	Case 8:17-cr-00061-DOC Document	1 Filed 06/16/17 Page 1 of 11 Page ID #:1	
1 2			
3			
4		2017	
5	St. JUN		
6	5		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		<u>ب</u>
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	$\sum_{i=1}^{NO.} \frac{SAC}{FORMATION} = 0.0061$	
11	Plaintiff,	<u>I N F O R M A T I O N</u>	
12	v.	[18 U.S.C. § 1347: Health Care Fraud; 18 U.S.C. §§ 982(a)(7),	
13	JAMES CHEN,	981(a)(1)(C); 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c): Forfeiture]	
14	Defendant.	3 Zior(c). forference	
15			
16	The Acting United States Attorney charges:		
17	COUNT ONE		
18	[18 U.S.C. § 1347]		
19	I. GENERAL ALLEGATIONS		
20	At all times relevant to this Information:		
21	A. Defendant and Others		
2.2	1. Defendant JAMES CHEN ("defendant CHEN") resided in Los		
23	Angeles County, California.		
24	2. Clevis Management Inc., doing business as Haeoyou		
25	Pharmacy ("HY"), was a corporation owned and controlled by		
26	defendant CHEN, with its principal retail pharmacy business		
27	located at 38656 Medical Center Drive, Palmdale, California, and		
28	its headquarters located in th	e City of Commerce, both within	

Case 8:17-cr-00061-DOC Document 1 Filed 06/16/17 Page 2 of 11 Page ID #:2

the Central District of California. From its retail location, 1 2 HY filled prescriptions for medications, including those compounded medications more fully described below. From its 3 headquarters location, and for the purpose of generating income through submitting claims to TRICARE, as described below, and insurance companies, HY coordinated the work of so-called marketers to obtain prescriptions for medications and owned and operated an Internet-based "telemedicine" site known as "Healtharchy.com," through which individuals could seek prescriptions for medications without actually being examined by a physician or other person authorized to prescribe medications.

3. Trestles RX LLC and Trestles Pain Management Specialists LLC (collectively "Trestles RX") were business entities located at 25971 Pala, Suite 120, Mission Viejo, California, in the Central District of California, and were operated by Co-Schemer #1, Co-Schemer #2, Co-Schemer #3, Co-Schemer #4, and others.

Co-Schemer #5 was the pharmacist-in-charge of HY's 4. retail location in Palmdale, from which he supervised other pharmacists and staff and directed them to fill, or otherwise authorized the filling of, prescriptions, including prescriptions for the compounded medications described below.

Co-Schemer #6 and Co-Schemer #7 were residents of the 5. State of Florida who negotiated, and received referral fees pursuant to, an agreement between Trestles RX and HY.

> в. TRICARE

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

TRICARE was a health care benefit program, as defined 6. 27 by 18 U.S.C. § 24(b), that provided coverage for Department of 28

Case 8:17-cr-00061-DOC Document 1 Filed 06/16/17 Page 3 of 11 Page ID #:3

Defense beneficiaries world-wide, including active duty service
 members, National Guard and Reserve members, retirees, their
 families, and survivors.

For the calendar year 2013, HY submitted approximately 4 7. zero claims to TRICARE for reimbursement for filling 5 prescriptions for compounded medications as described below. 6 7 For the calendar year 2014, HY submitted approximately 31 claims to TRICARE, substantially all of which were submitted in the 8 month of December 2014, for reimbursement for filling 9 prescriptions for compounded medications, as described below, 10 for a total claimed amount of approximately \$81,401. For the 11 period of approximately January 1 through May 31, 2015, 12 inclusive, HY submitted approximately 2,798 claims to TRICARE 13 for reimbursement for filling prescriptions for compounded 14 medications, as described below, for a total claimed amount of 15 approximately \$62,654,938. 16

17

С

Compounded Medications

In general, "compounding" is a practice by which a 8. 18 licensed pharmacist, a licensed physician, or, in the case of an 19 outsourcing facility, a person under the supervision of a 20 licensed pharmacist, combines, mixes, or alters ingredients of a 21 drug or multiple drugs to create a drug or medication tailored 22 to the needs of an individual patient. Compounded medications 23 are not FDA-approved, that is, the FDA does not verify the 24 safety, potency, effectiveness, or manufacturing quality of 25 compounded medications. The California State Board of Pharmacy 26 regulates the practice of compounding in the State of 27 28 California.

9. Compounded medications may be prescribed by a 1 2 physician when an FDA-approved drug does not meet the health needs of a particular patient. For example, if a patient is 3 4 allergic to a specific ingredient in an FDA-approved medication, 5 such as a dye or a preservative, a compounded medication can be prepared excluding the substance that triggers the allergic 6 reaction. Compounded medications may also be prescribed when a 7 patient cannot consume a medication by traditional means, such 8 9 as an elderly patient or a child who cannot swallow an FDA-10 approved pill and needs the medication in a liquid form that is not otherwise available. 11

12

II.

THE FRAUDULENT SCHEME

10. Beginning on or about a date unknown, and continuing 13 14 to in or about June 2015, in Los Angeles and Orange Counties, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, 15 defendant JAMES CHEN, Co-Schemer #1, Co-Schemer #2, Co-Schemer 16 #3, Co-Schemer #4, Co-Schemer #5, Co-Schemer #6, and Co-Schemer 17 #7, together with others known and unknown to the Acting United 18 States Attorney, knowingly, willfully, and with the intent to 19 defraud, executed and attempted to execute a scheme and 20 21 artifice: (1) to defraud TRICARE as to material matters in connection with the delivery of and payment for health care 22 benefits, items, and services; and (2) to obtain money from 23 TRICARE by means of material false and fraudulent pretenses and 24 representations and the concealment of material facts in 25 connection with the delivery of and payment for health care 26 benefits, items, and services. 27

4

Case 8:17-cr-00061-DOC Document 1 Filed 06/16/17 Page 5 of 11 Page ID #:5

1 11. The fraudulent scheme operated, in substance, in the
 2 following manner:

a. Defendant would own and control HY and would
cause HY to enter into agreements with Trestles RX, as
negotiated by Co-Schemer #6 and Co-Schemer #7, and others, and
would cause HY to hire in-house staff, to refer prescriptions
for compounded medications ("CM prescriptions") to HY in
exchange for huge kickbacks that would be paid from
reimbursements from TRICARE.

b. Defendant CHEN would cause claims to be made to
TRICARE for reimbursements based on the CM prescription
referrals, knowing that the CM prescriptions were suspicious, if
not fraudulent, because, among other things:

14 (1) None of the CM prescriptions arose from a 15 bona fide physician-patient relationship as required by TRICARE 16 rules;

17 (2) Substantially all of the CM prescriptions
18 were electronically sent to HY from marketers, instead of from
19 the (purported) prescribing physicians or the patients, even
20 though a large number of the CM prescriptions contained a
21 facsimile header or similar information that falsely stated that
22 the sender was a "doctor's office" or similar reference that
23 identified the sender as a health care provider;

(3) Substantially all of the CM prescriptions
were identified using an identical or nearly identical form with
pre-formulated compounds, unlike the typical prescription forms
that HY received and acted upon;

5

3

4

5

6

(4) Substantially none of the patients for whom CM prescriptions were provided, and for which HY filled and submitted claims to TRICARE, ever paid, nor did HY attempt, or intend to collect, any copayment, even though HY knew that it was required to collect a copayment for each CM prescription under TRICARE rules;

7 (5) HY mailed substantially all of the filled
8 CM prescriptions to the patients in numerous states where, as
9 was commonly the case, it was clear from the CM prescriptions
10 that the purported prescribing physicians were in different
11 states from the patients;

12 (6) HY conducted substantially no due diligence 13 upon receipt of the CM prescriptions to verify whether, in fact, 14 the patients actually sought the subject CM medications, even 15 though, shortly after HY entered into its agreement with 16 Trestles RX, defendant CHEN and his staff knew that HY had 17 called a random sample of CM patients, each of whom denied 18 seeking the CM prescriptions;

19 (7) The CM prescriptions were of questionable, 20 if any, medical value as all were for generic pain, scarring, 21 stretch marks, erectile dysfunction, or "metabolic general 22 wellness" (vitamins);

(8) The compounded formulations for each of the
purported maladies were virtually identical from patient-topatient and none of the CM prescriptions was specifically
formulated based on the individualized needs, medical history,
allergic reaction potential, contraindications, or conflicts

6

1 with other prescription medications that were unique to the 2 particular patient;

3 (9) HY had filled substantially no similar
4 prescriptions in the two previous calendar years, except for
5 approximately 30 such prescriptions in December 2014 (that
6 resulted from HY's negotiations with Trestles RX);

7 (10) HY intended to submit each of the CM
8 prescriptions to TRICARE for reimbursement because few, if any,
9 insurance carriers or entities would at the relevant time honor
10 claims for reimbursement for similar prescriptions; and

11 (11) The amounts that HY claimed to TRICARE for 12 reimbursement for each CM prescription were astronomical 13 compared to the previous or other claims that HY typically 14 submitted for reimbursement.

III. EXECUTION OF THE FRAUDULENT SCHEME

12. On or about the dates set forth below, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant CHEN, together with others known and unknown to the Acting United States Attorney, knowingly and willfully executed and attempted to execute the fraudulent scheme described above, by submitting and causing to be submitted to TRICARE, on behalf of HY, the following false and fraudulent claims:

15

16

17

18

19

a. On or about March 3, 2015, a claim for filling a CM prescription sent to HY by or through Trestles RX, purportedly authorized by R.M., regarding beneficiary E.G., for which TRICARE paid HY approximately \$46,982;

27 b. On or about March 19, 2015, a claim for filling a 28 CM prescription, purportedly authorized by Dr. H.C., regarding

Case 8:17-cr-00061-DOC Document 1 Filed 06/16/17 Page 8 of 11 Page ID #:8

beneficiary K.D., for which TRICARE subsequently paid HY
 approximately \$194,707; and

c. On or about March 24, 2015, a claim for filling a
CM prescription, purportedly authorized by Dr. G.E., regarding
beneficiary M.R., sent to HY by HY in-house marketer Y.H., for
which TRICARE subsequently paid HY approximately \$59,944.

13

14

15

16

17

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

[18 U.S.C. §§ 982(a)(7), 981(a)(1)(C);

28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)]

4 13. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure
5 32.2(a), notice is hereby given to defendant JAMES CHEN that the
6 United States will seek forfeiture as part of any sentence in
7 accordance with Title 18, United States Code, Sections 982
8 (a) (7) and 981(a) (1) (C) and Title 28, United States Code,
9 Section 2461(c), in the event of the defendant's conviction of
10 the offense set forth in Count One of this Information.

11 14. Defendant shall forfeit to the United States the12 following property:

a. 2016 Lamborghini Huracan Vehicle Identification
Number (VIN) ZHWUC1ZF2FLA02145, registered to Clevis Management
Corporation or James Chen, 13181 Crossroads Parkway North, Suite
200, City of Industry, CA 91746, with a California license plate
of 7LJW395;

b. 2015 Lexus VIN JTHHP5BC1F5002031, registered to
Scott Ishikawa, 16338 East Badillo Street, Covina, CA 91722,
with a California license plate of 7LJP571;

c. 2012 Toyota VIN JTDKN3DU8C5403966, registered to
Scott Ishikawa, 16338 East Badillo Street, Covina, CA 91722,
with a California license plate of 7NNR032;

24 d. 2015 Toyota VIN JTDKN3DU8F0451615, registered to
25 Jonathan Lung or Sum Ka Yun Lse, 712 Padilla Street, Aprt 2, Sam
26 Gabriel, CA 91776 with a California license plate of 7LKF005;

9

28

Case 8:17-cr-00061-DOC Document 1 Filed 06/16/17 Page 10 of 11 Page ID #:10

e. 2015 Cadillac VIN 1GYS4TKJ0FR639613, registered
 to Clevis Management Corporation or James Chen, 13181 Crossroads
 Parkway North, Suite 200, City of Industry, CA 91746, with a
 California license plate of 7KKD152;

f. 2015 Lexus VIN JTJBARBZ6F2027199, registered to Regina Ly, 3436 Twin Avenue, Rosemead, CA 91770, with a California license plate of 7LVR832;

g. 2014 Lotus Evora VIN SCCLMDTU0EHA10061,
9 registered to Clevis Management Corporation, 38656 Medical
10 Center Drive, Suite C, Palmdale, CA 93551, with a California
11 license plate of 7KYJ589;

h. all right, title, and interest in any and all property, real or personal, that constitutes or is derived, directly or indirectly, from the gross proceeds traceable to the commission of the offense set forth in Count One of this Information; and

i. a sum of money equal to the total value of theproperty described in subparagraph h.

15. Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b), the defendant shall forfeit substitute property, up to the total value of the property described in the preceding paragraph if, as a result of any act or omission of a defendant, the property described in the preceding paragraph, or any portion thereof:

a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due
diligence;

28

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

	Case 8:17-cr-00061-DOC Document 1 Filed 06/16/17 Page 11 of 11 Page ID #:11	
1	b. has been transferred, sold to or deposited with a	
2	third party;	
3	c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the	
4	Court;	
5	d. has been substantially diminished in value; or	
6	e. has been commingled with other property that	
7	cannot be divided without difficulty.	
8	SANDRA R. BROWN Acting United States Attorney	
9	Sals	
10	Scott Ganninger Deputy Chief Criminal Division For:	
11	LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON Assistant United States Attorney	
12	Chief, Criminal Division	
13	GEORGE S. CARDONA Assistant United States Attorney	
14	Chief, Major Frauds Section	
15	STEPHEN A. CAZARES Assistant United States Attorney	
16	Deputy Chief, Major Frauds Section	
17	MARK AVEIS PAUL G. STERN	
18	CASSIE D. PALMER Assistant United States Attorneys	
19	Major Frauds Section	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25 26		
26		
27		
20	11	