```
NICOLA T. HANNA
 1
    United States Attorney
 2
    BRANDON D. FOX
    Assistant United States Attorney
 3
    Chief, Criminal Division
    PUNEET V. KAKKAR (Cal. Bar No. 259816)
 4
    Assistant United States Attorney
    Deputy Chief, International Narcotics,
 5
    Money Laundering & Racketeering Section
    KEITH D. ELLISON (Cal. Bar No. 307070)
 6
    Assistant United States Attorney
    International Narcotics, Money
 7
     Laundering & Racketeering Section
         1400 United States Courthouse
 8
         312 North Spring Street
         Los Angeles, California 90012
 9
         Telephone: 213-894-5728/6920
         Facsimile: 213-894-0142
10
         E-mail: puneet.kakkar@usdoj.gov
                  keith.ellison2@usdoj.gov
11
    Attorneys for Plaintiff
12
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
13
                         UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14
                    FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                        No. CR 20-136-AB
16
              Plaintiff,
                                         PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT
                                         TERESA MCGRATH
17
                   v.
18
    TERESA MCGRATH,
19
              Defendant.
2.0
21
              This constitutes the plea agreement between TERESA MCGRATH
2.2
    ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the Central
23
    District of California (the "USAO") in the above-captioned case.
24
    This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other
25
    federal, state, local, or foreign prosecuting, enforcement,
26
    administrative, or regulatory authority.
27
                            DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS
28
         2.
              Defendant agrees to:
```

- a. Give up the right to indictment by a grand jury and, at the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to a three-count superseding information in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit A or a substantially similar form, which charges defendant with Conspiracy to Distribute and Possess With Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846, 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A)(viii) and 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine ("MDMA"), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C); Conspiracy to Engage in Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h); and Possession of Firearms in Furtherance of a Drug Trafficking Crime in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A)(i).
 - b. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- d. Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f. Be truthful at all times with the United States
 Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court.
- g. Pay the applicable special assessments at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant has demonstrated a lack of ability to pay such assessments.

FORFEITURE AND FINANCIAL ACCOUNTABILITY

- 3. Defendant further agrees:
- a. To forfeit all right, title, and interest in and to any and all monies, properties, and/or assets of any kind, derived from or acquired as a result of, or used to facilitate the commission of, or involved in the illegal activity to which defendant is pleading guilty, including but not limited to the following:
 - i. One Glock pistol, bearing serial number NRU539;
 - ii. One Glock pistol, bearing serial number GMZ799;

and

- iii. One FNH pistol, bearing serial number GKU0127246;
 (the "Forfeitable Assets").
- b. To the Court's entry of an order of forfeiture at or before sentencing with respect to the Forfeitable Assets and to the forfeiture of the assets.
- c. To take whatever steps are necessary to pass to the United States clear title to the Forfeitable Assets, including, without limitation, the execution of a consent decree of forfeiture and the completing of any other legal documents required for the transfer of title to the United States.
- d. Not to contest any administrative forfeiture proceedings or civil judicial proceedings commenced against the Forfeitable Assets. If defendant submitted a claim and/or petition for remission for all or part of the Forfeitable Assets on behalf of herself or any other individual or entity, defendant shall and hereby does withdraw any such claims or petitions, and further agrees to waive any right she may have to seek remission or mitigation of the forfeiture of the Forfeitable Assets.

e. Not to assist any other individual in any effort falsely to contest the forfeiture of the Forfeitable Assets.

- f. Not to claim that reasonable cause to seize the Forfeitable Assets was lacking.
- g. To prevent the transfer, sale, destruction, or loss of the Forfeitable Assets to the extent defendant has the ability to do so.
- h. To fill out and deliver to the USAO a completed financial statement listing defendant's assets on a form provided by the USAO.
- i. To the entry as part of defendant's guilty plea of a personal money judgment of forfeiture in the amount of \$161,916.03, which sum defendant admits was involved in the violations described in the factual basis of the plea agreement and for which she is jointly and severally liable with RANE MELKOM.
- j. That forfeiture of Forfeitable Assets and the Money
 Judgment shall not be counted toward satisfaction of any special
 assessment, fine, restitution, costs, or other penalty the Court may
 impose.
- k. With respect to any criminal forfeiture and money judgment ordered as a result of this plea agreement, defendant waives: (1) the requirements of Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 32.2 and 43(a) regarding notice of the forfeiture and money judgment in the charging instrument, announcements of the forfeiture and money judgment at sentencing, and incorporation of the forfeiture and money judgment in the judgment; (2) all constitutional and statutory challenges to the forfeiture and money judgment (including by direct appeal, habeas corpus or any other means); and (3) all

constitutional, legal, and equitable defenses to the forfeiture of the Forfeitable Assets and imposition of money judgment in any proceeding on any grounds including, without limitation, that the forfeiture and/or money judgment constitute an excessive fine or punishment. Defendant acknowledges that the forfeiture of the Forfeitable Assets and money judgment are part of the sentence that may be imposed in this case and waives any failure by the Court to advise defendant of this, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(b)(1)(J), at the time the Court accepts defendant's guilty plea.

THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

4. The USAO agrees to:

- a. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- c. At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offenses up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.
- d. Except for criminal tax violations (including conspiracy to commit such violations chargeable under 18 U.S.C. § 371), not further criminally prosecute defendant for violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1028A(a)(1) arising out of defendant's conduct described in the agreed-to factual basis set forth in paragraph 19 below.

 Defendant understands that the USAO is free to criminally prosecute defendant for any other unlawful past conduct or any unlawful conduct

that occurs after the date of this agreement. Defendant agrees that at the time of sentencing the Court may consider the uncharged conduct in determining the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range, the propriety and extent of any departure from that range, and the sentence to be imposed after consideration of the Sentencing Guidelines and all other relevant factors under 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a).

NATURE OF THE OFFENSES

- 5. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in count one of the superseding information, that is, Conspiracy to Distribute and Possess With Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 846, 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(A)(viii) and MDMA, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C), the following must be true: (1) there was an agreement between two or more persons to distribute and possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine and MDMA; and (2) the defendant joined in the agreement knowing of its purpose and intending to help accomplish those purposes.
- 6. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in count two of the superseding information, that is, conspiracy to engage in money laundering, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h), the following must be true:

 (1) there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit the crime of money laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C.

 § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i), that is, to (a) conduct or attempt to conduct a financial transaction, (b) knowing that the property involved in such a financial transaction represents the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, (c) which in fact involves the proceeds of

specified unlawful activity, and (d) knowing that the transaction is designed in whole or in part to conceal or disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, or the control of the proceeds of specified unlawful activity; and (2) defendant willfully joined in the agreement knowing of its unlawful purpose.

- 7. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in count three of the superseding information, that is, Possession of a Firearm in Furtherance of a Drug Trafficking Crime, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(c)(1)(A)(i), the following must be true: (1) defendant committed a drug trafficking crime, that is the crime of Conspiracy to Distribute and Possess with Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine and MDMA as charged in count one of the superseding information; (2) defendant knowingly possessed a firearm; and (3) defendant possessed the firearm in furtherance of the crime of Conspiracy to Distribute and Possess with Intent to Distribute Methamphetamine and MDMA as charged in count one of the superseding information.
- 8. Defendant understands that for defendant to be subject to the statutory maximum and statutory minimum sentences set forth below, the government must prove beyond a reasonable doubt that the conspiracy involved at least 50 grams of methamphetamine. Defendant admits that defendant, in fact, possessed at least 50 grams of methamphetamine in furtherance of the conspiracy.

PENALTIES

9. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846, 841(a), (b)(1)(A)(viii) is: life imprisonment; a lifetime period of supervised release; a fine of \$10,000,000 or twice

the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

- 10. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(h), is: 20 years' imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$500,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 11. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(c)(1)(A)(i) is: five years' imprisonment; a five-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 12. Defendant understands, therefore, that the total maximum sentence for all offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is: life imprisonment; a lifetime period of supervised release; a fine of \$10,750,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$300.
- 13. Defendant understands that the statutory mandatory minimum sentence that the Court must impose for a violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846, 841(a), (b)(1)(A)(viii) is: 10 years' imprisonment, followed by a five-year period of supervised release, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 14. Defendant understands that the statutory mandatory minimum sentence that the Court must impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(c), as charged in count three of the

superseding information, is a five-year term of imprisonment, which must run consecutive to any other sentence of imprisonment, and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

- 15. Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release.
- 16. Defendant understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury. Defendant understands that she is pleading guilty to a felony and that it is a federal crime for a convicted felon to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the convictions in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.
- 17. Defendant understands that under 21 U.S.C. § 862a, defendant will not be eligible for assistance under state programs funded under the Social Security Act or Federal Food Stamp Act or for federal food stamp program benefits, and that any such benefits or

assistance received by defendant's family members will be reduced to reflect defendant's ineligibility.

Defendant and her counsel have discussed the fact that, and defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the convictions in this case makes it practically inevitable and a virtual certainty that defendant will be removed or deported from the United States. Defendant may also be denied United States citizenship and admission to the United States in the future. Defendant understands that while there may be arguments that defendant can raise in immigration proceedings to avoid or delay removal, removal is presumptively mandatory and a virtual certainty in this case. Defendant further understands that removal and immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding and that no one, including her attorney or the Court, can predict to an absolute certainty the effect of her convictions on her immigration status. Defendant nevertheless affirms that she wants to plead guilty regardless of any immigration consequences that her pleas may entail, even if the consequence is automatic removal from the United States.

FACTUAL BASIS

19. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offenses to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant and the USAO agree to the statement of facts provided below and agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support pleas of guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 21 below but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

Conspiracy to Distribute and Possess With Intent to Distribute Controlled Substances

Beginning on or about an unknown date and continuing until February 11, 2020, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant conspired with others, including RANE MELKOM, MARK CHAVEZ, THOMAS OLAYVAR, and MATTHEW ICK (collectively, the "co-conspirators"), to knowingly and intentionally possess with the intent to distribute and to distribute methamphetamine, MDMA, and other controlled substances, including through darknet marketplaces. The darknet is a part of the internet that uses custom software and hidden networks superimposed on the architecture of the internet to provide greater anonymity to users and to obfuscate users and content. Darknet marketplaces are commercial websites selling goods and services that operate on the darknet. Darknet marketplace vendors often only accept digital currency, such as Bitcoin, in exchange for goods and services, due to the anonymity provided by such currencies. Because of this anonymity, darknet marketplaces are commonly used to facilitate illegal transactions involving, amongst other things, drugs.

The conspiracy of which defendant was a part was responsible for (1) obtaining drugs, including methamphetamine and MDMA, from various sources of supply, (2) selling those drugs on the darknet in exchange for cryptocurrency, and (3) sending the drugs to customers around the world using the United States Postal Service. To facilitate the receipt of drugs, defendant and her co-conspirators used the names of

individuals unrelated to the conspiracy to operate post office boxes where drugs that were ordered wholesale via the darknet, including MDMA, were shipped. Members of the conspiracy would then repackage and resell those drugs on the darknet.

In connection with her role in the conspiracy, on or about February 28, 2019, defendant dropped off several parcels for mailing at the Griffith Post Office located at 3370 Glendale Blvd., Los Angeles, California 90039. The parcels contained drugs and were intended for shipping to customers who had ordered those drugs on the darknet. One of the parcels was later discovered to contain approximately 17.2 grams of suspected methamphetamine. Similarly, on or about July 8, 2019, defendant dropped off approximately 60 parcels for mailing at the Sunland Post Office located at 8587 Fenwick St., Sunland-Tujunga, California 91040. The parcels contained drugs and were intended for shipping to customers who had ordered those drugs on the darknet. One of the parcels was later discovered to contain approximately 219 grams of actual methamphetamine. Moreover, on or about August 1, 2019, McGrath went to the Sun Valley Post Office located at 10946 Ratner St, Sun Valley, California 91352 and purchased \$1,542.95 worth of stamps and money orders, which furthered the drug enterprise, using a BBVA debit card ending with 7372 in the name of F.G. Defendant knew that F.G. was a real person and used F.G.'s debit card without legal authority.

In the course of the conspiracy, defendant assisted MELKOM, who was her romantic partner, with the receipt, packaging, and distribution of drugs that were ordered on the darknet. MELKOM was on federal supervision at the time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

On February 11, 2020, law enforcement officers searched defendant's residence (where co-conspirator MELKOM also resided) and found approximately 22.183 kilograms of actual methamphetamine, approximately 6,701 grams of MDMA, and two loaded Glock pistols, bearing serial numbers NRU539 and GMZ799, respectively, in a storage room attached to defendant's residence. In addition, law enforcement officers found a loaded FNH pistol, bearing serial number GKU0127246, under defendant's bed. Defendant jointly possessed the methamphetamine, MDMA, and firearms in furtherance of the conspiracy, along with MELKOM, which was used to further the distribution of methamphetamine and MDMA. Additionally, during the search of defendant's wallet, which was found in the residence, law enforcement found a debit card in the name of F.G.

Conspiracy to Launder Money

In connection with the drug trafficking activities described above, beginning on or about an unknown date and continuing until February 11, 2020, defendant conspired with others, including RANE MELKOM, to knowingly launder criminal proceeds, which defendant knew were the proceeds of unlawful criminal activity, namely, proceeds of the unlawful drug trafficking. The purpose of laundering the money was to conceal the source and origin of the money, and defendant knowingly joined in that purpose. The conspiracy proceeded as follows: (1) defendant, RANE MELKOM, and other co-conspirators would funnel money (namely, Bitcoin), including proceeds from narcotics-related activities, into a digital currency account held in defendant's name (the "MCGRATH Bitcoin Account"); (2) defendant and RANE MELKOM would exchange digital currency into fiat currency then

transfer those funds into another bank account held in defendant's name (the "MCGRATH Bank of America Account"); (3) defendant would withdraw funds from the MCGRATH Bank of America Account.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

In furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish the object of the conspiracy, defendant committed the following overt acts: (1) on December 21, 2018, defendant opened the MCGRATH Bitcoin Account; (2) on March 27, 2019, defendant received \$9,945.49 in Bitcoin in the MCGRATH Bitcoin Account; (3) on March 27, 2019, defendant exchanged \$9,954.18 in Bitcoin to U.S. dollars; (4) on March 27, 2019, defendant transferred \$9,999 from the MCGRATH Bitcoin Account to the MCGRATH Bank of America Account; (5) on April 2, 2019, defendant withdrew \$9,300 from the MCGRATH Bank of America Account; and (6) on May 23, 2019, defendant MCGRATH withdrew \$8,888 from the MCGRATH Bank of America Account. The withdrawals furthered the drug enterprise, and defendant conducted these transactions in this manner to conceal the nature, source, and ownership of the funds. Defendant and RANE MELKOM would discuss how to conduct financial transactions and would agree to conduct certain transactions in amounts less than \$10,000 to avoid scrutiny from banks or less than \$3,000 to avoid scrutiny from the United States Postal Service. Between January 19, 2019, and June 26, 2019, in total, defendant received approximately \$161,916.03 in Bitcoin into the MCGRATH Bitcoin Account.

SENTENCING FACTORS

20. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the

Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds appropriate between the mandatory minimum and the maximum set by statute for the crimes of conviction.

21. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable Sentencing Guidelines factors:

Base Offense Level:

Mass-Marketing by Means of an Interactive Computer Service

38

U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(a)(5), (c)(1)

U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1(b)(7)

Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue that additional specific offense characteristics, adjustments, and departures under the Sentencing Guidelines are appropriate. Defendant understands that defendant's offense level could be increased if defendant is a career offender under U.S.S.G. §§ 4B1.1 and 4B1.2. If defendant's offense level is so altered, defendant and the USAO will not be bound by the agreement to Sentencing Guideline factors set forth above. Defendant understands that the Court must sentence defendant to a term of five years' imprisonment on count three, which must run consecutive to any term of imprisonment imposed for count one.

¹ The parties agree that, pursuant to U.S.S.G. §§ 3D1.1 through 3D1.4, the conduct charged in counts one and two involves substantially the same harm and should be grouped together, and that the controlling guidelines are the drug-trafficking guidelines set forth above.

- 22. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.
- 23. Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 24. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
 - a. The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
 - b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c. The right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at every other stage of the proceeding.
- d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.
- g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.

h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTION

25. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal based on a claim that defendant's guilty pleas were involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's convictions on the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant understands that this waiver includes, but is not limited to, arguments that the statutes to which defendant is pleading guilty are unconstitutional, and any and all claims that the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's pleas of guilty.

LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL OF SENTENCE

26. Defendant agrees that, provided the Court, before imposition of the mandatory consecutive sentence of five years' imprisonment on count three, imposes a term of imprisonment within or below the range corresponding to an offense level of 37 and the criminal history category calculated by the Court, defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the following: (a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court; (c) the fine imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) to the extent permitted by law, the constitutionality or legality of defendant's sentence, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (e) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (f) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release

- imposed by the Court: the conditions set forth in General Order 18-10 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).
- 27. The USAO agrees that, provided (a) all portions of the sentence are at or above the statutory minimum and at or below the statutory maximum specified above and, (b) before imposition of the mandatory consecutive sentence of five years' imprisonment on count three, the Court imposes a term of imprisonment within or above the range corresponding to an offense level of 37 and the criminal history category calculated by the Court, the USAO gives up its right to appeal any portion of the sentence.

RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

28. Defendant agrees that if, after entering guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's guilty pleas on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then (a) the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement; and (b) should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then (i) any applicable statute of limitations will be tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action; and (ii) defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.

RESULT OF VACATUR, REVERSAL OR SET-ASIDE

29. Defendant agrees that if any count of conviction is vacated, reversed, or set aside, the USAO may: (a) ask the Court to resentence defendant on any remaining count of conviction, with both the USAO and defendant being released from any stipulations regarding sentencing contained in this agreement, (b) ask the Court to void the entire plea agreement and vacate defendant's guilty plea on any remaining count of conviction, with both the USAO and defendant being released from all their obligations under this agreement, or (c) leave defendant's remaining conviction, sentence, and plea agreement intact. Defendant agrees that the choice among these three options rests in the exclusive discretion of the USAO.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

30. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

31. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the signature of this agreement and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. All of defendant's obligations are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if defendant has previously

entered guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty pleas, and (b) the USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement.

- 32. Following the Court's finding of a knowing breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO choose to pursue any charge that was either dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then:
- a. Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the filing commencing any such action.
- b. Defendant waives and gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of pre-indictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such action, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing this agreement.
- c. Defendant agrees that: (i) any statements made by defendant, under oath, at the guilty plea hearing (if such a hearing occurred prior to the breach); (ii) the agreed to factual basis statement in this agreement; and (iii) any evidence derived from such statements, shall be admissible against defendant in any such action against defendant, and defendant waives and gives up any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 410 of the Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, or any other federal rule, that the statements or any evidence derived from the statements should be suppressed or are inadmissible.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COURT AND UNITED STATES PROBATION AND PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICE NOT PARTIES

- 33. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States
 Probation and Pretrial Services Office are not parties to this
 agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing
 recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing
 factors.
- 34. Defendant understands that both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and the sentence it chooses to impose are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 21 are consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.
- 35. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and defendant will remain bound to

fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one -- not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court -- can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be between the statutory mandatory minimum and the statutory maximum.

NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

36. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein and in any contemporaneous agreement or addendum signed by all parties, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

///

14 | ///

1 PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING 2 37. The parties agree that this agreement will be considered 3 part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the 4 entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding. 5 AGREED AND ACCEPTED UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF 7 CALIFORNIA 8 NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney 9 10 June 11, 2020 Date 11 KEITH D. ELLISON Assistant United States Attorneys 12 5/19/2020 Date 5/15/2020 13 TÈRÉSA MCGRATH Defendant 14 15 TODD L. MELNIK Attorney for Defendant 16 TERESA MCGRATH 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement or in an agreement signed by all parties or on the record in court. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading guilty because I am guilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other reason.

Welsa McGratin	5/19/2020
ERESA MCGRATH	Date

TERESA MCGRATH Defendant

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

2526

27

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

I am TERESA MCGRATH's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of her rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement or in an agreement signed by all parties or on the record in court; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is informed and voluntary; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement.

17 18

6-1-2020

TODD L.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Attorney for Defendant

TERESA MCGRATH

EXHIBIT A

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR No. 20-136(A)-AB11 Plaintiff, $\frac{F}{S} \frac{I}{U} \frac{R}{P}$ $\begin{array}{c|c} \overline{S} & \overline{U} & \overline{P} \\ \overline{I} & \overline{N} & \overline{F} \end{array}$ 12 v. 13 TERESA MCGRATH, [21 U.S.C. § 846: Conspiracy to Distribute and Possess With Intent 14 Defendant. to Distribute Methamphetamine and MDMA; 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h): 15 Conspiracy to Launder Monetary Instruments; 18 U.S.C. 16 § 924(c)(1)(A)(i): Possession of Firearms in Furtherance of a Drug 17 Trafficking Crime; 21 U.S.C. § 853, 18 U.S.C. §§ 924 and 982, 18 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c): Criminal Forfeiturel 19 20 The United States Attorney charges: 21 COUNT ONE 22 [21 U.S.C. § 846] 23 Α. OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY 24 Beginning on a date unknown and continuing until on or about 25 February 11, 2020, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District 26 of California, defendant TERESA MCGRATH, and others known and unknown 27 to the United States Attorney, conspired with each other to knowingly

and intentionally distribute and possess with intent to distribute the following:

- 1. at least 50 grams of methamphetamine, a Schedule II controlled substance, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(A)(viii); and
- 2. 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine ("MDMA"), in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Sections 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(C).

B. MEANS BY WHICH THE OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY WERE TO BE ACCOMPLISHED

The objects of the conspiracy were to be accomplished, in substance, as follows:

- 1. Co-conspirators would obtain methamphetamine and MDMA from sources of supply.
- 2. Defendant MCGRATH would assist with the packaging of methamphetamine and MDMA for distribution by U.S. mail to customers who ordered that methamphetamine and MDMA on the dark web.
- 3. Defendant MCGRATH would mail parcels containing methamphetamine and MDMA by U.S. mail to customers who ordered that methamphetamine and MDMA on the dark web.

C. OVERT ACTS

In furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish the objects of the conspiracy, on or about the following date, defendant MCGRATH, and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, committed various overt acts in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, including, but not limited to, the following:

- 1. On February 28, 2019, defendant MCGRATH dropped off several packages containing methamphetamine for shipment by U.S. mail to customers who ordered those drugs on the dark web.
- 2. On July 8, 2019, defendant MCGRATH dropped off approximately 60 packages containing drugs, including methamphetamine, for shipment by U.S. mail to customers who ordered those drugs on the dark web.
- 3. On February 11, 2020, defendant MCGRATH possessed, with intent to distribute, approximately 22.183 kilograms of methamphetamine and 6.701 kilograms of MDMA.

1 COUNT TWO

[18 U.S.C. § 1956(h)]

A. OBJECT OF THE CONSPIRACY

Beginning on a date unknown and continuing until on or about February 11, 2020, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, defendant TERESA MCGRATH, and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, conspired with each other to knowingly conduct financial transactions knowing that the property involved in the financial transactions represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, which, in fact, involved the proceeds of specific unlawful activity, namely, conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute controlled substances, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846, and knowing that the transactions were designed in whole and in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and control of the proceeds in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).

B. MEANS BY WHICH THE OBJECT OF THE CONSPIRACY WAS TO BE ACCOMPLISHED

The object of the conspiracy was to be accomplished, in substance, as follows:

- 1. Defendant MCGRATH and other co-conspirators would funnel money, including proceeds from narcotics-related activities, into a digital currency account held in the name of defendant MCGRATH (the "MCGRATH Bitcoin Account").
- 2. Defendant MCGRATH and other co-conspirators would exchange digital currency into fiat currency then transfer those funds into another bank account held in the name of defendant MCGRATH (the "MCGRATH Bank of America Account").

3. Defendant MCGRATH would withdraw funds from the MCGRATH Bank of America Account.

C. OVERT ACTS

In furtherance of the conspiracy and to accomplish the object of the conspiracy, on or about the following dates, defendant MCGRATH, and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney, committed various overt acts in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, including, but not limited to, the following:

- 4. On December 21, 2018, defendant MCGRATH opened the MCGRATH Bitcoin Account.
- 5. On March 27, 2019, defendant MCGRATH received \$9,945.49 in Bitcoin in the MCGRATH Bitcoin Account.
- 6. On March 27, 2019, defendant MCGRATH exchanged \$9,954.18 in Bitcoin to U.S. dollars.
- 7. On March 27, 2019, defendant MCGRATH transferred \$9,999 from the MCGRATH Bitcoin Account to the MCGRATH Bank of America Account.
- 8. On April 2, 2019, defendant MCGRATH withdrew \$9,300 from the MCGRATH Bank of America Account.
- 9. On May 23, 2019, defendant MCGRATH withdrew \$8,888 from the MCGRATH Bank of America Account.

1 COUNT THREE

[18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1)(A)(i)]

On or about February 11, 2020, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, defendant TERESA MCGRATH knowingly possessed the following firearms in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime, namely, conspiracy to distribute and possess with intent to distribute methamphetamine, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, Section 846, as charged in Count One of this Information:

- (1) a Glock pistol, bearing serial number NRU539;
- (2) a Glock pistol, bearing serial number GMZ799; and
- (3) an FNH pistol, bearing serial number GKU0127246.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION ONE

[21 U.S.C. § 853]

- 1. Pursuant to Rule 32.2(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, notice is hereby given that the United States of America will seek forfeiture as part of any sentence, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853 and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), in the event of the defendant's conviction of the offense set forth in Count One of this First Superseding Information.
- 2. The defendant, if so convicted, shall forfeit to the United States of America the following:
- (a) All right, title and interest in any and all property, real or personal, constituting or derived from, any proceeds which the defendant obtained, directly or indirectly, from any such offense;
- (b) All right, title and interest in any and all property, real or personal, used, or intended to be used, in any manner or part, to commit, or to facilitate the commission of any such offense;
- (c) All right, title, and interest in any firearm or ammunition involved in or used in any such offense, including but not limited to the following:
 - i. One Glock pistol, bearing serial number NRU539;
 - ii. One Glock pistol, bearing serial number GMZ799;
 - iii. One FNH pistol, bearing serial number GKU0127246;

and

(d) To the extent such property is not available for forfeiture, a sum of money equal to the total value of the property described in subparagraphs (a), (b), and (c).

3. Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), the defendant, if so convicted, shall forfeit substitute property if, by any act or omission of the defendant, the property described in the preceding paragraph, or any portion thereof: (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; (b) has been transferred, sold to, or deposited with a third party; (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court; (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or (e) has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without difficulty.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION TWO

2 [18 U.S.C. § 982 and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)]

- 1. Pursuant to Rule 32.2(a) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, notice is hereby given that the United States will seek forfeiture as part of any sentence, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), in the event of the defendant's conviction of the offense set forth in Count Two of this First Superseding Information.
- 2. The defendant, if so convicted, shall forfeit to the United States of America the following:
- (a) Any property, real or personal, involved in such offense, and any property traceable to such property; and
- (b) To the extent such property is not available for forfeiture, a sum of money equal to the total value of the property described in subparagraph (a).
- 3. Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b)(1), and Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b)(2), the defendant, if so convicted, shall forfeit substitute property, if, by any act or omission of the defendant, the property described in the preceding paragraph, or any portion thereof: (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; (b) has been transferred, sold to, or deposited with a third party; (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court; (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or (e) has been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without difficulty. Substitution of assets shall not be ordered, however, where the convicted defendant acted merely as an intermediary who handled but did not retain the property in the

course of the money laundering offense unless the defendant, in committing the offense or offenses giving rise to the forfeiture, conducted three or more separate transactions involving a total of \$100,000.00 or more in any twelve-month period. б

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION THREE

[18 U.S.C. § 924(d)(1) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)]

- 1. Pursuant to Rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, notice is hereby given that the United States of America will seek forfeiture as part of any sentence, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(d)(1), and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), in the event of the defendant's conviction of the offense set forth in Count Three of this First Superseding Information.
- 2. The defendant, if so convicted, shall forfeit to the United States of America the following:
- (a) All right, title, and interest in any firearm or ammunition involved in or used in any such offense, including but not limited to the following:
 - i. One Glock pistol, bearing serial number NRU539;
 - ii. One Glock pistol, bearing serial number GMZ799;
 - iii. One FNH pistol, bearing serial number GKU0127246;

18 and

- (b) To the extent such property is not available for forfeiture, a sum of money equal to the total value of the property described in subparagraph (a).
- 3. Pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), the defendant, if so convicted, shall forfeit substitute property, up to the value of the property described in the preceding paragraph if, as the result of any act or omission of the defendant, the property described in the preceding paragraph or any portion thereof (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; (b) has been

1	transferred, sold to, or deposited with a third party; (c) has been
2	placed beyond the jurisdiction of the court; (d) has been
3	substantially diminished in value; or (e) has been commingled with
4	other property that cannot be divided without difficulty.
5	NICOLA T. HANNA
6	United States Attorney
7	
8	DDANDON D. FOY
9	BRANDON D. FOX Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division
10	CAROL A. CHEN
11	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, International Narcotics,
12	Money Laundering, and Racketeering Section
13	PUNEET V. KAKKAR
14	KEITH ELLISON Assistant United States Attorneys
15	International Narcotics, Money Laundering, and Racketeering
16	Section Section
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	