UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 450 Fifth Street NW Washington, DC 20530

Plaintiff,

v.

GRAY TELEVISION, INC. 4370 Peachtree Road NE Atlanta, Georgia 30319; and

RAYCOM MEDIA, INC. RSA Tower 20th Floor 201 Monroe Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104

Defendants.

Case No.	y	
_		

UNITED STATES' EXPLANATION OF CONSENT DECREE PROCEDURES

The United States submits this short memorandum summarizing the procedures regarding the Court's entry of the proposed Final Judgment. This Judgment would settle this case pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h) (the "APPA"), which applies to civil antitrust cases brought and settled by the United States.

1. Today, the United States has filed a Complaint and, attached to this

Explanation of Consent Decree Procedures, a proposed Final Judgment and a Hold

Separate Stipulation and Order between the parties by which they have agreed that the

Court may enter the proposed Final Judgment after the United States has complied with

the APPA. The United States has also filed a Competitive Impact Statement relating to the proposed Final Judgment.

- 2. The Hold Separate Stipulation and Order is a document that has been agreed to by both the United States and the Defendants. The United States and the Defendants ask that the Court sign this Order, which ensures that the Defendants preserve competition by complying with the provisions of the proposed Final Judgment and by maintaining any assets to be divested during the pendency of the proceedings required by the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h).
- Judgment and the Competitive Impact Statement in the *Federal Register* and cause to be published a summary of the terms of the proposed Final Judgment and the Competitive Impact Statement in certain newspapers at least sixty days prior to entry of the proposed Final Judgment. Defendants in this matter have agreed to arrange and bear the costs for the newspaper notices. The notices will inform members of the public that they may submit comments about the proposed Final Judgment to the United States Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(c).
- 4. During the sixty-day period, the United States will consider and, at the close of that period, respond to, any comments that it has received, and it will publish the comments and the United States' responses in the *Federal Register*.
- 5. After the expiration of the sixty-day period, the United States will file with the Court the comments and the United States' responses, and it may ask the Court to enter the proposed Final Judgment (unless the United States has decided to withdraw its

consent to entry of the Final Judgment, as permitted by Section IV.A of the Stipulation). *See* 15 U.S.C. § 16(d).

6. If the United States requests that the Court enter the proposed Final Judgment after compliance with the APPA, 15 U.S.C. § 16(e)-(f), then the Court may enter the Final Judgment without a hearing, provided that it concludes that the Final Judgment is in the public interest.

Dated: December 14, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew D. Siegel

Trial Attorney, Media, Entertainment & Professional Services Section United States Department of Justice Antitrust Division 450 Fifth Street, N.W., Suite 4000

Washington, DC 20530

Telephone: (202) 598-8303