costs and DTSC Past Response Costs.

a. EPA acknowledges a prepayment of EPA Past Response Costs in the amount of \$934,387.36, remitted by Montrose on or about September 23, 2005. The remaining balance of EPA Past Response Costs to be paid by Settling Defendants under this Partial Consent Decree, in the amount of \$4,000,000, shall be paid by Settling Defendants as follows. Within 45 Days of the Effective Date, Settling Defendants shall pay the amount of \$4,000,000, in a single payment, in accordance with Paragraphs 45.a and 45.c (Payment Instructions).

b. The total amount to be paid by Settling Defendants pursuant to Paragraph 42.a shall be deposited by EPA in the Montrose Onshore Special Account to be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Montrose Chemical Corp. and/or Del Amo Superfund Sites, or to be transferred by EPA to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.

c. Within 30 Days of the date of the bill, Settling Defendants shall pay DTSC Past Response Costs in the amount of \$177,265.36 by official bank check(s) made payable to the Department of Toxic Substances Control. Settling Defendants shall write "Site Code 401628" on the check. Settling Defendants shall send the bank check(s) to Accounting, Department of Toxic Substances Control, 1001 I Street, 21st Floor, P.O. Box 806, Sacramento, CA 95812-0806.

43. <u>Payments by Settling Defendants for EPA Future Response Costs</u>. Settling Defendants shall pay to EPA all EPA Future Response Costs not inconsistent with the NCP.

a. On a periodic basis, generally once per year, EPA will send Settling Defendants a bill requiring payment and enclosing a standard Regionally-prepared cost summary, which provides a statement of direct and

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

indirect costs incurred by EPA and its contractors, and a DOJ case cost summary.
Settling Defendants shall make all payments within 30 Days of Settling
Defendants' receipt of each bill requiring payment, except as otherwise provided
in Paragraph 46, in accordance with Paragraphs 45.b and 45.c (Payment
Instructions).

b. The total amount to be paid by Settling Defendants pursuant to Paragraph 43.a shall be deposited by EPA in the Montrose Onshore Special Account to be retained and used to conduct or finance response actions at or in connection with the Montrose Chemical Corp. and/or Del Amo Superfund Sites, or to be transferred by EPA to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.

c. Within 45 Days of EPA's Certification of Completion of the Work, as set forth at Section XIII of the Construction CD, all remaining property in the Dual Site Trust Fund established in accordance with the Construction CD, less any final trust administration expenses, will be transferred to EPA to credit against oversight costs incurred by EPA at the Dual Site, including EPA Future Response Costs owed under this Partial Consent Decree, in accordance with Section 16(b) of the Dual Site Trust Fund Agreement.

d. If Settling Defendants so request, EPA and DTSC agree to participate in an oversight kickoff meeting at which EPA, DTSC, and Settling Defendants would discuss performance and oversight expectations. EPA and DTSC further agree to participate in annual discussions of the past year's oversight activities and future work oversight expectations.

44. <u>Payments by Settling Defendants for DTSC Future Response Costs</u>. Settling Defendants shall pay to DTSC all DTSC Future Response Costs not inconsistent with the NCP. On a periodic basis, generally quarterly, DTSC will send Settling Defendants a bill requiring payment that includes an invoice and a summary (by activity), which provides a statement of direct and indirect costs incurred by DTSC and its contractors. Upon request by Montrose to the Site's

Project Manager, DTSC will provide the daily log(s) associated with an invoice
 related to this Partial Consent Decree. Settling Defendants shall make all
 payments within 30 Days of Settling Defendants' receipt of each bill requiring
 payment. Settling Defendants shall make all payments to DTSC required by this
 Paragraph by sending the bank check(s) to: Accounting, Department of Toxic
 Substances Control, 1001 I Street, 21st Floor, P.O. Box 806, Sacramento,
 California 95812-0806.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

45. <u>Payment Instructions for Settling Defendants</u>.

a. Instructions for EPA Past Response Costs Payments. All payments required, elsewhere in this Partial Consent Decree, to be made in accordance with this Paragraph shall be made in accordance with instructions provided to Settling Defendants by the Financial Litigation Unit ("FLU") of the United States Attorney's Office for the Central District of California after the Effective Date. The payment instructions provided by the Financial Litigation Unit shall include a Consolidated Debt Collection System ("CDCS") number, which shall be used to identify all payments required to be made in accordance with this Partial Consent Decree. The FLU shall provide the payment instructions to:

Settling Defendants

c/o Montrose Chemical Corporation of California

600 Eriksen Avenue NE, Suite 380

Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

(206) 780-9840

mccc@montrosechemical.com

on behalf of Settling Defendants. Settling Defendants may change the individual to receive payment instructions on their behalf by providing written notice of such change in accordance with Section XXIV (Notices and Submissions).

28

b. Instructions for EPA Future Response Costs Payments and

#### Case 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 52 of 97 Page ID #:8106

Stipulated Penalties. All payments required, elsewhere in this Partial Consent Decree, to be made in accordance with this Paragraph shall be made by Fedwire 2 EFT to:

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Federal Reserve Bank of New York ABA = 021030004Account = 68010727SWIFT address = FRNYUS33 33 Liberty Street New York NY 10045 Field Tag 4200 of the Fedwire message should read as follows: "D 68010727 Environmental Protection Agency"

When making payments under this Paragraph 45.b, Settling Defendants shall also comply with Paragraph 45.c.

c. Instructions for All Payments to EPA. All payments made under Paragraph 45.a or 45.b shall reference the CDCS Number, EPA Site/Spill ID Number 0926/0936 and DOJ Case Number 90-11-2-933/3. At the time of any payment required to be made in accordance with Paragraphs 43, 45.a, or 45.b, Settling Defendants shall send notice of payment to the United States and to EPA, in accordance with Section XXIV (Notices and Submissions), and to the EPA Cincinnati Finance Office by email at cinwd acctsreceivable@epa.gov, or by mail at 26 Martin Luther King Drive, Cincinnati, Ohio 45268. Such notice shall also reference the CDCS Number, Site/Spill ID Number, and DOJ Case Number.

46. Settling Defendants may contest any EPA Future Response Costs billed under Paragraph 43 or DTSC Future Response Costs billed under Paragraph 44 if they determine that EPA or DTSC has made a mathematical error or included a cost item that is not within the definition of EPA or DTSC Future Response Costs, or if they believe EPA or DTSC incurred excess costs as a direct result of an EPA or DTSC action that was inconsistent with a specific provision or provisions of the NCP.

a. Such objection shall be made in writing within 45 Days of receipt United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree of the bill and must be sent to the United States (if the United States' accounting is being disputed) or DTSC (if DTSC's accounting is being disputed) pursuant to Section XXIV (Notices and Submissions). Any such objection shall specifically identify the contested EPA or DTSC Future Response Costs and the basis for objection. In the event of an objection, Settling Defendants shall pay all uncontested EPA or DTSC Future Response Costs to the United States or DTSC within 60 Days of Settling Defendants' receipt of the bill requiring payment.

b. Simultaneously, Settling Defendants shall establish an interestbearing escrow account in a federally-insured bank duly chartered in the State of California and remit to that escrow account funds equivalent to the amount of the contested EPA or DTSC Future Response Costs. Settling Defendants shall send to the United States and DTSC, as provided in Section XXIV (Notices and Submissions), a copy of the transmittal letter and check paying the uncontested EPA or DTSC Future Response Costs, and a copy of the correspondence that establishes and funds the escrow account, including, but not limited to, information containing the identity of the bank and bank account under which the escrow account is established as well as a bank statement showing the initial balance of the escrow account.

c. Simultaneously with establishment of the escrow account, Settling Defendants shall initiate the Dispute Resolution procedures in Section XVII (Dispute Resolution) (provided, however, that references to "EPA" in such Section shall be read to refer to DTSC for purposes of disputes relating to DTSC Future Response Costs under this Paragraph). If the United States or DTSC prevails in the dispute, Settling Defendants shall pay the sums due (with accrued interest) to the United States or DTSC, as appropriate, within five Days of the resolution of the dispute. If Settling Defendants prevail concerning any aspect of the contested costs, Settling Defendants shall pay that portion of the costs (plus associated accrued interest) for which they did not prevail to the United States or

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

DTSC, as appropriate, within five Days of the resolution of the dispute. Settling Defendants shall be disbursed any balance of the escrow account.

d. All payments to the United States under this Paragraph shall be made in accordance with Paragraphs 45.b and 45.c (Payment Instructions for Settling Defendants' Payments to EPA). All payments to DTSC under this Paragraph shall be made in accordance with Paragraph 44 (Payments by Settling Defendants for DTSC Future Response Costs). The dispute resolution procedures set forth in this Paragraph in conjunction with the procedures set forth in Section XVII (Dispute Resolution) shall be the exclusive mechanisms for resolving disputes regarding Settling Defendants' obligations to reimburse the United States and DTSC for their Future Response Costs.

47. <u>Interest</u>. In the event that any payment for EPA or DTSC Past Response Costs or for EPA or DTSC Future Response Costs required under this Section is not made by the date required, Settling Defendants shall pay Interest on the unpaid balance. The Interest to be paid on EPA Past Response Costs under this Paragraph shall begin to accrue on the Effective Date. The Interest to be paid on DTSC Past Response Costs outstanding more than 60 Days shall begin to accrue from the date of the bill. The Interest on EPA or DTSC Future Response Costs shall begin to accrue on the date of the bill. The Interest shall accrue through the date of Settling Defendants' payment. Payments of Interest made under this Paragraph shall be in addition to such other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiffs by virtue of Settling Defendants' failure to make timely payments under this Section including, but not limited to, payment of stipulated penalties pursuant to Paragraph 62.

# XV. INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE

48. <u>Settling Defendants' Indemnification of the United States and DTSC</u> (Negligent or Wrongful Acts or Omissions).

a. The United States and DTSC do not assume any liability by

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

entering into this Partial Consent Decree or by virtue of any designation of Settling Defendants as EPA's authorized representatives under Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e). Settling Defendants shall indemnify, save and hold harmless the United States, DTSC, and their officials, agents, employees, contractors, subcontractors, or representatives for or from any and all claims or causes of action arising from, or on account of, negligent or other wrongful acts or omissions of Settling Defendants, and each of their officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting on their behalf or under their control, in carrying out activities pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, any claims arising from any designation of Settling Defendants as EPA's authorized representatives under Section 104(e) of CERCLA. Further, Settling Defendants agree to pay the United States and the State all costs the United States and the State incur including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees and other expenses of litigation and settlement arising from, or on account of, claims made against the United States or the State based on negligent or other wrongful acts or omissions of Settling Defendants, their officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting on their behalf or under their control, in carrying out activities pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree. Neither the United States nor the State shall be held out as a party to any contract entered into by or on behalf of Settling Defendants in carrying out activities pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree. Neither Settling Defendants nor any such contractor shall be considered an agent of the United States or DTSC.

b. The United States and DTSC shall give Settling Defendants notice of any claim for which the United States or DTSC plans to seek indemnification pursuant to this Paragraph, and shall consult with Settling Defendants prior to settling such claim.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

49. <u>Settling Defendants' Indemnification of the United States and DTSC</u>

1 (Contract, Agreement, or Arrangement).

a. Settling Defendants covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States or DTSC for damages or reimbursement or for set-off of any payments made or to be made to the United States or DTSC, arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between any one or more of Settling Defendants and any person for performance of Work on or relating to the Dual Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays. In addition, Settling Defendants shall indemnify and hold harmless the United States and DTSC with respect to any and all claims for damages or reimbursement arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between any one or more of Settling Defendants and any person for performance of Work on or relating to the Dual Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays.

b. The United States and DTSC shall give Settling Defendants notice of any claim for which the United States or DTSC plans to seek indemnification pursuant to this Paragraph, and shall consult with Settling Defendants prior to settling such claim.

50. If, in the future, a California state agency other than DTSC should assume the state CERCLA lead role for oversight under this Partial Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants' indemnification of DTSC pursuant to Paragraphs 48 and 49 shall apply to that new lead state agency.

51. No later than 15 Days before commencing any on-site Work, Settling Defendants shall secure, and shall maintain until Certification of Completion of the Work, commercial general liability insurance with limits of \$2 million, for any one occurrence, and automobile liability insurance with limits of \$1 million, combined single limit. These policies shall name the United States and DTSC as additional insureds with respect to all insured liability arising out of the activities performed by or on behalf of Settling Defendants pursuant to this

#### Case 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 57 of 97 Page ID #:8111

Partial Consent Decree. In addition, for the duration of this Partial Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall satisfy, or shall ensure that its contractors or subcontractors satisfy, all applicable laws and regulations regarding the provision of worker's compensation insurance for all persons performing Work on behalf of Settling Defendants in furtherance of this Partial Consent Decree. Prior to commencement of Work under this Partial Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall provide to EPA and DTSC certificates of such insurance and, upon request by the United States or DTSC, a copy of each insurance policy. Settling Defendants shall resubmit such certificates and, upon request by the United States or DTSC, copies of policies each year on the anniversary of the Effective Date. If Settling Defendants demonstrate by evidence satisfactory to EPA and DTSC that any contractor or subcontractor maintains insurance equivalent to that described above, or insurance covering the same risks but in a lesser amount, then, with respect to that contractor or subcontractor, Settling Defendants need provide only that portion of the insurance described above that is not maintained by the contractor or subcontractor.

#### **XVI. FORCE MAJEURE**

52. "Force majeure," for purposes of this Partial Consent Decree, is defined as any event arising from causes beyond the control of any Settling Defendant, of any entity controlled by Settling Defendants, or of Settling Defendants' contractors, that delays or prevents the performance of any obligation under this Partial Consent Decree despite the Settling Defendants' best efforts to fulfill the obligation. The requirement that Settling Defendants exercise "best efforts to fulfill the obligation" includes using best efforts to anticipate any potential force majeure and best efforts to address the effects of any potential force majeure (1) as it is occurring and (2) following the potential force majeure such that the delay and any adverse effects of the delay are minimized to the greatest extent possible. "Force majeure" does not include financial inability to

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

complete the Work or a failure to achieve the Performance Standards.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

If any event occurs or has occurred that may delay the performance 53. of any obligation under this Partial Consent Decree for which Settling Defendants intend or may intend to assert a claim of force majeure, Settling Defendants shall notify orally EPA's Project Coordinator or, in his or her absence, EPA's Alternate Project Coordinator or, in the event both of EPA's designated representatives are unavailable, the Director of the Superfund and Emergency Management Division, EPA Region IX, within five Days of when Settling Defendants first knew that the event might cause a delay. Within 14 Days thereafter, Settling Defendants shall provide in writing to EPA and DTSC an explanation and description of the reasons for the delay; the anticipated duration of the delay; all actions taken or to be taken to prevent or minimize the delay; a schedule for implementation of any measures to be taken to prevent or mitigate the delay or the effect of the delay; Settling Defendants' rationale for attributing such delay to a force majeure; and a statement as to whether, in the opinion of Settling Defendants, such event may cause or contribute to an endangerment to public health or welfare, or the environment. Settling Defendants shall include with any notice all available documentation supporting their claim that the delay was attributable to a force majeure. Settling Defendants shall be deemed to know of any circumstance of which Settling Defendants, any entity controlled by Settling Defendants, or Settling Defendants' contractors knew or should have known. Failure to comply with the above requirements regarding an event shall preclude Settling Defendants from asserting any claim of force majeure regarding that event, provided, however, that if EPA, despite the late notice, is able to assess to its satisfaction whether the event is a force majeure under Paragraph 52 and whether Settling Defendants have exercised their best efforts under Paragraph 52, EPA may, in its unreviewable discretion, excuse in writing Settling Defendants' failure to submit timely notices under this

<sup>1</sup> Paragraph.

54. If EPA agrees that the delay or anticipated delay is attributable to a force majeure, the time for performance of the obligations under this Partial Consent Decree that are affected by the force majeure will be extended by EPA for such time as is necessary to complete those obligations. In that case, EPA will notify Settling Defendants in writing of the length of the extension, if any, for performance of the obligations affected by the force majeure. An extension of the time for performance of the obligations affected by the force majeure shall not, of itself, extend the time for performance of any other obligation. If EPA does not agree that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a force majeure, EPA will notify Settling Defendants in writing Of the set of its decision.

55. If Settling Defendants elect to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XVII (Dispute Resolution), they shall do so no later than 15 Days after receipt of EPA's notice. In any such proceeding, Settling Defendants shall have the burden of demonstrating by a preponderance of the evidence that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a force majeure, that the duration of the delay or the extension sought was or will be warranted under the circumstances, that best efforts were exercised to avoid and mitigate the effects of the delay, and that Settling Defendants complied with the requirements of Paragraphs 52 and 53. If Settling Defendants carry this burden, the delay at issue shall be deemed not to be a violation by Settling Defendants of the affected obligation of this Partial Consent Decree identified to EPA and the Court.

# **XVII. DISPUTE RESOLUTION**

56. Unless otherwise expressly provided for in this Partial Consent Decree, the dispute resolution procedures of this Section shall be the exclusive mechanism to resolve all disputes arising under or with respect to this Partial Consent Decree. However, the procedures set forth in this Section shall not

apply to actions by the United States or the State to enforce obligations of any Settling Defendants that have not been disputed in accordance with this Section.

57. Any dispute that arises under or with respect to this Partial Consent Decree shall in the first instance be the subject of informal negotiations between the parties to the dispute. The period for informal negotiations shall not exceed 45 Days from the time the dispute arises, unless it is modified by written agreement of the parties to the dispute. The dispute shall be considered to have arisen when one party sends the other parties a written Notice of Dispute.

58. <u>Statements of Position</u>.

a. In the event that the parties to the dispute cannot resolve a dispute by informal negotiations under the preceding Paragraph, then the position advanced by EPA shall be considered binding unless, within 14 Days after the conclusion of the informal negotiation period, Settling Defendants invoke the formal dispute resolution procedures of this Section by serving on the United States and the State a written Statement of Position on the matter in dispute, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis or opinion supporting that position and any supporting documentation relied upon by Settling Defendants. The Statement of Position shall specify Settling Defendants' position as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 59 or Paragraph 60.

b. Within 45 Days after receipt of Settling Defendants' Statement of Position, EPA will serve on Settling Defendants its Statement of Position, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis, or opinion supporting that position and all supporting documentation relied upon by EPA. EPA's Statement of Position shall include a statement as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 59 or 60. Within 45 Days after receipt of EPA's Statement of Position, Settling Defendants may submit a reply.

c. If there is disagreement between EPA and Settling Defendants as

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

2

to whether dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 59 or 60, the
parties to the dispute shall follow the procedures set forth in the Paragraph
determined by EPA to be applicable. However, if Settling Defendants ultimately
appeal to the Court to resolve the dispute, the Court shall determine which
Paragraph is applicable in accordance with the standards of applicability set forth
in Paragraphs 59 and 60.

59. <u>Record Review</u>. Formal dispute resolution for disputes pertaining to the selection or adequacy of any response action and all other disputes that are accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures set forth in this Paragraph. For purposes of this Paragraph, the adequacy of any response action includes, without limitation, the adequacy or appropriateness of plans, procedures to implement plans, or any other items requiring approval by EPA under this Partial Consent Decree, and the adequacy of the performance of response actions taken pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree. Nothing in this Partial Consent Decree shall be construed to allow any dispute by Settling Defendants regarding the validity of the ROD's provisions.

a. An administrative record of the dispute shall be maintained by EPA and shall contain all statements of position, including supporting documentation, submitted pursuant to this Section. Where appropriate, EPA may allow submission of supplemental statements of position by the parties to the dispute.

b. The Director of the Superfund and Emergency Management Division, EPA Region IX, will issue a final administrative decision resolving the dispute based on the administrative record described in Paragraph 59.a. This decision shall be binding upon Settling Defendants, subject only to the right to seek judicial review pursuant to Paragraphs 59.c and 59.d.

c. Any administrative decision made by EPA pursuant to

#### Case 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 62 of 97 Page ID #:8116

Paragraph 59.b shall be reviewable by this Court, provided that a motion for judicial review of the decision is filed by Settling Defendants with the Court and served on all Parties within 20 Days of receipt of EPA's decision. The motion shall include a description of the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of this Partial Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to Settling Defendants' motion, and, if permitted by this Court's local rules, Settling Defendants may file a reply.

d. In proceedings on any dispute governed by this Paragraph, Settling Defendants shall have the burden of demonstrating that the decision of the Superfund and Emergency Management Division Director is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise not in accordance with law. Judicial review of EPA's decision shall be on the administrative record compiled pursuant to Paragraph 59.a.

60. Formal dispute resolution for disputes that neither pertain to the selection or adequacy of any response action nor are otherwise accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law shall be governed by this Paragraph.

a. Following receipt of Settling Defendants' Statement of Position submitted pursuant to Paragraph 58, the Director of the Superfund and Emergency Management Division, EPA Region IX, will issue a final decision resolving the dispute. The Superfund and Emergency Management Division Director's decision shall be binding on Settling Defendants unless, within 20 Days of receipt of the decision, Settling Defendants file with the Court and serve on the Parties a motion for judicial review of the decision setting forth the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of this Partial Consent Decree. The United States may file a

response to Settling Defendants' motion, and, if permitted by this Court's local rules, Settling Defendants may file a reply.

b. If Settling Defendants contest payment of any DTSC Future Response Costs, Settling Defendants shall comply with the procedures set forth in this Subparagraph. Prior to requesting formal dispute resolution pursuant to this Subparagraph, Settling Defendants shall notify DTSC's assigned Project Manager and attempt to informally resolve the dispute with DTSC's Project Manager and branch chief. If the dispute cannot be resolved informally within 20 Days, then Settling Defendants shall provide a written request for formal dispute resolution, which shall describe all issues in dispute and shall set forth the reasons for the dispute, both factual and legal. The written request for formal dispute resolution and any supporting documentation shall be sent to:

> Chief, Collections and Resolutions Unit Department of Toxic Substances Control P.O. Box 806 Sacramento, California 95812-0806

c. Copies of the written request for formal dispute resolution and any supporting documentation shall also be sent to those persons designated by DTSC to receive notices and submissions in Section XXIV (Notices and Submissions) of this Partial Consent Decree. A decision on the billing dispute will be rendered by the Chief, Collections and Resolutions Unit or other DTSC designee. The decision by the Chief, Collections and Resolutions Unit or designee shall be binding on Settling Defendants unless, within 15 Days of the receipt of the decision, Settling Defendants file with the Court and serve on DTSC a motion for judicial review of the decision setting forth the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of this Partial Consent Decree.

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

d. Judicial review of any dispute governed by this Paragraph shall be governed by applicable principles of law.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

61. The invocation of formal dispute resolution procedures under this Section shall not extend, postpone, or affect in any way any obligation of Settling Defendants under this Partial Consent Decree, not directly in dispute, unless EPA or the Court agrees otherwise. Stipulated penalties with respect to the disputed matter shall continue to accrue but payment shall be stayed pending resolution of the dispute as provided in Paragraph 69. Notwithstanding the stay of payment, stipulated penalties shall accrue from the first day of noncompliance with any applicable provision of this Partial Consent Decree. In the event that Settling Defendants do not prevail on the disputed issue, stipulated penalties shall be assessed and paid as provided in Section XVIII (Stipulated Penalties).

### XVIII. STIPULATED PENALTIES

62. Settling Defendants shall be liable for stipulated penalties in the amounts set forth in Paragraphs 63 and 64 to the United States and DTSC, to be split 80%/20%, respectively, for failure to comply with the requirements of this Partial Consent Decree for Settling Defendants specified below, unless excused under Section XVI (Force Majeure). "Compliance" by Settling Defendants shall include completion of all payments and activities required for Settling Defendants under this Partial Consent Decree, or any plan, report, or other deliverable approved under this Partial Consent Decree, in accordance with all applicable requirements of law, this Partial Consent Decree, the SOW, and any plans, reports, or other deliverables approved under this Partial Consent Decree and within the specified time schedules established by and approved under this Partial Consent Decree.

63. <u>Stipulated Penalty Amounts – Work (Including Payments and</u> <u>Excluding Plans, Reports, and Other Deliverables)</u>.

a. The following stipulated penalties shall accrue per violation per

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

Case 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 65 of 97 Page ID #:8119

Day for any noncompliance identified in Paragraph 63.b: 1 Penalty Per Violation Per Period of 2 Noncompliance Day 3 4 \$500 1st through 14th Day 5 \$1000 15th through 30th Day 6 31st Day and beyond \$3000 7 b. Compliance Milestones. Failure of Settling Defendants to 8 perform any of the following within the specified time schedule provided for in 9 this Partial Consent Decree, the SOW, or its work plans shall result in stipulated 10 penalties in the amounts set forth in Paragraph 63.a: 11 Achievement of Performance Standards; (1)12 13 (2)Provision (by Settling Defendants) of access pursuant 14 to Paragraph 19; 15 (3)Timely payment of EPA Past Response Costs and 16 DTSC Past Response Costs; 17 Timely payment of EPA Future Response Costs and (4) 18 DTSC Future Response Costs; 19 Establishment of an escrow account to hold any (5)20 disputed Future Response Costs under Paragraph 46.b; and 21 22 (6)Establishment and maintenance of performance guarantee in accordance with Paragraph 32. 23 24 64. Stipulated Penalty Amounts – Plans, Reports, and other 25 Deliverables. The following stipulated penalties shall accrue per violation per 26 Day for failure to submit timely or adequate reports or other plans or deliverables 27 pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree: 28

#### Case 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 66 of 97 Page ID #:8120

Penalty Per Violation Per	Period of
Day	Noncompliance
\$100	1st through 14th Day
\$500	15th through 30th Day
\$1000	31st Day and beyond

65. In the event that EPA assumes performance of a portion or all of the Work pursuant to Paragraph 77 (Work Takeover), Settling Defendants shall be liable for a stipulated penalty in the amount of \$2,250,000. Stipulated penalties under this Paragraph are in addition to the remedies available under Paragraphs 36 (Funding for Work Takeover) and 77 (Work Takeover).

66. All penalties shall begin to accrue on the Day after the complete performance is due or the Day a violation occurs, and shall continue to accrue through the final Day of the correction of the noncompliance or completion of the activity. However, stipulated penalties shall not accrue: (a) with respect to a deficient submission under Section IX (EPA Approval of Plans, Reports, and Other Deliverables), during the period, if any, beginning on the 31st Day after EPA's receipt of such submission until the date that EPA notifies Settling Defendants of any deficiency; (b) with respect to a decision by the Director of the Superfund and Emergency Management Division, EPA Region IX, under Paragraph 59.b or 60.a of Section XVII (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the 21st Day after the date that the Settling Defendants' reply to EPA's Statement of Position is received until the date that the Director issues a final decision regarding such dispute; or (c) with respect to judicial review by this Court of any dispute under Section XVII (Dispute Resolution), during the period, if any, beginning on the 31st Day after the Court's receipt of the final submission regarding the dispute until the date that the Court issues a

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

2

final decision regarding such dispute. Nothing in this Partial Consent Decree shall prevent the simultaneous accrual of separate penalties for separate violations of this Partial Consent Decree.

67. Following EPA's determination that Settling Defendants have failed to comply with any of their obligations under this Partial Consent Decree, EPA may give Settling Defendants written notification of the same and describe the noncompliance. EPA and/or DTSC may send Settling Defendants a written demand for the payment of the penalties. However, penalties shall accrue as provided in the preceding Paragraph regardless of whether EPA has notified Settling Defendants of a violation.

68. All penalties accruing under this Section shall be due and payable to the United States and DTSC within 30 Days of Settling Defendants' receipt from EPA of a demand for payment of the penalties, unless Settling Defendants invoke the Dispute Resolution procedures under Section XVII (Dispute Resolution) within the 30-Day period. All payments to the United States under this Section shall indicate that the payment is for stipulated penalties, and shall be made in accordance with Paragraphs 45.b and 45.c, and all payments to DTSC shall be made in accordance with Paragraph 42.c.

69. Penalties shall continue to accrue as provided in Paragraph 66 during any dispute resolution period, but need not be paid until the following:

a. If the dispute is resolved by agreement of the Parties or by a decision of EPA or DTSC that is not appealed to this Court, accrued penalties determined to be owed shall be paid to EPA and DTSC within 15 Days of the agreement or the receipt of EPA's decision or order;

b. If the dispute is appealed to this Court and the United States and/or DTSC prevails in whole or in part, Settling Defendants shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the Court to be owed to EPA and DTSC within 60 Days of receipt of the Court's decision or order, except as provided in

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

<sup>1</sup> Paragraph 69.c;

c. If the District Court's decision is appealed by any Party, Settling Defendants shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the District Court to be owed to the United States and DTSC into an interest-bearing escrow account within 60 Days of receipt of the Court's decision or order. Penalties shall be paid into this account as they continue to accrue, at least every 60 Days. Within 15 Days of receipt of the final appellate court decision, the escrow agent shall pay the balance of the account to EPA and DTSC or to Settling Defendants to the extent that they prevail.

70. If Settling Defendants fail to pay stipulated penalties when due, Settling Defendants shall pay Interest on the unpaid stipulated penalties as follows: (a) if Settling Defendants timely invoked dispute resolution such that the obligation to pay stipulated penalties was stayed pending the outcome of dispute resolution, Interest shall accrue from the date stipulated penalties are due pursuant to Paragraph 69 until the date of payment; and (b) if Settling Defendants fail to timely invoke dispute resolution, Interest shall accrue from the date of demand under Paragraph 68 until the date of payment. If Settling Defendants fail to pay stipulated penalties and Interest when due, the United States or DTSC may institute proceedings to collect the penalties and Interest.

71. The payment of penalties and Interest, if any, shall not alter in any way Settling Defendants' obligation to complete the performance of the Work required under this Partial Consent Decree.

72. Nothing in this Partial Consent Decree shall be construed as prohibiting, altering, or in any way limiting the ability of the United States or DTSC to seek any other remedies or sanctions available by virtue of Settling Defendants' violation of this Partial Consent Decree or of the statutes and regulations upon which it is based, including, but not limited to, penalties pursuant to Section 122(l) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(l), or applicable

federal or California law, provided, however, that the United States shall not seek civil penalties pursuant to Section 122(*l*) of CERCLA for any violation for which a stipulated penalty is provided in this Partial Consent Decree, except in the case of a willful violation of this Partial Consent Decree.

73. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section, the United States may, in its unreviewable discretion, waive any portion of stipulated penalties that have accrued pursuant to this Partial Consent Decree.

# XIX. COVENANTS BY PLAINTIFFS

74. Covenant for Settling Defendants by United States. In consideration of the actions that will be performed and the payments that will be made by Settling Defendants under this Partial Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraph 76 (General Reservations of Rights), the United States covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against Settling Defendants pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA for the Work, EPA Past Response Costs, or EPA Future Response Costs. These covenants shall take effect upon the receipt by EPA of the payments required by Paragraph 42 (Payment by Settling Defendants for EPA Past Response Costs and DTSC Past Response Costs) and any Interest or stipulated penalties due thereon under Paragraph 47 (Interest) or Section XVIII (Stipulated Penalties). These covenants are conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by Settling Defendants of their obligations under this Partial Consent Decree. These covenants extend only to Settling Defendants and their successors and assigns and do not extend to any other person.

75. <u>Covenants by DTSC</u>. Except as specifically provided in Paragraph 76 (General Reservations of Rights), DTSC covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against Settling Defendants pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607(a), and Cal. Health & Safety Code Sections 25323.5, 25355.5, and 25360 for the Work, DTSC Past Response Costs, and DTSC Future

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

Response Costs. These covenants shall take effect upon payment to DTSC of all
 payments required from Settling Defendants by Paragraph 42.c (Payment of
 DTSC Past Response Costs) and any Interest or stipulated penalties due thereon
 under Paragraph 47 (Interest) or Section XVIII (Stipulated Penalties). These
 covenants are conditioned upon the satisfactory performance by Settling
 Defendants of their obligations under this Partial Consent Decree. These
 covenants extend only to Settling Defendants and their successors and assigns
 and do not extend to any other person.

76. <u>General Reservations of Rights</u>. The United States and the State reserve, and this Partial Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendants, with respect to all matters not expressly included within Plaintiffs' covenants. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Partial Consent Decree, the United States and the State reserve all rights against Settling Defendants, with respect to:

a. liability for failure by Settling Defendants to meet a requirement of this Partial Consent Decree;

b. liability arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Material outside of the Dual Site;

c. liability based on the ownership or operation of any portion of the Dual Site by Settling Defendants when such ownership or operation commences after signature of this Partial Consent Decree;

d. liability based on Settling Defendants' transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal, or the arrangement for the transportation, treatment, storage, or disposal of Waste Material at or in connection with the Dual Site, other than as provided in the ROD, the Work, or otherwise ordered by EPA, after signature of this Partial Consent Decree;

e. liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, and for the costs of any natural resource damage assessments;

f. criminal liability;

g. liability for violations of federal or state law which occur during or after implementation of the Work;

h. liability, prior to Certification of Completion of the Work, for additional response actions that EPA determines are necessary to achieve and maintain the Performance Standards or to carry out and maintain the effectiveness of the relevant remedy components set forth in the ROD relating to the Chlorobenzene Plume, but that cannot be required pursuant to Paragraph 14 (Modification of SOW or Related Work Plans);

i. liability for the Remaining Work, vapor intrusion, DNAPL and for any other operable unit at the Montrose Chemical Corp. Superfund Site or the final response action;

j. liability for costs that the United States or DTSC will incur, or have incurred, after July 31, 2019, or September 30, 2019, respectively, regarding the Dual Site but that are not within the definition of EPA Future Response Costs or DTSC Future Response Costs;

k. liability for costs incurred or to be incurred by the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry regarding the Dual Site; and

1. liability for claims or defenses as described in Paragraph 81.b.

77. <u>Work Takeover</u>.

a. In the event EPA determines that Settling Defendants (1) have ceased implementation of any portion of the Work for which they are responsible, (2) are seriously or repeatedly deficient or late in their performance of the Work, or (3) are implementing the Work in a manner that may cause an endangerment to human health or the environment, EPA may issue a written notice ("Work Takeover Notice") to Settling Defendants. Any Work Takeover Notice issued by EPA will specify the grounds upon which such notice was issued and will provide Settling Defendants a period of 20 Days within which to

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

remedy the circumstances giving rise to EPA's issuance of such notice.

b. If, after expiration of the 20-Day notice period specified in Paragraph 77.a, Settling Defendants have not remedied to EPA's satisfaction the circumstances giving rise to EPA's issuance of the relevant Work Takeover Notice, EPA may at any time thereafter assume the performance of all or any portion(s) of the Work as EPA deems necessary ("Work Takeover"). EPA will notify Settling Defendants in writing (which writing may be electronic) if EPA determines that implementation of a Work Takeover is warranted under this Paragraph. Funding of Work Takeover costs is addressed under Paragraph 36.

c. Settling Defendants may invoke the procedures set forth in Paragraph 59 (Record Review) to dispute EPA's implementation of a Work Takeover under Paragraph 77.b. However, notwithstanding Settling Defendants' invocation of such dispute resolution procedures, and during the pendency of any such dispute, EPA may in its sole discretion commence and continue a Work Takeover under Paragraph 77.b until the earlier of (1) the date that the Settling Defendants remedy, to EPA's satisfaction, the circumstances giving rise to EPA's issuance of the relevant Work Takeover Notice, or (2) the date that a final decision is rendered in accordance with Paragraph 59 (Record Review) requiring EPA to terminate such Work Takeover.

78. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Partial Consent Decree, the United States and the State retain all authority and reserve all rights to take any and all response actions authorized by law.

# XX. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS

79. <u>Covenant Not to Sue by Settling Defendants</u>. Subject to the reservations in Paragraph 81, Settling Defendants hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States or DTSC, or their contractors and employees, with respect to the Work, past response actions regarding the Dual Site, EPA Past Response Costs, EPA Future

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

2

3

Response Costs, DTSC Past Response Costs, DTSC Future Response Costs, and
 this Partial Consent Decree, including, but not limited to:

a. any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the
Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue
Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 107, 111, 112,
113 (42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(b)(2), 9607, 9611, 9612, 9613) or any other provision of
law;

b. any claims against the United States, including any department, agency or instrumentality of the United States, or DTSC under CERCLA Sections 107 or 113, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607 and 9613, RCRA Section 7002(a), 42 U.S.C. § 6972(a), or state law regarding the Work, past response actions regarding the Dual Site, EPA Past Response Costs, EPA Future Response Costs, DTSC Past Response Costs, DTSC Future Response Costs, and this Partial Consent Decree; or

c. any claims arising out of response actions at or in connection with the Work, past response actions regarding the Dual Site, EPA Past Response Costs, EPA Future Response Costs, DTSC Past Response Costs, DTSC Future Response Costs, and this Partial Consent Decree, including any claim under the United States Constitution, the State Constitution, the Tucker Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1491, the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, as amended, or at common law.

80. Except as provided in Paragraph 90 (Res Judicata and Other Defenses), the covenants in this Section shall not apply if the United States or DTSC brings a cause of action or issues an order pursuant to any of the reservations in Section XIX (Covenants by Plaintiffs), other than in Paragraphs 76.a (claims for failure to meet a requirement of the Decree), 76.f (criminal liability), and 76.g (violations of federal/state law during or after implementation of the Work), but only to the extent that Settling Defendants' claims arise from

the same response action, response costs, or damages that the United States orDTSC is seeking pursuant to the applicable reservation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

81. <u>Settling Defendants' Reservations</u>.

a. Settling Defendants reserve, and this Partial Consent Decree is without prejudice to, claims against the United States, subject to the provisions of Chapter 171 of Title 28 of the United States Code, and brought pursuant to any statute other than CERCLA or RCRA and for which the waiver of sovereign immunity is found in a statute other than CERCLA or RCRA, for money damages for injury or loss of property or personal injury or death caused by the negligent or wrongful act or omission of any employee of the United States, as that term is defined in 28 U.S.C. § 2671, while acting within the scope of his or her office or employment under circumstances where the United States, if a private person, would be liable to the claimant in accordance with the law of the place where the act or omission occurred. However, the foregoing shall not include any claim based on EPA's selection of response actions, or the oversight or approval of Settling Defendants' plans, reports, other deliverables or activities.

b. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Settling Defendants specifically reserve contribution claims against the General Services Administration under CERCLA, for the equitable allocation of costs of performing the Work that arise out of releases of benzene or other chemicals from or at the Del Amo Superfund Site. In the event that any Settling Defendant should bring contribution claims against the General Services Administration as described above, or claims as described in Paragraph 81.a above, the United States reserves the right to bring claims or counterclaims arising from, or responding to, such claims, and reserves all defenses available to such claims.

82. Nothing in this Partial Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

83. <u>Claims Against De Micromis Parties</u>. Settling Defendants agree not to assert any claims and to waive all claims or causes of action (including but not limited to claims or causes of action under Sections 107(a) and 113 of CERCLA) that they may have for all matters relating to the Dual Site against any person where the person's liability to Settling Defendants with respect to the Dual Site is based solely on having arranged for disposal or treatment, or for transport for disposal or treatment, of hazardous substances at the Dual Site, or having accepted for transport for disposal or treatment of hazardous substances at the Dual Site, if all or part of the disposal, treatment, or transport occurred before April 1, 2001, and the total amount of material containing hazardous substances contributed by such person to the Dual Site was less than 110 gallons of liquid materials or 200 pounds of solid materials.

84. The waiver in Paragraph 83 (Claims Against De Micromis Parties) shall not apply with respect to any defense, claim, or cause of action that a Settling Defendant may have against any person meeting the criteria in Paragraph 83 if such person asserts a claim or cause of action relating to the Dual Site against such Settling Defendant. This waiver also shall not apply to any claim or cause of action against any person meeting the criteria in Paragraph 83 if EPA determines:

a. that such person has failed to comply with any EPA requests for information or administrative subpoenas issued pursuant to Section 104(e) or 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e) or 9622(e), or Section 3007 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6927, or has impeded or is impeding, through action or inaction, the performance of a response action or natural resource restoration with respect to the Dual Site, or has been convicted of a criminal violation for the conduct to which this waiver would apply and that conviction has not been vitiated on appeal or otherwise; or

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

b. that the materials containing hazardous substances contributed to

the Dual Site by such person have contributed significantly, or could contribute significantly, either individually or in the aggregate, to the cost of response action or natural resource restoration at the Dual Site.

## XXI. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION

85. Nothing in this Partial Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a Party to this Partial Consent Decree. Except as provided in Paragraph 83 (Claims Against De Micromis Parties), each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, pursuant to Section 113 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each of them may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Dual Site against any person not a Party hereto. Nothing in this Partial Consent Decree diminishes the right of the United States or the State, pursuant to Section 113(f)(2) and (3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2)-(3), to pursue any such persons to obtain additional response costs or response action and to enter into settlements that give rise to contribution protection pursuant to Section 113(f)(2).

86. The Parties agree, and by entering this Partial Consent Decree this Court finds, that this Partial Consent Decree constitutes a judicially-approved settlement pursuant to which each Settling Defendant has, as of the Effective Date, resolved liability to the Plaintiffs within the meaning of Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(2), and that each Settling Defendant is entitled, as of the Effective Date, to protection from contribution actions or claims as provided by Section 113(f)(2) of CERCLA, or as may be otherwise provided by law, for "matters addressed" in this Partial Consent Decree. The "matters addressed" in this Partial Consent Decree are the Work, EPA Past Response Costs, EPA Future Response Costs, DTSC Past Response Costs, and DTSC Future Response Costs.

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

2

87. The Parties further agree, and by entering this Partial Consent Decree this Court finds, that the complaint filed by the Plaintiffs in this action is a civil action within the meaning of Section 113(f)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(1), and that this Partial Consent Decree constitutes a judiciallyapproved settlement pursuant to which each Settling Defendant has, as of the Effective Date, resolved liability to the Plaintiffs within the meaning of Section 113(f)(3)(B) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(f)(3)(B).

88. Each Settling Defendant shall, with respect to any suit or claim brought by it for matters related to this Partial Consent Decree, notify the United States and DTSC in writing no later than 60 Days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim.

89. Each Settling Defendant shall, with respect to any suit or claim brought against it for matters related to this Partial Consent Decree, notify in writing the United States and DTSC within ten Days of service of the complaint on such Settling Defendant. In addition, each Settling Defendant shall notify the United States and DTSC within ten Days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment and within ten Days of receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial.

90. <u>Res Judicata and Other Defenses</u>. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States or the State for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Site, Settling Defendants shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States or the State in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this Paragraph affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section XIX (Covenants by Plaintiffs).

91. As of the Effective Date, this Partial Consent Decree resolves the following disputes brought by Montrose pursuant to Paragraph 62 of the Construction CD: (i) Notice of Dispute dated February 11, 2015; and (ii) Supplemental Notice of Dispute dated December 31, 2018. As of the date of lodging of this Partial Consent Decree, the disputes above are held in abeyance, and any informal negotiation period (as described in the Construction CD) for these disputes is extended from the date of lodging until the Effective Date of this Partial Consent Decree. These disputes shall not be reactivated unless (a) the United States or DTSC withdraws its consent to this Partial Consent Decree pursuant to Paragraph 106 (Section XXIX, Lodging and Opportunity for Public Comment), or (b) the Court disapproves this Partial Consent Decree.

## XXII. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

92. Settling Defendants shall provide to EPA and DTSC, upon request, copies of all non-privileged records, reports, documents, and other information (including records, reports, documents, and other information in electronic form) (hereinafter referred to as "Records") within their possession or control or that of their contractors or agents relating to activities at the Dual Site or to the implementation of this Partial Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information regarding the Work and the Remaining Work. Settling Defendants shall also make available to EPA and DTSC, for purposes of investigation, information gathering, or testimony, their employees, agents, or representatives with knowledge of relevant facts concerning the performance of the Work or the Remaining Work.

93.

. Business Confidential and Privileged Documents.

a. Settling Defendants may assert business confidentiality claims covering part or all of the Records submitted to Plaintiffs under this Partial

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

1

2

3

4

5

Consent Decree to the extent permitted by and in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7), and 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b). Records determined to be confidential by EPA will be afforded the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B; records determined to be confidential by DTSC will be afforded the protection specified in the California Public Records Act, California Government Code § 6250, *et seq.* If no claim of confidentiality accompanies Records when they are submitted to EPA and DTSC, or if EPA has notified Settling Defendants that the Records are not confidential under the standards of Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA or 40 C.F.R. Part 2, Subpart B, or if DTSC has notified Settling Defendants that the Records are not confidential under the standards of the California Public Records Act, California Government Code § 6250, *et seq.*, the public may be given access to such Records without further notice to Settling Defendants.

b. Settling Defendants may assert that certain Records are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If Settling Defendants assert such a privilege in lieu of providing Records, they shall provide Plaintiffs with the following: (1) the title of the Record; (2) the date of the Record; (3) the name, title, affiliation (e.g., company or firm), and address of the author of the Record; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the contents of the Record; and (6) the privilege asserted by Settling Defendants. If a claim of privilege applies only to a portion of a Record, the Record shall be provided to the United States and the State in redacted form to mask the privileged portion only. Settling Defendants shall retain all Records that they claim to be privileged until the United States or the State has had a reasonable opportunity to dispute the privilege claim and any such dispute has been resolved in Settling Defendants' favor.

28

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

c. No Records created or generated pursuant to the requirements of

this Partial Consent Decree shall be withheld from the United States or DTSC on the grounds that they are privileged or confidential.

94. No claim of confidentiality or privilege shall be made with respect to any data, including, but not limited to, all sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic, scientific, chemical, or engineering data, or any other documents or information evidencing conditions at or around the Dual Site.

95. If relevant to the proceeding, the Parties agree that validated sampling data generated in accordance with the SOW and reviewed and approved by EPA shall be admissible as evidence, without objection, in any proceeding under this Partial Consent Decree.

96. Notwithstanding any provision of this Partial Consent Decree, the United States and the State retain all of their information gathering and inspection authorities and rights, including enforcement actions related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA, and any other applicable federal or California statutes or regulations.

## XXIII. RETENTION OF RECORDS

97. Until 15 years after Settling Defendants' receipt of EPA's notification pursuant to Paragraph 39.b (Completion of the Work), each Settling Defendant shall preserve and retain all non-identical copies of Records (including Records in electronic form) now in its possession or control or which come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to its liability under CERCLA with respect to the Dual Site, provided, however, that Settling Defendants who are potentially liable as owners or operators of the Dual Site must retain, in addition, all Records that relate to the liability of any other person under CERCLA with respect to the Dual Site. Each Settling Defendant must also retain, and instruct its contractors and agents to preserve, for the same period of time specified above all non-identical copies of the last draft or final version of any Records (including Records in electronic form) now in its possession or

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

control or which come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to
 the performance of the Work, provided, however, that each Settling Defendant
 (and its contractors and agents) must retain, in addition, copies of all data
 generated during the performance of the Work and not contained in the
 aforementioned Records required to be retained. Each of the above record
 retention requirements shall apply regardless of any corporate retention policy to
 the contrary.

98. At the conclusion of this record retention period, Settling Defendants shall notify the United States and the State at least 90 Days prior to the destruction of any such Records, and, upon request by the United States or the State, Settling Defendants shall deliver any such Records to EPA or the State. Settling Defendants may assert that certain Records are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If Settling Defendants assert such a privilege, they shall provide Plaintiffs with the following: (a) the title of the Record; (b) the date of the Record; (c) the name, title, affiliation (e.g., company or firm), and address of the author of the Record; (d) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (e) a description of the subject of the Record; and (f) the privilege asserted by Settling Defendants. If a claim of privilege applies only to a portion of a Record, the Record shall be provided to the United States and the State in redacted form to mask the privileged portion only. Settling Defendants shall retain all Records that they claim to be privileged until the United States or the State has had a reasonable opportunity to dispute the privilege claim and any such dispute has been resolved in Settling Defendants' favor.

99. Each Settling Defendant certifies individually that, to the best of its knowledge and belief, after thorough inquiry, it has not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of any Records (other than identical copies) relating to its potential liability regarding the Dual Site since the earlier of

notification of potential liability by the United States or the State or the filing of suit against it regarding the Dual Site and that it has fully complied with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Sections 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604(e) and 9622(e), and Section 3007 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6927.

## XXIV. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

100. Whenever, under the terms of this Partial Consent Decree, written notice is required to be given or a report or other document is required to be sent by one Party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other Parties in writing. All notices and submissions shall be considered effective upon receipt, unless otherwise provided. Written notice as specified in this Section shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of this Partial Consent Decree with respect to the United States, EPA, DTSC, and Settling Defendants, respectively. Notices required to be sent to EPA, and not to the United States, under the terms of this Partial Consent Decree should not be sent to the U.S. Department of Justice.

As to the United States:

EES Case Management Unit U.S. Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division P.O. Box 7611 Washington, D.C. 20044-7611 eescdcopy.enrd@usdoj.gov Re: DJ # 90-11-2-933/3

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

78

# Case 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 83 of 97 Page ID #:8137

1	and:	Enrique Manzanilla
2		Director, Superfund and Emergency Management Division
3		United States Environmental Protection
4		Agency
5		Region IX 75 Hawthorne St. (SFD-1)
6		San Francisco, CA 94105
7		Manzanilla.enrique@epa.gov
8	As to EPA:	Yarissa Martinez
9		EPA Project Coordinator
10		United States Environmental Protection Agency
11		Region IX
12		600 Wilshire Blvd. Suite 940 (SFD-7-2) Los Angeles, CA 90017
13		Martinez.yarissa@epa.gov
14	As to the EDA Designal	Marie Ortesi
15	As to the EPA Regional Financial Management	United States Environmental Protection
16	Officer:	Agency Decion IV
17		Region IX 75 Hawthorne St.
18		San Francisco, CA 94105
19	As to DTSC:	Peter Garcia
20		DTSC Division Chief
21		Site Mitigation and Restoration Program ATTN: Willard Garrett
22		DTSC Project Manager
23		Department of Toxic Substances Control 5796 Corporate Avenue
24		Cypress, CA 90630
25		Willard.Garrett@dtsc.ca.gov
26		
27		
28		
	United States and State of CA	A v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al.

Partial Consent Decree

#### Case 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 84 of 97 Page ID #:8138

Ш

1	As to Settling Defendants:	Joseph C. Kelly Montrose Chemical Corporation of
2		California
3		600 Eriksen Avenue NE, Suite 380
4		Bainbridge Island, WA 98110
5		Kelly E. Richardson
6		Latham & Watkins LLP
7		12670 High Bluff Drive San Diego, CA 92130
8		San Diego, CA 72150
		Charles N. Elmendorf
9		President Stauffer Management Company LLC and as
10		attorney-in-fact for Bayer CropScience Inc.
11		1800 Concord Pike
12		PO Box 15437
13		FOP 2-311 Wilmington, DE 19850-5437
14		Winnington, DL 19636 5457
15		Gregg D. Zucker
16		Foundation Law Group
17		2049 Century Park East, Suite 2460 Los Angeles, CA 90067
18		
		J. Wylie Donald
19		McCarter & English, LLP 1301 K Street NW, Suite 1000 West
20		Washington, DC 20005
21		8
22		Jeffrey A. Taylor
23		Executive Vice President and Chief Litigation Counsel
24		Fox Corporation
25		10201 W Pico Blvd.
26		Los Angeles, CA 90067
27		
28		
20		
	United States and State of CA	v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al.

Partial Consent Decree

C	ase 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 85 of 97 Page ID #:8139
1 2	Winston P. Hsiao Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP 300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400
3	Los Angeles, CA 90071
4	Stacy Kray
5	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
6	525 University Avenue, Suite 1400 Palo Alto, CA 94301
7	
8	Christopher Suh Principal Counsel
9	The Walt Disney Company
10	500 South Buena Vista St., MC 1247
11	Burbank, CA 91521
12	Janene Bassett
13	Assistant General Counsel
14	The Walt Disney Company 2121 Ave. of the Stars, Suite 700
15	Los Angeles, CA 90067
16	George J. Gigounas
17	DLA Piper LLP (US) 555 Mission Street, Suite 2400
18	San Francisco, CA 94105
19	
20	XXV. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION
21	101. This Court retains jurisdiction over both the subject matter of this
22	Partial Consent Decree and Settling Defendants for the duration of the
23	performance of the terms and provisions of this Partial Consent Decree for the
24	purpose of enabling any of the Parties to apply to the Court at any time for such
25	further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the

construction or modification of this Partial Consent Decree, or to effectuate or enforce compliance with its terms, or to resolve disputes in accordance with Section XVII (Dispute Resolution).

26

27

28

#### XXVI. APPENDICES

102. The following appendices are attached to and incorporated into this Partial Consent Decree:

"Appendix A" is Volume One of the ROD (excluding Volume Two, Response Summary) and the Flowrate Memo.

"Appendix B" is the SOW.

"Appendix C" is the description and/or map of the Dual Site.

"Appendix D" is the form of the performance guarantee.

## XXVII. COMMUNITY RELATIONS

103. If requested by EPA or DTSC, Settling Defendants shall participate in community relations activities pursuant to the community relations plan ("Plan") to be developed by EPA. EPA, in consultation with DTSC, will determine the appropriate role for Settling Defendants under the Plan. Settling Defendants shall also cooperate with EPA and DTSC in providing information regarding the Work to the public. As requested by EPA or DTSC, Settling Defendants shall participate in the preparation of such information for dissemination to the public and in public meetings which may be held or sponsored by EPA or DTSC to explain activities at or relating to the Dual Site or the Work. Costs incurred by the United States or DTSC under this Section, including the costs of any technical assistance grant under Section 117(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617(e), shall be considered EPA Future Response Costs that Settling Defendants shall pay pursuant to Section XIV (Payments for Response Costs).

### XXVIII. MODIFICATION

104. Except as provided in Paragraph 14 (Modification of SOW or Related Work Plans), material modifications to this Partial Consent Decree, including the SOW, shall be in writing, signed by the United States, DTSC, and

the Settling Defendants, and shall be effective upon approval by the Court. Except as provided in Paragraph 14 (Modification of SOW or Related Work Plans), schedule modifications and non-material modifications to this Partial Consent Decree, including the SOW, shall be in writing and shall be effective when signed by duly authorized representatives of the United States, DTSC, and Settling Defendants. All modifications to this Partial Consent Decree, other than the SOW, also shall be signed by DTSC, or a duly authorized representative of DTSC, as appropriate. A modification to the SOW shall be considered material if it fundamentally alters the basic features of the selected remedy within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 300.435(c)(2)(ii). Before providing its approval to any modification to the SOW, the United States will provide DTSC with a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on the proposed modification.

105. Nothing in this Partial Consent Decree shall be deemed to alter the Court's power to enforce, supervise or approve modifications to this Partial Consent Decree.

XXIX. LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

106. This Partial Consent Decree shall be lodged with the Court for a period of not less than 30 Days for public notice and comment in accordance with Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(d)(2), and 28 C.F.R. § 50.7. The United States and DTSC reserve the right to withdraw or withhold their consent if the comments regarding this Partial Consent Decree disclose facts or considerations which indicate that this Partial Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Settling Defendants consent to the entry of this Partial Consent Decree without further notice.

107. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Partial Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of any Party and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the Parties.

United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

### XXX. SIGNATORIES/SERVICE

108. Each undersigned representative of a Settling Defendant to this Partial Consent Decree, the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division of the Department of Justice, and the California Department of Toxic Substances Control certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Partial Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such Party to this document.

109. Each Settling Defendant agrees not to oppose entry of this Partial Consent Decree by this Court or to challenge any provision of this Partial Consent Decree unless the United States has notified Settling Defendants in writing that it no longer supports entry of this Partial Consent Decree.

110. This Partial Consent Decree will be filed in *U.S. et al. vs. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California et al.*, Case No. 2:90-cv-03122 DOC (GJS), through the Court's electronic filing service. Settling Defendants agree to accept service in that manner and to waive the formal service requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules of this Court, including, but not limited to, service of a summons. All other court filings will be served through the Court's electronic filing service. Settling Defendants need not file an answer to the complaint in this action unless or until the Court expressly declines to enter this Partial Consent Decree.

## XXXI. FINAL JUDGMENT

111. This Partial Consent Decree and its appendices constitute the final, complete, and exclusive agreement and understanding among the Parties regarding the settlement embodied in this Partial Consent Decree. The Parties acknowledge that there are no representations, agreements or understandings relating to the settlement other than those expressly contained in this Partial Consent Decree.

112. Upon entry of this Partial Consent Decree by the Court, this Partial

¢	ase 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS	Document 2987-1	Filed 08/06/20	Page 89 of 97	Page ID
		#:8143			_

Consent Decree shall constitute a final judgment between and among the United
 States, the State, and Settling Defendants. The Court finds that there is no just
 reason for delay and therefore enters this judgment as a final judgment under Fed.
 R. Civ. P. 54 and 58.

SO ORDERED THIS \_\_DAY OF \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_.

б

HONORABLE DAVID O. CARTER United States District Judge

C	ase 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 90 of 97 Page ID #:8144	
1 2 3 4	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Partial Consent Decree in the matter of <i>United States of America and State of California v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al.</i>	
5	FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:	
7 8 9 10 11	JEFFREY BOSSERT CLARK Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20530-0001	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	August 6, 2020How Q. H.Date:GABRIEL M. ALLEN Senior Attorney DEBORAH A. GITIN Senior Counsel Environmental Enforcement Section Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice 301 Howard St., Suite 1050 San Francisco, CA 94105	
23 24 25 26 27 28		
	United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree 86	

	RTY enters into this Partial Consent Decree in the America and State of California v. Montrose Chemical
Corp. of California, et al.	
	FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY:
July 31, 2020	A
Date:	ENRIQUE MANZANILLA Director, Superfund and Emergency Management Division
	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX
	75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105
July 31, 2020	Man -
Date:	XIAO ZHANG
	Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
	Region IX
	75 Hawthorne Street
	San Francisco, CA 94105

С	ase 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 92 of 97 Page ID #:8146
1	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Partial Consent Decree in the
2	matter of United States of America and State of California v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al.
3 4	
4 5	FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC
6	SUBSTANCES CONTROL
7	
8	07/31/2020
9	Date: GRANT COPE Deputy Director
10	Site Mitigation and Restoration Program
11	Department of Toxic Substances Control P.O. Box 806
12	1001 I Street, 25th Floor
13	Sacramento, California 95812-0806
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

	-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 93 of 97 Pa #:8147
	GNED PARTY enters into this Partial Consent Decree in the States of America and State of California v. Montrose Chemica nia, et al.
	FOR MONTROSE CHEMICAL CORPORATION OF CALIFORNIA
May 27, 2020 Date:	JOSEPH C/KELL President 6000 Eriksen Avenue NE, Suite 380 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110
1	
United States	and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et a Partial Consent Decre
11	89

Ca	se 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 94 of 97 Page ID #:8148
	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Partial Consent Decree in the matter of United States of America and State of California v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al.
4	FOR TFCF AMERICA, INC.
5	
7 8 9	<u>4/3/2020</u> Date: AARON SOLOMON Assistant Secretary
10	TFCF America, Inc. 1170 Celebration Blvd. Floor 01 Celebration, FL 34747
12	
14	
15	
16	
7	
.8	
.9	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
	United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree

С	ase 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS	Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 95 of 97 Page ID #:8149
1 2 3		RTY enters into this Partial Consent Decree in the merica and State of California v. Montrose Chemical
4		FOR STAUFFER MANAGEMENT
5		COMPANY LLC
6		DocuSigned by:
7	27 May 2020	Charles Elmendorf
8	Date:	CFC4CC7EB6A643C CHARLES ELMENDORF
9		President 1800 Concord Pike
10		PO Box 15437
11		FOB 2-311 Wilmington DE 10850 5427
12		Wilmington, DE 19850-5437
13 14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	United States and State of	<i>of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al.</i> Partial Consent Decree

С	ase 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 96 of 97 Page #:8150	ID
1		
2	THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Partial Consent Decree in the matter of <i>United States of America and State of California v. Montrose Chemical</i>	
3	Corp. of California, et al.	
4		
5	FOR BAYER CROPSCIENCE INC.	
6	DocuSigned by:	
-	27 May 2020 Charles Elmendorf	
7	President, Stauffer Management Company LLC as litigation agent for Bayer CropScience Inc.	
8	Date: CHARLES ELMENDORF	
9	President	
10	1800 Concord Pike	
11	PO Box 15437 FOB 2-311	
12	Wilmington, DE 19850-5437	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	United States and State of CA v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al. Partial Consent Decree	
	92	

#### Case 2:90-cv-03122-DOC-GJS Document 2987-1 Filed 08/06/20 Page 97 of 97 Page ID #:8151

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTY enters into this Partial Consent Decree in the matter of *United States of America and State of California v. Montrose Chemical Corp. of California, et al.* 

FOR JCI JONES CHEMICALS, INC.

June 3, 2020 Date:

TIMOTHY J. GAFFN

Executive Vice President 100 Sunny Sol Boulevard Caledonia, NY 14423