

SILO Page 1 OF S7 Page 1D #.525

1	CHAD A. READLER Acting Assistant Attorney General, Civil Di	vision			
2	NICOLA T. HANNA	·			
3	United States Attorney DOROTHY A. SCHOUTEN	FILED CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT			
4	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division				
5	DAVID K. BARRETT	APR 1 3 2018			
	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Fraud Section	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
6	DAVID M. HARRIS Assistant United States Attorney	DEPUTY			
7	Assistant United States Attorney Deputy Chief, Civil Fraud Section JOHN E. LEE (CBN 128696)				
8					
9	300 N. Los Angeles Street, Room 751 Los Angeles, California 90012	.6			
10	300 N. Los Angeles Street, Room 751 Los Angeles, California 90012 Tel: (213) 894-3995 Fax: (213) 894-7819				
11	Email: john.lee2@usdoj.gov MICHAEL D. GRANSTON				
12	MICHAEL D. GRANSTON PATRICIA L. HANOWER				
13	DAVID T. COHEN				
14	Attorneys, Civil Division United States Department of Justice				
15	P.O. Box 261 Ben Franklin Station				
	Washington, D.C. 20044 Telephone: (202) 307-0136				
16	Facsimile: (202) 616-3085 E-mail: david.t.cohen@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States of America				
17	Attorneys for the United States of America				
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
19	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
20	WESTERN DIVISION				
21		No. CV 13-5861 JLS (AJWx)			
22	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA <i>ex rel</i> . [UNDER SEAL],	* * *			
23	Plaintiff[s],	UNITED STATES' [*] COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION			
24	v.	FILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO			
25	[UNDER SEAL],	THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3730(b)(2) AND (3)]			
26	Defendant[s].				
27					
20					

618184E.

C	ase 2:13-cv-05861-JLS-AJW Document 64 F	Filed 04/13/18 Page 2 of 37 Page 10 #:826		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAD A. READLER Acting Assistant Attorney General, Civil D NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney DOROTHY A. SCHOUTEN Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division DAVID K. BARRETT Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Fraud Section DAVID M. HARRIS Assistant United States Attorney Deputy Chief, Civil Fraud Section JOHN E. LEE (CBN 128696) Assistant United States Attorney 300 N. Los Angeles Street, Room 75 Los Angeles, California 90012 Tel: (213) 894-3995 Fax: (213) 894-7819 Email: john.lee2@usdoj.gov MICHAEL D. GRANSTON PATRICIA L. HANOWER DAVID T. COHEN Attorneys, Civil Division United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 261 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 Telephone: (202) 307-0136 Facsimile: (202) 616-3085 E-mail: david.t.cohen@usdoj.gov	APR 1 3 2018 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY		
19	FOR THE CENTRAL DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	WESTERN DIVISION			
21	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex rel.	No. CV 13-5861 JLS (AJWx)		
22 23	[UNDER SEAL], Plaintiff[s],	UNITED STATES' COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION		
24	V.	IFILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO		
25	[UNDER SEAL],	THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3730(b)(2) AND (3)]		
26	Defendant[s].			
27		1		
28				

à

Ċ	Qase 2:13-cv-05861-JLS-AJW Document 64 Filed	04/13/1 8	Page 3 of 37	Page ID #:827	
1	CHAD A. READLER				
2	Acting Assistant Attorney General, Civil Divis NICOLA T. HANNA	1011			
-	United States Attorney				
<u></u>	DOROTHY A. SCHOUTEN Assistant United States Attorney				
4	Chief, Civil Division DAVID K. BARRETT				
5	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Fraud Section				
6	DAVID M. HARRIS				
7	Assistant United States Attorney Deputy Chief, Civil Fraud Section				
8	JOHN E. LEÉ (CBN 128696) Assistant United States Attorney				
	300 N. Los Angeles Street, Room 7516				
9	Los Angeles, California 90012 Tel: (213) 894-3995				
10	Fax: (213) 894-7819 Email: john.lee2@usdoj.gov				
11	MICHAEL D. GRANSTON				
12	PATRICIA L. HANOWER DAVID T. COHEN				
13	Attorneys, Civil Division United States Department of Justice				
14	P.O. Box 261 Ben Franklin Station				
	Washington, D.C. 20044				
15	Telephone: (202) 307-0136 Facsimile: (202) 616-3085				
16	E-mail: david.t.cohen@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States of America				
17		STDICT C			
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
19	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
20	WESTERN DIVISION				
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; the STATES of CALIFORNIA, DELAWARE,	No. CV 13	-5861 JLS (A	JWx)	
21	FLORIDA, GEORGIA, HAWAII,			MPLAINT IN	
22	MICHIGÁN, MINNEŚOTA, MONTÁNA,	INTERVE	NTION		
23	NEVADA, NEW HAMPSHIRE, NEW	[FILED U TO THE I	NDER SEAI FALSE CLA	, PURSUANT IMS ACT, 31	
24	NORTH CAROLINA, OKLAHOMA,	U.S.C. §§ .	3730(b)(2) A	ND (3)]	
25	RHODE ISLAND, TÉNNESSEE, TEXAS, and WASHINGTON; COMONWEALTHS				
26	of MASSACHUSETTS and VIRGINIA, and the DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA <i>ex rel</i> .				
27	MARIA GUZMAN,				
	Plaintiffs,				
28					
	2				

T

Ċ	ase 2:13-cv-05861-JLS-AJW Document 64 File	ed 04/13/18 Page 4 of 37 Page ID #:828
1		I
1	V.	
2	INSYS THERAPEUTICS, INC.; MICHAEL BABICH, an individual; ALEC BURLAKOFF, an individual; and DOES 1	
3	through 15,	
4	Defendants.	
5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6 7	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA <i>ex rel.</i> JOHN DOE and ABC, LLC,	No. CV 14-3488 JLS (AJWx)
8	Plaintiffs,	
9	v.	
10	INSYS THERAPEUTICS, INC.; ALEC BURLAKOFF; and MICHAEL L.	
11	BORLAKOFF; and MICHAEL L. BABICH,	
12	Defendants.	
13	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex rel.	No. CV 14-9179 JLS (AJWx)
15	TORGNY ANDERSSON,	
16	Plaintiff,	
17	V.	
18	INSYS THERAPEUTICS, INC.,	
19	Defendant.	
20		
21	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA <i>ex rel.</i>	No. CV 16-2956 JLS (AJWx)
22	ALLISON ERICKSON and SARA LUEKEN,	
23	Plaintiffs,	
24	V.	
25	INSYS THERAPEUTICS, INC.,	
26	Defendant.	
27		
28		
	3	

ł

Ċ	ase 2:13-cv-05861-JLS-AJW Document 64 Filed 04/13/18 Page 5 of 37 Page ID #:829		
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA <i>ex rel.</i> No. CV 16-7937 JLS (AJWx) JANE DOE and the States of		
2	CALIFORNIA, COLORADO,		
3	CONNECTICUT, DELAWARE, FLORIDA, GEORGIA, HAWAII, ILLINOIS, INDIANA, IOWA		
4	ILLINOIS, INDIANA, IOWA, LOUSIANA, MARYLAND, MASSACHUSETTS, MICHICAN		
5	MASSACHUSETTS, MICHIGAN, MINNESOTA, MONTANA, NEVADA, NEW JEDSEX, NEW MEXICO, NEW		
6	NEW JERSEY, NEW MEXICO, NEW YORK, NORTH CAROLINA,		
7	OKLAHOMA, RHODE ISLAND, TENNESSEE, TEXAS, VERMONT, VIDCINIA, WASHINGTON, the CITY		
8	VIRGINIA, WASHINGTON, the CITY OF CHICAGO, and the DISTRICT OF		
9	COLUMBIA,		
10	Plaintiffs,		
11	V.		
12	INSYS THERAPEUTICS, INC. and LINDEN CARE LLC,		
13	Defendants.		
14			
15			
16	COMPLAINT IN INTERVENTION OF THE UNITED STATES		
17	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>		
18	1. This is an action brought by the United States of America (United States) to		
19	recover treble damages and civil penalties under the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C.		
20	§§ 3729-3733, and to recover damages under the common law theory of payment by		
		1	

mistake and unjust enrichment.

21

22

23

24

25

26

2. Fentanyl is a powerful, but highly addictive, opioid painkiller. Defendant Insys Therapeutics, Inc. (Insys) is the manufacturer of Subsys, a sublingual spray form of fentanyl. In 2012, Subsys was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of persistent breakthrough pain in adult cancer patients who are already receiving, and tolerant to, around-the-clock opioid therapy.

Since 2012, Insys has knowingly offered and paid kickbacks to induce 27 3. physicians and nurse practitioners to prescribe Subsys for their patients. Many of these 28

kickbacks have taken the form of speaker program payments for speeches to physicians that were, in fact, shams. Insys has also hired prescribers' relatives and friends in order to induce prescriptions of Subsys. Insys has also provided prescribers with lavish meals and entertainment to induce them to prescribe Subsys.

4. Insys has knowingly caused Medicare and other federal health care programs to pay for Subsys for uses for which it was not covered. Insys has done this by (1) encouraging physicians to prescribe Subsys in situations where it was not medically reasonable and necessary based on patients' medical conditions (i.e., because a patient did not have cancer), and (2) by misrepresenting patients' medical diagnoses to Medicare Part D Plan Sponsors or Pharmacy Benefits Managers in order to obtain reimbursement for Subsys.

5. Insys' conduct has violated the Anti-Kickback Statute, 42 U.C.S. § 1320(a)-7b(b)(1)(A), and the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-3733. Federal health programs have suffered tens of millions of dollars in damages due to Insys' misconduct.

II.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to
28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345 because this action is brought by the United States as a
plaintiff pursuant to the False Claims Act.

7. This Court may exercise personal jurisdiction over the Defendant pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3732(a) because that section authorizes nationwide service of process and because the Defendant has transacted business in the Central District of California.

8. Venue is proper in the Central District of California pursuant to 31 U.S.C.
§ 3732(a) and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 1395(a) because the Defendant has transacted business within this district, maintained employees within the district, made sales within the district, and/or committed violations of 31 U.S.C. § 3729 within this district.

III. <u>PARTIES</u>

27 9. The United States brings this action on behalf of the Department of Health
28 and Human Services (HHS) and the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS),

on behalf of the Medicare program; and the Defense Health Agency, on behalf of the TRICARE program.

10. Defendant Insys Therapeutics, Inc., is a pharmaceutical manufacturer that is incorporated in Delaware, with headquarters in Chandler, Arizona.

11. In August 2013, Relator Mia Guzman filed the first of these consolidated actions, <u>United States, et al., ex rel. Guzman v. Insys Therapeutics, Inc., et al.</u>, 13-cv-5861 JLS (AJWx) (C.D. Cal.), pursuant to the *qui tam* provisions of the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b). At the time, she was a resident of Virginia and a former Insys employee.

12. In October 2013, Relator Torgny Andersson filed the second of these consolidated actions, <u>United States ex rel. Andersson v. Insys Therapeutics, Inc.</u>, 14-cv-9179 JLS (AJWx) (C.D. Cal.), pursuant to the *qui tam* provisions of the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b). The case was filed in the District of Massachusetts and subsequently transferred to this district. At the time, he was a resident of Missouri and a current employee of Insys.

13. In May 2014, Relators Christopher Connors and PRAPOMA, LLC (PRAPOMA) filed the third of these consolidated actions, <u>United States ex rel. John Doe</u> and ABC, LLC v. Insys Therapeutics, Inc., et al., 14-cv-3488 JLS (AJWx) (C.D. Cal.), pursuant to the *qui tam* provisions of the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b). At the time, Mr. Connors was a resident of New Jersey and a former employee of Insys. PRAPOMA was a limited liability corporation based in Delaware that was formed for the purpose of bringing this *qui tam* action. PRAPOMA's managing member was the NHCA Group, LLC, whose principal was John Mininno.

14. In March 2015, Relators Allison Erickson and Sara Lueken filed the fourth of these consolidated actions, <u>United States ex rel. Erickson and Lueken v. Insys</u>
 <u>Therapeutics, Inc.</u>, 16-cv-2956 JLS (AJWx) (C.D. Cal.), pursuant to the *qui tam* provisions of the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b). At the time, they were both residents of Minnesota and employees of Prime Therapeutics, a Pharmacy Benefits

Manager that processed Medicare Part D claims for the Medicare program. The case was filed in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania and subsequently transferred to this district.

15. In October 2016, Relator Melina Spalter filed the fifth of these consolidated actions, <u>United States ex rel. Jane Doe, et al. v. Insys Therapeutics, Inc. and Linden Care LLC</u>, 16-cv-7937 JLS (AJWx) (C.D. Cal.), pursuant to the *qui tam* provisions of the False Claims Act, 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b). At the time, she was a resident of New Jersey and a former Insys employee.

IV. THE MEDICARE PROGRAM

16. The Medicare program was established in 1965 pursuant to amendments to Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1395 *et seq.* The Medicare program is a health care benefit program that provides basic health insurance coverage to certain disabled persons as well as to individuals 65 years or older. Medicare is a "federal health care program" under Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(f) and a "health care benefit program" under Title 18, United States Code, Section 1347.

17. Medicare is funded by the federal government and administered by CMS, which is part of HHS. Persons enrolled in the Medicare program are hereinafter referred to as "beneficiaries."

18. In 2003, Congress passed the Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act (MMA), Pub. L. 108-173, 117 Stat. 2066, which established a voluntary prescription drug benefit program for Medicare enrollees known as Medicare Part D. An individual is eligible to enroll in Part D if the individual lives in the service area of a Part D plan and is entitled to Medicare benefits under Part A or enrolled under Part B. 42 U.S.C. § 1395w-101(a)(3)(A); 42 C.F.R. § 423.30(a).

19. Medicare Part D coverage is not provided within the traditional Medicare program model. Medicare Part D is based on a private market model. Medicare contracts with private entities known as Part D Plan "Sponsors" to administer prescription drug plans. A Part D Sponsor may be a prescription drug plan, a Medicare

Advantage organization that offers a Medicare Advantage prescription drug plan (MA-PD plan), a Program of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE) organization offering a PACE plan including qualified prescription drug coverage, or a cost plan offering qualified prescription drug coverage. 42 C.F.R. § 423.4.

20. Medicare beneficiaries who wish to receive Part D benefits must enroll in a Part D Plan offered by a Part D Plan Sponsor.

21. Many Part D Plan Sponsors contract with other companies, including companies known as Pharmacy Benefits Managers (PBMs), to assist with the administration of Part D Plans. Many Part D Plans require prior authorization for specified drugs in order for the drug to be reimbursable. To grant prior authorization, the Plan Sponsor or PBM often communicates with the prescriber to confirm the beneficiary's diagnosis and need for the drug.

22. Once a prescription has been approved and filled, the Plan Sponsor or PBM transmits claims data to CMS through a Prescription Drug Event (PDE) record.

23. The Medicare Part D Program only covers drugs that are used for a medically accepted indication, which means a use that is approved under the Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or a use which is supported by one or more citations included or approved for inclusion in one of the specified compendia: American Hospital Formulary Service Drug Information, United States Pharmacopoeia-Drug Information (or its successor publication), or DrugDex (hereinafter referred to collectively as the Compendia). 42 U.S.C. § 1395w-102(e)(1) & (e)(4); 42 U.S.C. §1396r-8(g)(1)(B)(i) & (k)(6); 42 C.F.R. § 423.100.

24. Part D Plan Sponsors are only authorized to approve payment for Subsys for Medicare Part D beneficiaries if Subsys is prescribed for a medically accepted indication, as defined above.

V. THE TRICARE PROGRAM

7 25. TRICARE (formerly CHAMPUS) is a managed health care program
8 established by the Department of Defense. 10 U.S.C. §§ 1071-1110. TRICARE

provides health care benefits to eligible beneficiaries, including active duty service members, retired service members, and their dependents.

26. TRICARE regulations define off-label use of FDA-approved drugs as "[a] use other than an intended use for which the prescription drug. . . is legally marketed under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act or the Public Health Services Act," including "any use that is not included in the approved labeling" of the drug. 32 C.F.R. § 199.2.

27. The TRICARE program does not cover off-label uses of drugs unless such off-label use is proven medically necessary and safe and effective by medical literature, national organizations, or technology assessment bodies. *See* C.F.R.

§ 199.4(g)(15)(i)(A)(Note).

VI. <u>THE LAW</u>

A. <u>The False Claims Act</u>

28. The False Claims Act provides for the award of treble damages and civil penalties for, *inter alia*, knowingly presenting or causing to be presented false or fraudulent claims for payment to the United States and for knowingly making or using false records or statements material to false or fraudulent claims paid by the United States. 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1).

29. The False Claims Act provides, in pertinent part, that a person who:

(a)(1)(A) knowingly presents, or causes to be presented, a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval; [or]

(a)(1)(B) knowingly makes, uses, or causes to be made or used, a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim; . . .

is liable to the United States Government for a civil penalty of not less than \$5,500 and not more than \$11,000, as adjusted by the Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act of 1990 (28 U.S.C. 2461 note; Public Law 104-410), plus 3 times the amount of damages which the Government sustains. . . .

31 U.S.C. § 3729. 1 2 30. For purposes of the False Claims Act, (1) the terms "knowing" and "knowingly"— 3 (A) mean that a person, with respect to information-4 5 (i) has actual knowledge of the information; (ii) acts in deliberate ignorance of the truth or falsity of the 6 7 information; or 8 (iii) acts in reckless disregard of the truth or falsity of the information; and 9 (B) require no proof of specific intent to defraud[.] 10 11 31 U.S.C. § 3729(b)(1). 12 The standard of proof under the False Claims Act is preponderance of the 31. evidence. 31 U.S.C. § 3731(d). 13 14 В. The Anti-Kickback Statute The Anti-Kickback Statute, 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b), arose out of 15 32. Congressional concern that payoffs to those who can influence health care decisions 16 17 would result in goods and services being provided that are medically unnecessary, of poor quality, or potentially harmful to a vulnerable patient population. To protect the 18 integrity of federal health care programs from these difficult-to-detect harms, Congress 19 20enacted a per se prohibition against the payment of kickbacks in any form, regardless of whether the particular kickback gave rise to overutilization or poor quality of care. The 21 22 statute was first enacted in 1972, and was strengthened in 1977, 1987, and 2010 to 23 ensure that kickbacks masquerading as legitimate transactions did not evade its reach. See Social Security Amendments of 1972, Pub. L. No. 92-603, §§ 242(b) and (c); 42 24 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b, Medicare-Medicaid Antifraud and Abuse Amendments, Pub. L. No. 25 26 95-142; Medicare and Medicaid Patient and Program Protection Act of 1987, Pub. L. 27 No. 100-93; Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, 124 Stat. 119. 28

1 33. The Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits any person or entity from offering, 2 making or accepting payment to induce or reward any person for referring, recommending or arranging for federally-funded medical services, including services 3 4 provided under the Medicare program. In pertinent part, the statute provides: Illegal remuneration . . . 5 (b)whoever knowingly and willfully offers or pays any (2)6 7 remuneration (including any kickback, bribe, or rebate) directly or 8 indirectly, overtly or covertly, in cash or in kind to any person to 9 induce such person-10 to purchase, lease, order or arrange for or 11 **(B)** 12 recommend purchasing, leasing or ordering any good, 13 facility, service, or item for which payment may be made in whole or in part under a Federal health care program, 14 15 shall be guilty of a felony and upon conviction thereof, shall be fined 16 not more than \$25,000 or imprisoned for not more than five years, or 17 both. 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b). 18 19 34. The *scienter* element of the Anti-Kickback Statute is established by 20 showing that "one purpose" of the remuneration at issue was to induce referrals, even if 21 the remuneration also had other purposes that were legitimate. See United States v. Kats. 871 F.2d 105, 108 (9th Cir. 1989); see also United States v. Borrasi, 639 F.3d 774, 782 22 23 (7th Cir. 2011); United States v. McClatchey, 217 F.3d 823, 835 (10th Cir. 2000); 24 United States v. Davis, 132 F.3d 1092, 1094 (5th Cir. 1998); United States v. Greber, 760 F.2d 68 (3d Cir. 1985). In 2010, Congress clarified the scienter standard under the 25 26 Anti-Kickback Statute by adding the following language to the statute: "With respect to 27 violations of this section, a person need not have actual knowledge of this section or specific intent to commit a violation of this section." 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(h). 28

Case 2:13-cv-05861-JLS-AJW Document 64 Filed 04/13/18 Page 13 of 37 Page ID #:837

35. Compliance with the Anti-Kickback Statute is a material condition of payment by the Medicare program.

36. In 2010, Congress amended the Anti-Kickback Statute through the Patient
Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, 124 Stat. 119 (2010), to
clarify that any claim "that includes items or services resulting from a violation" of the
Anti-Kickback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim under the False Claims Act.
42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(g); *see also*, <u>United States ex rel. Hutcheson v. Blackstone</u>
<u>Medical, Inc.</u>, 647 F.3d 377 (1st Cir. 2011); <u>United States v. Rogan</u>, 517 F.3d 449 (7th Cir. 2008).

37. Violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute can also subject the perpetrator to exclusion from participation in federal health care programs and civil monetary penalties. 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7(b)(7) and 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7a(a)(7).

VII. <u>SUBSYS</u>

38. Fentanyl is a powerful, but highly addictive, opioid painkiller. Subsys is a liquid formulation of fentanyl that is applied under the tongue as a sublingual spray.

39. In early 2012, Subsys was approved by the FDA for the management of breakthrough pain in cancer patients 18 years of age and older who are already receiving, and tolerant to, around-the-clock opioid therapy, for their underlying persistent cancer pain. In other words, the FDA determined that Subsys could be marketed and distributed legally for the purpose of treating adult cancer patients who are already taking opioids to treat their cancer pain, but have found the other opioids to be insufficient to treat instances of breakthrough pain. The FDA has never approved the use of sublingual spray fentanyl by patients who are not suffering from cancer. Also, the Compendia do not support the use of Subsys by patients who are not suffering from cancer. The FDA-approved label for Subsys states that it is contraindicated for opioid non-tolerant patients and for the management of acute or postoperative pain. The label warns that the drug poses risks of misuse, abuse, addiction, overdose, and serious complications, including fatal respiratory depression, due to medical errors. The label carries a "boxed warning,"

which is the strictest warning the FDA uses on drug labels, and is designed to call attention to serious or life-threatening risks.

40. Because Subsys has not been approved for uses other than the treatment of breakthrough cancer pain in opioid-tolerant patients, and because such uses are not supported by the Compendia, Subsys is not reimbursable by Medicare or TRICARE unless a patient has cancer and is opioid-tolerant.

41. Subsys falls within a category of drugs known as Transmucosal Immediate Release Fentanyl (TIRF) products. As a condition of approval, the FDA requires that all TIRF drugs be subject to a class-wide Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy (REMS). The TIRF-REMS Access Program was designed to mitigate the risks of misuse, abuse, addiction, overdose, and serious complications due to medication errors, associated with the use of TIRF medications. The program imposes a number of restrictions and training and reporting obligations on prescribers, pharmacists, and others involved in the prescription and distribution of TIRF products.

42. Subsys has also been designated as a "Schedule II" controlled substance under the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970, as amended, 21 U.S.C. §§ 801-971, otherwise known as the Controlled Substances Act. Drugs are designated as Schedule II drugs based on a determination that they have a high potential for abuse. Schedule II drugs, including Subsys, are subject to a number of legal restrictions.

VIII. THE DEFENDANT'S MISCONDUCT

A. Insys Has Paid Kickbacks to Potential Prescribers to Induce Them to Prescribe Subsys

43. Since 2012, Insys has operated a "speaker program" through which it has paid Subsys prescribers to give speeches about Subsys to physicians and other healthcare professionals. Insys has pretended that these presentations were intended to provide potential Subsys prescribers with substantive medical information about the drug. In reality, many of these events have been mere pretexts for paying thousands of dollars in

sham speaking fees to prescribers for the purpose of inducing them to prescribe Subsys.
Many of these speeches have been attended only by the prescriber's own office staff, by close friends who attended multiple presentations, or by people who were not medical professionals and had no legitimate reason for attending. Many of the "speeches" have not involved any actual substantive presentation by the purported "speaker." The events have often been held in expensive restaurants.

44. Insys has also provided kickbacks to prescribers in other forms. These include jobs for the prescribers' relatives and friends, visits to strip clubs, and lavish meals and entertainment.

45. Insys provided these kickbacks to prescribers knowingly and willfully, in violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute. These kickbacks rendered false, within the meaning of the False Claims Act, the claims for payment that were submitted to federal health care programs for Subsys prescriptions that were written by kickback recipients subsequent to their receipt of the kickbacks. As such, Insys caused the submission of false claims to federal health care programs.

46. The following paragraphs describe illustrative examples of the kickbacks that Insys has provided. This is not intended as a complete or comprehensive list of the kickbacks that Insys has paid.

1. Drs. John Patrick Couch and Xiulu Ruan

47. Drs. John Patrick Couch and Xiulu Ruan operated a physician practice in Mobile, Alabama called Physician's Pain Specialists of Alabama (PPSA).

48. Between 2012 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Ruan at least \$170,000 in speaking fees, and it paid Dr. Couch at least \$100,000 in speaking fees. Upon information and belief, many of the events for which Insys paid Dr. Ruan and Dr. Couch were in fact shams.

49. Natalie Perhacs was an Insys Sales Representative who worked with Drs.
Couch and Ruan. In February 2016, Ms. Perhacs pleaded guilty to criminal charges that
included the payment of illegal kickbacks to Drs. Couch and Ruan on behalf of Insys. In

the Factual Resume submitted in support of her plea, Ms. Perhacs admitted that she scheduled approximately one speaker program per week for Drs. Couch and Ruan, but that "for a majority of the speaker programs, Dr. Ruan and Dr. Couch either (1) repeatedly spoke to the same prescribers about Subsys, (2) spoke to just the PPSA staff about Subsys, or (3) did not speak about Subsys at all."

50. In February 2017, following a seven-week federal criminal trial, a jury in Alabama found Drs. Couch and Ruan guilty of several federal criminal offenses, including (1) illegally prescribing fentanyl and other opioid drugs outside the usual course of professional practice and not for a legitimate medical purpose, and (2) accepting kickbacks from Insys. In May 2017, they were sentenced to 20 and 21 years in prison, respectively.

51. Since 2012, Medicare has paid over \$3 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Couch. For example, between July 1, 2013 and May 18, 2015, Dr. Couch wrote 26 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M1, a Medicare beneficiary.¹ Medicare ultimately paid more than \$369,000 for these 26 prescriptions.

52. Since 2012, TRICARE has paid over \$2.2 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Couch. For example, between October 2012 and May 2015, Dr. Couch wrote 30 Subsys prescriptions for Patient #T1, a TRICARE beneficiary. TRICARE ultimately paid over \$250,000 for these 31 prescriptions.

53. Since 2012, Medicare has paid over \$1.4 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Ruan. For example, between July 31, 2012 and March 24, 2015, Dr. Ruan wrote 33 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M2, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$124,000 for these 33 prescriptions.

54. Since 2012, TRICARE has paid over \$2.6 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Ruan. For example, between April 2013 and May 2015, Dr. Ruan

¹ Patient identities are not provided here to protect patient privacy. Upon entry of an appropriate protective order, the United States will provide the Defendant with a list identifying the names of the patients described in this Complaint.

wrote 38 Subsys prescriptions for Patient #T2, a TRICARE beneficiary. TRICARE ultimately paid more than \$279,000 for these 39 prescriptions.

2.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Heather Alfonso

55. Heather Alfonso is an Advanced Practice Registered Nurse who practiced in Derby, Connecticut. Between January 2013 and March 2015, Insys paid Ms. Alfonso approximately \$83,000 in speaking fees for more than 70 dinner programs.

56. Upon information and belief, many of the events for which Insys paid Ms.
Alfonso were in fact shams, attended only by Insys representatives and/or Ms. Alfonso's friends and office staff, and involving little or no substantive presentation by Ms.
Alfonso.

57. In June 2015, Ms. Alfonso entered a criminal guilty plea, admitting that she accepted kickbacks from Insys in violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute, 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b).

58. Natalie Levine was an Insys Sales Representative who worked with Ms. Alfonso. In July 2017, Ms. Levine entered a criminal guilty plea, admitting that she conspired to violate the Anti-Kickback Statute, 42 U.S.C. § 1320a-7b(b), in connection with her work at Insys.

59. Between 2013 and 2015, Medicare paid over \$1.2 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Ms. Alfonso. For example, between March 17, 2014 and January 6, 2015, she wrote 9 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M3, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$102,000 for these 9 prescriptions.

60. Between October 2013 and May 2014, Ms. Alfonso wrote 7 Subsys prescriptions for Patient #T3, a TRICARE beneficiary. TRICARE ultimately paid more than \$111,000 for these prescriptions.

3. Dr. Gavin Awerbuch

61. Dr. Gavin Awerbuch was a neurologist who practiced at various locations in Michigan.

28

62. Insys paid Dr. Awerbuch over \$89,000 to give over 70 speeches on behalf of the company. He was generally paid \$1,600 per event. Many of these events were duplicative and pretextual. At least five individuals went to three or more of his presentations.

63. Dr. Awerbuch made his first speech for Insys in October 2012. In the six months preceding this speech, he wrote an average of less than 13 Subsys prescriptions per month. In the six months after this speech, he averaged approximately 118 Subsys prescriptions per month.

64. In November 2016, Dr. Awerbuch pleaded guilty to felony charges of healthcare fraud and unlawful distribution of Subsys. On February 26, 2017, he was sentenced to 32 months in prison and ordered to pay \$4.1 million in restitution and fines.

65. Between 2012 and his arrest in 2014, Medicare paid over \$6 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Awerbuch. At that time, he was the most prolific prescriber of Subsys for Medicare beneficiaries in the country. For example, between March 22, 2013 and May 5, 2014, he wrote 23 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M4, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$129,000 for these 23 prescriptions.

66. Since 2012, TRICARE has paid over \$84,000 for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Awerbuch. For example, between February 2013 and April 2014, he wrote 10 Subsys prescriptions for Patient #T4, a TRICARE beneficiary. TRICARE ultimately paid more than \$54,000 for these 10 prescriptions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

4. Dr. Jerrold Rosenberg

67. Dr. Jerrold Rosenberg is a physiatrist who practiced in Providence, Rhode Island. Between 2013 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Rosenberg more than \$167,000 in speaking fees.

68. Between June 2012 and September 2013, Insys employed Dr. Rosenberg's son as a sales representative.

27 28

69. In October 2017, Dr. Rosenberg pleaded guilty to health care fraud and conspiracy to receive kickbacks in violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute. Dr.
Rosenberg admitted that he took unlawful kickbacks from Insys. In March 2018, he was sentenced to 51 months in federal prison. Dr. Rosenberg has also agreed to pay more than \$750,000 in restitution to health insurers, including the Medicare Part D Program.

70. Since 2012, Medicare paid over \$666,000 for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Rosenberg. For example, between February 19, 2013 and August 28, 2014, he wrote 15 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M5, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$55,000 for these 15 prescriptions.

5. Dr. Parveen Khanna

71. Dr. Parveen Khanna was a pain management specialist who practiced in Jacksonville, Florida. Between 2012 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Khanna between \$1,000 and \$2,000 per event to give speeches on behalf of the company. In all, Insys paid her over \$68,000 in speaking fees.

72. Many of the events for which Insys paid Dr. Khanna were shams, with no physicians or other potential Subsys prescribers present. Many of these events were attended only by Insys representatives and/or Dr. Khanna's office staff. Many were held at expensive restaurants. Other events for which Dr. Khanna was paid were actually short visits to pharmacies, during which Dr. Khanna did little more than determine whether the pharmacy stocked Subsys.

73. Karen Hill was the Insys Sales Representative that worked with Dr. Khanna. In July 2017, Ms. Hill pleaded guilty to one count of Conspiracy to Violate the Anti-Kickback Statute in connection with her work at Insys.

74. Between 2013 and 2016, Medicare paid over \$700,000 for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Khanna. For example, between February 4, 2014 and January 15, 2016, she wrote 23 Subsys prescriptions for Patient #M6, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid \$331,865.50 for these 23 prescriptions.

75. Since 2012, TRICARE has paid over \$938,000 for Subsys that was

prescribed by Dr. Khanna. For example, between August 2012 and April 2014, she wrote 20 Subsys prescriptions for Patient #T5, a TRICARE beneficiary. TRICARE ultimately paid more than \$133,000 for these 20 prescriptions.

6.

Dr. Judson Somerville

76. Dr. Judson Somerville is an anesthesiologist who practiced in Laredo, Texas. In 2013 alone, Insys paid Dr. Somerville over \$67,000 in speaker fees. These fees ranged from \$1,600 to \$2,400 per event.

77. Most of the speeches for which Insys paid Dr. Somerville were attended by a small group of repeat attendees. Medical Assistant R.F. attended at least sixteen of his speeches, and Medical Assistant E.G. attended at least fourteen of his speeches. Medical Assistants D.L., D.S., and R.M., and Drs. M.M., R.P., J.S., J. A-B., and E.N., each attended at least five of his speeches.

78. In December 2013, the Texas Medical Board ordered Dr. Somerville to stop prescribing painkillers after it found that he had authorized employees to hand out presigned prescriptions to patients, and that three of his patients had died in 2012 of drug overdoses.

79. In 2013, Medicare paid over \$600,000 for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Somerville. For example, between May 21, 2013 and November 8, 2013, Dr. Somerville wrote 4 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M7, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$64,000 for these 4 prescriptions.

80. Since 2012, TRICARE has paid more than \$353,000 for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Somerville. For example, between February and December 2013, Dr. Somerville wrote 12 Subsys prescriptions for a Patient #T6, a TRICARE beneficiary. TRICARE ultimately paid more than \$222,000 for these 12 prescriptions.

7. Dr. Alexander Weingarten

81. Dr. Alexander Weingarten is a physician who specializes in anesthesiology
and pain management. He is also a co-Medical Director of Comprehensive Pain
Management Associates, a two-physician group that operates at several locations in New

York City and Long Island.

82. Between 2012 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Weingarten over \$200,000 in speaking fees. Dr. Weingarten was paid between \$1,600 and \$3,000 per speech for approximately 80 speeches. Many of these events were held at restaurants. At least seven were held in Comprehensive Pain Management Associates' own offices.

83. Many of the speeches for which Insys paid Dr. Weingarten were shams, attended by only Dr. Weingarten's own office staff or a small circle of frequent guests. One Doctor of Osteopathy, with initials J.B., attended at least 16 of Dr. Weingarten's speeches. L.M., who was identified in different documents as a Medical Assistant, Legal Secretary, and Office Manager, attended at least 15 speeches; Dr. H.F. and Physician Assistant L.M. each attended at least 10 speeches; Dr. A.K. attended at least 8 speeches; and Medical Assistant/Office Managers D.R. and J.U. each attended at least 6 speeches.

84. Since 2012, Medicare has paid over \$3.5 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Weingarten. For example, between June 21, 2013 and December 7, 2015, he wrote 26 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M8, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$221,000 for these 26 prescriptions.

85. In May 2012, Dr. Weingarten wrote two Subsys prescriptions for Patient #T7, a TRICARE beneficiary. TRICARE paid more than \$1,600 for these two prescriptions.

8. <u>Dr. Thomas Whitten</u>

86. Dr. Thomas Whitten is a pain management specialist practicing in Greensburg, Pennsylvania. Between 2013 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Whitten over \$100,000 to give approximately 40 speeches.

87. Many of the speeches for which Insys paid Dr. Whitten were in fact shams.
At least four of his speeches were attended only by members of his own office staff. For
at least nine of Dr. Whitten's speeches, Dr. R.M. was the only attendee who was not on
Dr. Whitten's office staff. Dr. M.G., along with two members of his own office staff,
attended at least 9 of Dr. Whitten's speeches. At least five of Dr. Whitten's speeches

were attended by an Esthetician/Cosmetologist and a Physician's Assistant who worked
 for a plastic surgery practice.

88. Upon information and belief, Insys hired Dr. Whitten's daughter to work as an Insys Sales Representative in 2014, shortly after she graduated college.

89. Since 2013, Medicare has paid over \$4 million for Subsys that was
prescribed by Dr. Whitten. For example, between March 25, 2014 and December 27,
2017, he wrote 51 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M9, a Medicare beneficiary.
Medicare ultimately paid more than \$1.1 million for these 51 prescriptions.

9. Dr. Steven Chun

90. Dr. Steven Chun is a physician who practices in association with Sarasota Pain Associates in Bradenton, Florida. Between 2012 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Chun over \$270,000 in speaking fees. Insys paid Dr. Chun between \$2,400 and \$3,000 per speech for more than 60 speeches.

91. Many of the speeches for which Insys paid Dr. Chun were in fact shams attended by a small group of repeat attendees. For example, Pharmacist V.D. attended at least 16 of his speeches, Dr. M.G. attended at least 11, Pharmacy Assistant A.N. attended at least 9, Advanced Practice Registered Nurse S.P. attended at least 8, and a number of other individuals attended multiple speeches. Many of Dr. Chun's speeches did not include any physician attendees. Many of his speaking events were held in expensive restaurants.

92. Since 2013, Medicare has paid over \$9 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Chun. For example, between June 4, 2013 and December 18, 2017, he wrote 59 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M10, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$1.4 million for these 59 prescriptions.

93. Between November 2013 and May 2016, Dr. Chun wrote Subsys
prescriptions for three TRICARE beneficiaries. TRICARE paid over \$19,000 for these
prescriptions. One of these, dispensed in December 2013, was for Patient #T8.
TRICARE paid over \$4,000 for this prescription.

10. Dr. Gordon Freedman

94. Dr. Gordon Freedman is a physician certified in pain management and anesthesiology who practices in New York City. Between 2012 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Freedman over \$280,000 to give more than 60 speeches regarding Subsys.

95. Many of Dr. Freedman's speaking events were duplicative and pretextual. For example, a Nurse Practitioner with initials M.R. attended at least three of Dr. Freedman's speaking events, and was the only attendee who was not an Insys employee at two of them. Pharmacists L.P. and B.C., and physicians J.N. and S.B., each attended at least three of Dr. Freedman's speaking events.

96. Jonathan Roper and Fernando Serrano were two of the Insys employees who worked with Dr. Freedman. In May 2017, Mr. Serrano pleaded guilty to multiple federal crimes, including violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute, in connection with his work at Insys. In August 2017, Mr. Roper pleaded guilty to multiple federal crimes, including violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute, in connection with his work at Insys.

97. In March 2018, Dr. Freedman was one of five physicians indicted by a federal grand jury in the Southern District of New York on charges that included violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute and conspiracy to violate the Anti-Kickback Statute, in connection with their participation in the Insys speaker program.

98. Since 2013, Medicare has paid over \$2.9 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Freedman. For example, between June 11, 2014 and November 22, 2017, Dr. Freedman wrote 45 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M11, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$864,000 for these 45 prescriptions.

11. Dr. Jeffrey Goldstein

99. Dr. Jeffrey Goldstein is doctor of osteopathic medicine who practices in New York City. Since 2013, Insys has paid Dr. Freedman over \$180,000 in speaking fees to give more than 30 speeches.

100. Many of Dr. Goldstein's speaking events were duplicative and pretextual.
For example, at least four of the speeches that he gave on behalf of Insys were attended

solely by members of his own office staff. Dr. J.B., who was on Dr. Goldstein's staff, attended three of Dr. Goldstein's speaking events. Dr. R.J attended at least three of his speaking events.

101. Jonathan Roper and Fernando Serrano, discussed above, were two of the Insys employees who worked with Dr. Goldstein.

102. In March 2018, Dr. Goldstein was one of five physicians indicted by a federal grand jury in the Southern District of New York, on charges that included violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute and conspiracy to violate the Anti-Kickback Statute, in connection with their participation in the Insys speaker program.

103. Since 2013, Medicare has paid over \$2.6 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Goldstein. For example, between May 13, 2013 and July 7, 2016, Dr. Goldstein wrote 34 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M12, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$620,000 for these 34 prescriptions.

12. Dr. Bart Gatz

104. Dr. Bart Gatz is an anesthesiologist who practices in Greenacres, Boynton Beach, and other locations in Florida. Between 2012 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Gatz over \$229,000 in speaking fees. Upon information and belief, many of the speeches for which Dr. Gatz was paid were actually shams.

105. On or about January 18, 2013, Dr. Gatz was taken to a strip club in Scottsdale, Arizona by two Insys executives, Alec Burlakoff and Joe Rowan. The next day, Mr. Burlakoff texted a colleague, saying "Went fantastic last night. Bart and I got back around 4 am. He had to have had one of the best nights of his life." Several days later, Dr. Gatz sent a text to Mr. Rowan stating, "Thank you for the best weekend in years!!!"

106. Upon information and belief, Insys also provided Dr. Gatz with lavish meals and at least one trip to a shooting range. Upon information and belief, in 2012, Insys provided Thanksgiving dinners for Dr. Gatz' entire office staff.

107. Since 2012, Medicare has paid over \$3.3 million for Subsys that was

prescribed by Dr. Gatz. For example, between December 30, 2013 and April 20, 2015, he wrote 22 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M13, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$421,000 for these 22 prescriptions.

108. On February 14, 2013, Dr. Gatz dispensed a Subsys prescription for Patient #T9, a TRICARE beneficiary. TRICARE paid more than \$6,200 for this prescription.

13. Dr. Jeffrey Kesten

109. Dr. Jeffrey Kesten is a physician who practiced at the Red Rocks Center for Rehabilitation in Golden, Colorado. Between 2012 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Kesten over \$290,000 to give over 100 speeches. Insys paid Dr. Kesten between \$2,400 and \$4,700 per speech.

110. Many of the speeches for which Insys paid Dr. Kesten were, in fact, shams.
A significant number of them were attended only by members of his own office staff at the Red Rocks Center for Rehabilitation. Most of the individuals who attended Dr.
Kesten's speeches were office staff and other individuals who were not authorized to prescribe Subsys.

111. Since 2013, Medicare has paid over \$3.8 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Kesten. For example, between January 13, 2014 and March 30, 2016, he wrote 31 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M14, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$400,000 for these 31 prescriptions.

14. Dr. Paul Wand

112. Dr. Paul Wand is a neurologist who practices in association with the Brain Healing Center of America in Coral Springs, Florida (the Brain Healing Center).
Between 2013 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Wand over \$84,000 to give more than 50 speeches. Many of these speeches were given at restaurants.

113. Many of the speeches for which Insys paid Dr. Wand were shams. At least two of his speeches were attended solely by members of the staff at the Brain Healing Center, including a marriage therapist, a medical assistant, and an office manager. At least seven of his speeches were attended only by pharmacy staff. A number of

1 individuals attended more than one of his speeches.

114. Since 2013, Medicare has paid over \$2 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Wand. For example, between April 28, 2015 and April 8, 2016, he wrote 14 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M15, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$162,000 for these 15 prescriptions.

115. Between May 2013 and August 2015, Dr. Wand dispensed 70 separate Subsys prescriptions for two TRICARE beneficiaries. One of them, Patient #T10, received 57 Subsys prescriptions from Dr. Wand, for which TRICARE paid \$392,000.

15. Christopher Clough

116. Christopher Clough was a Physician Assistant who practiced inSomersworth, New Hampshire. Between 2013 and 2014, Insys paid Mr. Clough morethan \$40,000 to give more than 30 speeches.

117. Many of the speeches for which Insys paid Mr. Clough were actually shams. Many, if not most, of them were attended solely by members of his own office staff.

118. In 2015, the New Hampshire Board of Medicine reprimanded Mr. Clough for overprescribing opioid drugs and permanently barred him from prescribing opioid drugs in the future.

119. In March 2017, Mr. Clough was indicted based on federal charges that he accepted illegal kickbacks from Insys. Those charges are currently pending.

120. In 2013-14, Medicare paid almost \$2 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Mr. Clough. For example, between July 30, 2013 and August 29, 2014, he wrote 17 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M16, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$223,000 for these 17 prescriptions.

121. Between July 2013 and February 2015, Mr. Clough wrote 26 separate prescriptions for Patient #T11, a TRICARE beneficiary. TRICARE has paid more than \$595,000 for these prescriptions.

2

3

16. Dr. Steve Fanto

122. Dr. Steve Fanto was a physician who practiced in Scottsdale, Arizona. In July 2017, Dr. Fanto signed an interim consent agreement with the Arizona Medical Board suspending his ability to engage in the practice of medicine in the State of Arizona. The suspension was based on interim findings of fact that Dr. Fanto had improperly prescribed opioid drugs, including Subsys, for several of his patients.

123. Between 2012 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Fanto more than \$230,000 to give more than 70 speeches. Insys paid Dr. Fanto between \$2,400 and \$4,700 per speech.Many of the speeches for which Insys paid Dr. Fanto were actually pretextual or duplicative.

124. A number of individuals attended more than one of Dr. Fanto's speaking events. In addition, attendance at his speaking events was often padded with individuals who had no ability to prescribe Subsys, including medical assistants, office managers, receptionists, clerks, students, a phlebotomist, a massage therapist, a lab collector, a hearing instrument specialist, a radiation therapist, a dentist, and a "sanitation" specialist.

125. For example, on June 12, 2015, Dr. Fanto met with eleven medical assistants and office staff personnel at the Sedona office of Arizona Oncology. No physicians were in attendance. On July 17, 2015, he held a second event at the same office. Only one physician was present, and eight of the attendees from the first presentation also attended the second presentation. Insys paid Dr. Fanto \$4,700 per event for these two meetings.

126. Since 2012, Medicare has paid almost \$2.7 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Fanto. For example, between April 16, 2014 and March 16, 2016, he wrote 27 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M17, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$534,000 for these 27 prescriptions.

127. Since 2012, TRICARE has paid over \$4.5 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Fanto. Over \$4 million of this was for just three patients, each of whom received more than 40 Subsys prescriptions, worth between \$1.2 million and \$1.5

million, from Dr. Fanto. For example, between February 2014 and May 2017, Dr. Fanto wrote 44 Subsys prescriptions for Patient #T12, a TRICARE beneficiary. TRICARE has paid \$1.5 million for these 44 prescriptions.

17. Dr. Edward Lubin

128. Dr. Edward Lubin is a physician who practices at several locations inFlorida. Between 2013 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Lubin over \$120,000 to give more than20 speeches.

129. Many of the speeches for which Insys paid Dr. Lubin were actually shams. Most of his speaking events were conducted at pharmacies, even though pharmacists cannot prescribe Subsys. At least one of his speeches was attended only by a colleague from his own office. One physician attended at least three of his speeches.

130. Since 2013, Medicare has paid over \$2.9 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Lubin. For example, between April 21, 2014 and December 8, 2015, he wrote 24 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M18, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$236,000 for these 24 prescriptions.

131. Since 2012, TRICARE has paid over \$1.2 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Lubin. For example, between April 2014 and October 2016, he wrote 31 Subsys prescriptions for Patient #T13, a TRICARE beneficiary. TRICARE ultimately paid more than \$352,000 for these 31 prescriptions.

18. Dr. Nilesh Jobalia

132. Dr. Nilesh Jobalia was an anesthesiologist in Hamilton, Ohio. In November
2017, the State Medical Board of Ohio permanently revoked Dr. Jobalia's medical
license, based in part on a finding that he had improperly prescribed certain opioid drugs.
The Board's findings did not expressly address his prescriptions of Subsys.

133. Between 2013 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Jobalia more than \$90,000 to give over 20 speeches. Insys paid him \$1,600 per speech.

134. Many of the speeches for which Insys paid Dr. Jobalia were actually shams.
For example, one speech was attended only by two medical assistants and a psychologist

with a PhD, one was attended by a single medical assistant, one was attended by a single pharmacist, and one was attended by a single physician's assistant.

135. Since 2012, Medicare has paid approximately \$1.6 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Jobalia. For example, between February 7, 2014 and October 11, 2017, he wrote 32 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M19, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$620,000 for these 32 prescriptions.

136. Between February and July 2014, Dr. Jobalia wrote 6 Subsys prescriptions for Patient #T14, a TRICARE beneficiary. TRICARE paid over \$14,000 for these prescriptions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

19. Dr. Gregory Gerber

137. Dr. Gregory Gerber is a physician who practices in Sandusky, Ohio.Between 2012 and 2015, Insys paid Dr. Gerber over \$130,000 to give approximately 39 speeches.

138. Many of the speeches for which Insys paid Dr. Gerber were duplicative or pretextual. For example, at three of Dr. Gerber's events, Dr. C.B was the only physician in attendance. Some of Dr. Gerber's events did not include any physicians. Attendance at Dr. Gerber's events was padded with individuals who had no ability to prescribe Subsys, including office managers, billing clerks, receptionists, medical assistants, a specimen processor, and a radiology technician.

139. Since 2013, Medicare has paid more than \$1.8 million for Subsys that was prescribed by Dr. Gerber. For example, between October 9, 2013 and September 13, 2015, he wrote 19 Subsys prescriptions for Patient # M20, a Medicare beneficiary. Medicare ultimately paid more than \$218,000 for these 19 prescriptions.

B. Insys Focused Its Marketing of Subsys

on Patients Who Did Not Have Cancer

140. As discussed in Section VII, above, Subsys is only approved by the FDA
for the management of breakthrough cancer pain. The FDA has never approved Subsys
for the treatment of pain in patients who do not have cancer. Use of Subsys, a sublingual

fentanyl spray, by persons who do not have cancer is not supported by the Compendia. Nevertheless, Insys has targeted its marketing efforts for Subsys to promote the drug to treat patients who do not have cancer.

141. Most of the physicians that Insys has paid to participate in its speaker program were in specialties other than oncology. Only a very small percentage of the attendees at Insys' speaker program events were oncologists.

142. Insys management repeatedly urged its sales force to encourage physicians to prescribe Subsys for patients who were suffering from pain that was not cancerrelated.

143. For example, at a national sales meeting held by the company in April 2013, Insys executive Karen Hill spoke with the audience about "how to throw something out to a doctor without sounding off-label." At the same meeting, Insys executive Dan Tondre told the audience, "When a patient's in pain and it's a severe pain . . . is it different they have cancer pain . . . or is it different they got, like, back pain . . . That's the whole point, pain is pain."

144. At another national sales meeting, held by the company in or about 2014, Alec Burlakoff told the company's sales force:

[t]hese [doctors] will tell you all the time, well, I've only got like eight
patients with cancer. Or, I only have, like, twelve patients that are on a
rapid-onset opioids [sic]. Doc, I'm not talking about any of those patients. I
don't want any of those patients. That's, that's small potatoes. That's nothing.
That's not what I'm here doing. I'm here selling [unintelligible] for the
breakthrough pain. If I can successfully sell you the [unintelligible] for the
breakthrough pain, do you have a thousand people in your practice, a
thousand patients, twelve of them are currently on a rapid-onset opioids
[sic]. That leaves me with at least five hundred patients that can go on this
drug.

145. In August 2013, Regional Sales Manager Richard Simon sent the following text to an Insys sales representative:

I need confirmation from YOU that you had a conversation with ... [the practitioner] where he will not ONLY promote for cancer patients. If he does this he will single handedly take down the whole company. He MUST creatively share how docs write this product everywhere. Please get back to me ASAP with confirmation that he will share with our other speakers how effective ... [the Fentanyl Spray] will be to treat ALL BTP [Breakthrough Pain].

146. As a result of Insys' marketing efforts, most of the federal beneficiaries who have used Subsys were not being treated for cancer when they received their Subsys prescriptions.

C. Insys Lied to Insurers In Order to Persuade Them

to Approve Reimbursement for Subsys Prescriptions

147. As discussed above, many insurers, including most Medicare Part D Plans, will not provide reimbursement for Subsys unless the beneficiary has received prior authorization for his or her Subsys prescription. There are a number of medical factors that are material to insurers' decisions on whether to reimburse for Subsys, including (1) whether the patient has cancer, (2) whether the patient is opioid tolerant (i.e., whether the patient is currently taking opioids on a daily basis), and (3) whether the patient is suffering from dysphagia, or difficulty swallowing. (Dysphagia is relevant because Subsys is a sublingual spray, and may be easier to take than pill forms of fentanyl for patients with dysphagia.)

148. In order to increase Subsys sales, Insys established an internal unit, sometimes referred to as the Insys Reimbursement Center or IRC, dedicated to facilitating the process of obtaining prior authorization of Subsys prescriptions.

149. In many instances, IRC employees lied or made deliberately misleading statements to Part D Sponsors and/or PBMs responsible for evaluating or approving

Medicare claims, about material facts in order to obtain federal reimbursement for Subsys prescriptions that otherwise would not have been approved. IRC employees frequently lied about their employer, falsely claiming that they were employed by the physician or nurse practitioner that had prescribed Subsys. Also, IRC employees frequently lied or made deliberately misleading statements about patients' medical conditions in order to obtain insurance authorization that otherwise would have been denied.

150. Between early 2013 and mid-2015, the IRC was headed by Elizabeth Gurrieri. In June 2017, Ms. Gurrieri pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy to commit wire fraud in connection with her work at the IRC.

151. The following subparagraphs describe illustrative examples of false representations that Insys made in order to obtain prior approval of Subsys prescriptions for federal beneficiaries. This is not intended as a complete or comprehensive list of the false representations that Insys made.

A. Patient #1 obtained Medicare Part D coverage through Horizon Medicare Blue TotalCare. On February 26, 2014, an Insys employee who identified herself as Tracy spoke on the phone with a PBM employee about a Subsys prescription for Patient #1. In that call, Tracy falsely represented or implied (1) that she was calling from the office of Patient #1's prescriber, Dr. Amer Syed; (2) that Patient #1 had cervical cancer, and (3) that Patient #1 would be using Subsys along with Oxycontin. All of these representations were false. The next day, February 27, 2014, the PBM approved a payment of \$3,394.57 for Subsys for Patient #1. Between February and December 2015, the Medicare program paid more than \$53,000 for Subsys for Patient #1.

B. Patient #2 obtained Medicare Part D coverage through Blue Cross Blue Shield of Florida BlueMedicare Regional PPO. On April 14, 2014, an Insys employee who identified herself as Alisa spoke on the phone with a PBM employee about a Subsys prescription for Patient #2. In that call, Alisa falsely represented or implied (1) that she was calling from the prescriber's office, and (2) that Patient #2 had cancer. Both of these representations were false. The next day, April 15, 2014, the PBM approved Patient #2's Subsys prescription. Between April and July, 2014, the Medicare program paid more than \$8,000 for Subsys for Patient #2.

C. Patient #3 obtained Medicare Part D coverage through BlueMedicare Rx (PDP). On May 14, 2014, an Insys employee who identified herself as Alyssa spoke on the phone with a PBM employee about a Subsys prescription for Patient #3. In that call, Alyssa falsely represented or implied that Patient #3 had cancer. This representation was false. The next day, April 15, 2014, the PBM approved Patient #3's Subsys prescription. Between April 2014 and March 2015, the Medicare program paid more than \$56,000 for Subsys for Patient #3.

D. Patient #4 obtained Medicare Part D coverage through Blue Cross MedicareRx Basic (PDP) by HISC - Blue Cross Blue Shield of Oklahoma. On June 19, 2014, an Insys employee who identified herself as Alyssa spoke on the phone with a PBM employee about a Subsys prescription for Patient #4. In that call, Alyssa falsely represented or implied that Patient #4 had cancer. The PBM made payments for Subsys for Patient #4 on behalf of Medicare on June 26, July 10, and July 14, 2014. The Medicare program paid more than \$22,000 for Subsys for Patient #4. Patient #4 died on or about July 20, 2014 due to complications from Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (COPD).

E. Patient #5 obtained Medicare Part D coverage through Clearstone PDP Group MedicareBlue Rx PDP. On September 8, 2014, an Insys employee who identified herself as Ricki spoke on the phone with a PBM employee about a Subsys prescription for Patient #5. In that call, Ricki falsely represented or implied (1) that she was calling from the office of Patient #5's prescriber; and (2) that Patient #5 had breakthrough cancer pain. Both of these representations were false. The same day, September 8, 2014, the PBM approved Patient #5's Subsys

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

prescription. The Medicare program subsequently paid more than \$40,000 for Subsys for Patient #5.

F. Sarah Fuller was a Medicare beneficiary who received a Subsys prescription from a physician, Dr. Vivienne Matalon, in January 2015. (Ms. Fuller's full name is included here because her identity, and the events described in this paragraph, have already been publicly disclosed, as noted below.) Shortly thereafter, an Insys employee who identified herself as Gina called Ms. Fuller's PBM in order to obtain approval for Ms. Fuller's prescription. Gina falsely represented to the PBM that she was "with" Dr. Matalon's office. Through a series of artful and misleading responses, Gina led the PBM representative to believe that Ms. Fuller was suffering from breakthrough cancer pain, when in reality Ms. Fuller did not have cancer at all. The PBM approved Ms. Fuller's prescription. Between January 8, 2015 and March 21, 2016, the Medicare program paid more than \$211,000 for Subsys for Ms. Fuller. Ms. Fuller died of a drug overdose in March 2016. The January 2015 conversations between Gina and representatives of Ms. Fuller's PBM were recorded, and were publicly revealed in a report issued by Senator Claire McCaskill of the U.S. Senate Homeland Security & Governmental Affairs Committee on September 6, 2017. A copy of the report, and an audio recording of the phone calls, can be found at the following link: https://www.mccaskill.senate.gov/media-center/news-releases/breaking-mccaskillopioid-investigation-releases-first-report-detailing-systemic-manipulation-ofprior-authorization-process-by-insys-therapeutics-.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(False Claims Act: Presentation of False Claims)

(31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(A))

152. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all paragraphs of this complaint set out above as if fully set forth herein.

153. Defendant Insys knowingly presented, or caused to be presented, materially

1

2

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

false and fraudulent claims for payment or approval to the United States, including (1) claims for reimbursement by Medicare Part D Plan Sponsors and TRICARE that were materially false and fraudulent because they were for services ordered or prescribed 3 4 by persons to whom Insys had paid kickbacks in violation of the Anti-Kickback Statute, 5 and (2) claims for reimbursement by Medicare Part D Plan Sponsors and TRICARE that were materially false and fraudulent because they were for patients that were not 6 covered.

154. Said claims were presented with actual knowledge of their falsity, or with reckless disregard or deliberate ignorance of whether or not they were false.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(False Claims Act: Using False Statements to Get False Claims Paid) (31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(B))

155. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all paragraphs of this complaint set out above as if fully set forth herein.

156. Defendant Insys made, used, and caused to be made or used, false records or to get false or fraudulent claims paid and approved by the United States. Defendant Insys' false certifications and representations were made for the purpose of getting false or fraudulent claims paid, and payment of the false or fraudulent claims was a reasonable and foreseeable consequence of the Defendant's statements and actions.

157. The false certifications and representations made and caused to be made by Defendant Insys were material to the United States' payment of the false claims.

158. Said false records or statements were made with actual knowledge of their falsity, or with reckless disregard or deliberate ignorance of whether or not they were false.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Payment by Mistake)

159. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all paragraphs of this complaint set out above as if fully set forth herein.

160. This is a claim for the recovery of monies paid by the United States to Defendant Insys (directly or indirectly) as a result of mistaken understandings of fact.

161. The United States paid Defendant Insys for claims submitted by Defendant Insys for prescriptions that were written by physicians and nurse practitioners who had financial relationships prohibited by the Anti-Kickback Statute, without knowledge of material facts, and under the mistaken belief that Defendant Insys was entitled to receive payment for such claims when it was not. The United States also paid Defendant Insys for Medicare and TRICARE claims that had been approved based on false factual representations by Insys employees regarding patients' medical conditions and histories. The United States' mistaken belief was material to its decision to pay Defendant Insys for such claims. Accordingly, Defendant Insys is liable to make restitution to the United States of the amounts of the payments made to it in error by the United States.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Unjust Enrichment)

162. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all paragraphs of this complaint set out above as if fully set forth herein.

163. As a consequence of the acts set forth above, Insys was unjustly enriched at the expense of the United States in an amount to be determined which, under the circumstances, in equity and good conscience, should be returned to the United States.

164. The United States claims the recovery of all monies by which Insys has been unjustly enriched.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the United States demands and prays that judgment be entered in its favor against Defendant Insys as follows:

1. On the First and Second Counts, under the False Claims Act, for the amount of the United States' damages, trebled as required by law, and such civil penalties as are authorized by law, together with all such further relief as may be just and proper.

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2. On the Third Count, for payment by mistake, for the damages sustained

and/or amounts by which Defendant Insys was paid by mistake, or by which DefendantInsys retained illegally obtained monies, plus interest, costs, and expenses, and for allsuch further relief as may be just and proper.

3. On the Fourth Count, for unjust enrichment, for the amount by which Insys was unjustly enriched.

Dated: April 13, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

CHAD A. READLER Acting Assistant Attorney General Civil Division NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney DOROTHY A. SCHOUTEN Chief, Civil Division DAVID K. BARRETT Chief, Civil Fraud Section DAVID M. HARRIS Deputy Chief, Civil Fraud Section Assistant United States Attorneys

MICHAEL D. GRANSTON PATRICIA L. HANOWER DAVID T. COHEN Attorneys, Civil Division United States Department of Justice

JOHN E. LEE Assistant United States Attorney

Attorneys for the United States of America