	I .		
1	LORETTA E. LYNCH		
2	Attorney General MARK J. KAPPELHOFF Denuty Assistant Attorney General		
3	Deputy Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division JUDITH C. PRESTON		
4	Acting Chief, Special Litigation Section LAURA COON, Special Counsel, Special Litigation Section LUIS E. SAUCEDO, Counselor to the Chief, Special Litigation Section CATHLEEN S. TRAINOR, Trial Attorney		
5			
6	U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division Special Litigation Section		
7	Special Litigation Section	5026	
8	950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., PHB 5026 Washington, D.C. 20530 Talanhana: (202) 514 6255		
9	Telephone: (202) 514-6255 Email: laura.coon@usdoj.gov; cathleen	n.trainor@usdoj.gov	
10	EILEEN M. DECKER		
11	United States Attorney LEON W. WEIDMAN		
12	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division		
13	ROBÝN-MARIE LYON MONTELEONE (State Bar No. 130005) Assistant United States Attorney Assistant Division Chief, Civil Rights Unit Chief, Civil Division		
14	JOANNA HULL (State Bar No. 227153)	chief, Civil Division	
15	Assistant United States Attorney 300 North Los Angeles Street, Suite 7516		
16	Los Angeles, California 90012 Telephone: (213) 894-2458/6585; Facsimile: (213) 894-7819 E-mail: Robby.Monteleone@usdoj.gov; Joanna.Hull@usdoj.gov		
17	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
18	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
20	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
21	WESTERN DIVISION		
22	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	No. CV 15-5903	
23	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT	
24	v.	CONTROL OF CONTROL PROTECT OF PROPERTY OF CONTROL	
25	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND	Institutionalized Persons Act, 42 U.S.C.	
26	LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF JIM MCDONNELL, in his official	[For violations of the Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 1997-1997j; and the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of	
27	capacity;	1994, 42 U.S.C. § 14141]	
28	Defendants.		

Plaintiff, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ("Plaintiff"), by its undersigned attorneys, hereby alleges upon information and belief:

1. The Attorney General files this Complaint on behalf of the United States of America pursuant to the Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. § 1997, to enjoin the named Defendants from depriving persons incarcerated at the Los Angeles County Jails ("Jails"), located in Los Angeles County, California, of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution and laws of the United States. This Complaint is also filed pursuant to the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994, 42 U.S.C. § 14141 ("§ 14141"), which gives the Attorney General authority to file suit to remedy a pattern or practice of misconduct by law enforcement officers that deprives persons of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution and laws of the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1345.
- The United States is authorized to initiate this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C.
 § 1997a and § 14141.
- 4. The Attorney General has certified that all pre-filing requirements specified in 42 U.S.C. § 1997b have been met. The Certificate of the Attorney General is appended to this Complaint as Attachment A and is incorporated herein.
- Venue in the United States District Court for the Central District of California is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391. Defendants reside in the Central District of California, and the claim arose in this District.

PARTIES

- 6. Plaintiff is the United States of America.
- 7. Defendant LOS ANGELES COUNTY (the "County") is a governmental subdivision created under the laws of the State of California and governed by the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors. Defendant LOS ANGELES COUNTY owns and, through Defendant LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF JIM MCDONNELL,

operates the Jails, which consist of eight facilities located throughout Los Angeles County. Defendant LOS ANGELES COUNTY, through the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors, has responsibility for funding the operations of the Jails.

- 8. Defendant LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF JIM MCDONNELL, is the Los Angeles County Sheriff, an independently-elected constitutional officer not under the authority of the County Board of Supervisors. CA. Const. Art. 11, § 1(b). SHERIFF MCDONNELL has responsibility for the security and custody operations of the Jails and to protect the safety of prisoners in the Jails. SHERIFF MCDONNELL is sued in his official capacity only.
- 9. Defendants LOS ANGELES COUNTY and SHERIFF MCDONNELL are together responsible for the conditions of confinement and health and safety of persons incarcerated at the Jails. LOS ANGELES COUNTY, through its Department of Mental Health, provides mental health services to prisoners in the Jails.
- 10. Defendants are legally responsible, in whole or in part, for the operation and conditions of the Jails, and for the health and safety of persons incarcerated there. Defendant LOS ANGELES COUNTY owns the Jails; Defendant SHERIFF MCDONNELL is responsible for maintaining the Jails; Defendant SHERIFF MCDONNELL is responsible for the security and custody operations of the Jails; Defendant LOS ANGELES COUNTY, through its Department of Mental Health, is responsible for providing mental health care services to prisoners in the Jails; and Defendant LOS ANGELES COUNTY is responsible for funding the operations and maintenance of the Jails. This action concerns the administration of persons confined at the Jails, which houses adult male and female prisoners who are felons, gross misdemeanants, misdemeanants, pre-trial detainees, witnesses, or others being detained in protective custody.
- 11. At all relevant times, Defendants or their predecessors in office have acted or failed to act, as alleged herein, under color of state law.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 12. The Jails is an institution within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 1997(1).
- 13. Sheriff's Department custody staff assigned to the Jails are law enforcement officers within the meaning of § 14141.
- 14. Persons confined to the Jails include both pre-trial detainees and sentenced prisoners.¹
- 15. Defendants have repeatedly and consistently disregarded known or serious risks of harm to prisoners at the Jails.
- 16. In June 1996, the Department of Justice notified the County and Sheriff that it was opening an investigation under CRIPA, 42 U.S.C. § 1997, to determine whether the conditions in the Jails violate the constitutional rights of its prisoners.
- 17. In September 1997, the Department of Justice issued a findings letter alleging that mental health care at the Jails violated prisoners' constitutional rights. The letter further alleged that systemic deficiencies contributed to the violations, including inadequate: (1) intake screening and evaluation, (2) diagnosis, (3) referral to mental health professionals, (4) treatment plans, (5) administration of medications, (6) suicide prevention, (7) tracking and medical record keeping, (8) staffing, (9) communication, and (10) quality assurance.
- 18. In December 2002, following extensive negotiations and additional site visits, the Parties entered into a Memorandum of Agreement ("MOA") that outlined a series of reforms to ensure that adequate and reasonable mental health care services are provided at the Jails. The MOA also included measures to protect prisoners with mental illness from abuse and mistreatment.
 - 19. Under the MOA, the County and the Sheriff have made significant

This Complaint refers to all individuals housed in the Jails, including pretrial detainees and individuals who have been convicted of a criminal offense, as "prisoners."

- improvements to the delivery of mental health care at the Jails, including implementing electronic medical records, increasing mental health staffing, and developing roving evaluation teams composed of mental health professionals and specially-trained custody staff. Despite considerable progress, systemic deficiencies remain related to suicide prevention and mental health care that violate prisoners' constitutional rights. The Department of Justice notified the County and the Sheriff of these conclusions in a letter dated June 4, 2014, following on-site evaluations with expert consultants.
- 20. In September 2013, the Department of Justice opened a separate investigation of the Jails under CRIPA and 42 U.S.C. § 14141 ("Section 14141") to address allegations of use of excessive force against all prisoners at the Jails, not just prisoners with mental illness.
 - 21. As a result of these investigations, the United States alleges:
- 22. Defendants have repeatedly failed to take reasonable measures to protect prisoners against the serious harm from suicide and self-harm due to, but not limited to: (1) inadequate suicide prevention measures; (2) lack of appropriate screening by custody and mental health staff to assess suicide risk; (3) lack of appropriate supervision, observation, and monitoring of prisoners, including those identified as at risk of suicide; (4) inadequate communication between mental health care and custody staff; (5) lack of appropriate multi-disciplinary treatment plans; and (6) an inadequate suicide or critical incident review process.
- 23. Defendants have repeatedly failed to provide adequate mental health services, including psychological and psychiatric services, to prisoners with serious psychiatric needs that are known or obvious due to, but not limited to, insufficient and inadequate: (1) in-patient care for those in need; (2) crisis intervention; (3) therapy, clinical contacts, and group programming; (4) treatment and referrals for prisoners in general population; (5) medication administration practices, including treatment to prisoners refusing medication; and (6) discharge planning.
 - 24. Defendants have maintained a physical environment at the Jails that causes

- harm and poses an unreasonable risk of serious harm to prisoners' health and safety by failing to correct facility housing and maintenance problems in addition to inadequate housekeeping and sanitation that causes harm or poses a risk of harm to the prisoners and staff within the facility.
- 25. Defendants, through their acts or omissions, have engaged in a pattern or practice of conduct by Sheriff's Department custody staff of using excessive force against prisoners in the Jails. The use of excessive force includes, but is not limited to:
 - use of force disproportionate to the amount of resistance encountered,
 including use of force against unresisting or handcuffed prisoners;
 - b. use of force to punish or retaliate against prisoners; and
 - c. failure to attempt to de-escalate potential force incidents.
- 26. Defendants, through their acts or omissions, have engaged in a pattern or practice of systemic deficiencies that resulted in the pattern or practice by Sheriff's Department custody staff of uses of excessive force, described in paragraph 25, above. These systemic deficiencies include, but are not limited to:
 - a. failure to implement and enforce policies, procedures, and practices regarding use of force that appropriately guide and monitor the actions of individual Sheriff's Department custody staff;
 - failure to train and supervise adequately Sheriff's Department custody staff to prevent the occurrence of misconduct;
 - deficient use of force reporting and review;
 - failure to investigate adequately incidents in which Sheriff's
 Department custody staff uses force;
 - e. failure to discipline adequately Sheriff's Department custody staff who engage in misconduct or to monitor adequately Sheriff's Department custody staff who engage in or may be likely to engage in misconduct;
 - f. inadequate video surveillance; and

- g. failure to implement policies and procedures whereby complaints and other allegations of deputy misconduct are adequately received and investigated.
- 27. Defendants have repeatedly failed to take reasonable measures to prevent staff from inflicting serious harm on prisoners, even in the face of the obvious and substantial risk that staff will inflict such harm and the multiple occasions on which Jails staff have in fact inflicted such harm.
- 28. The factual allegations set forth in paragraphs 12 through 27 have been known to Defendants for a substantial period of time, yet Defendants have failed to adequately address the conditions described.

VIOLATIONS ALLEGED

- 29. The allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 28 are hereby re-alleged and incorporated by reference.
- 30. Through the acts and omissions alleged in paragraphs 21 through 27, Defendants have violated the rights, privileges, and immunities of persons confined in the Jails secured or protected by the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States.
- 31. Through the acts and omissions alleged in paragraphs 21 through 27, Defendants have engaged in a pattern or practice of conduct that deprives persons confined in the Jails of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution (including the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments) or the laws of the United States, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 14141.
- 32. Unless restrained by this Court, Defendants will continue to engage and/or will revert to engaging in the acts and omissions, set forth in paragraphs 21 through 27, that deprive persons confined in the Jails of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution of the United States and cause them irreparable harm.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

33. The Attorney General is authorized under 42 U.S.C. § 1997 et seq. and §

14141 to seek equitable and declaratory relief.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff United States prays that the Court enter an order permanently enjoining Defendants, their officers, agents, employees, subordinates, successors in office, and all those acting in concert or participation with them from continuing the acts, omissions, and practices set forth in paragraphs 20 through, and that this Court require Defendants to take such actions as will ensure lawful conditions of confinement are afforded to prisoners at the Jails. The United States further prays that this Court grant such other and further equitable relief as it may deem just and proper.

DATED: July 30, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

FOR THE UNITED STATES:

LORETTA E. LYNCH Attorney General

26 Lin M. Out

EILEEN DECKER United States Attorney

Central District of California

LEON W. WEIDMAN

Assistant United States Attorney

MARK J. KAPPELHOFF
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

Civil Rights Division

1	Chief, Civil Division
2	
3	Galf Man Montelier Judion C Froston (by SEJ)
4	RÓBYN-MARIE LYON MONTELEONE Assistant United States Attorney Assistant Division Chief Civil Rights Division
5	Assistant Division Chief Civil Rights Division Civil Rights Unit Chief, Civil Division
6	ZEA.
7	1/ 1/ Santon
8	JOANNA HULL LAURA COON
9	Assistant United States Attorney U.S. Attorney's Office for the Deputy Chief LUIS E. SAUCEDO
10	Counselor to the Chief Central District of California CATHLEEN S. TRAINOR
11	300 North Los Angeles Street, Suite 7516 Trial Attorney
12	Civil Rights Division
13	Special Litigation Section 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, PHB 5026 Washington, D.C. 20530
14	Washington, D.C. 20330
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	σ