

**Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)**

---

**From:** Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 1, 2017 6:45 PM  
**To:** Tucker, Rachael (OAG)  
**Subject:** Fwd: NSC Edits  
**Attachments:** 171102 National Security Address v8 - NSC edits 2.pdf; ATT00001.htm

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Davis, May M. EOP/WHO" <(b) (6)>  
**Date:** November 1, 2017 at 5:11:53 PM EDT  
**To:** "Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)" <[Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov](mailto:Sarah.Isgur.Flores@usdoj.gov)>  
**Subject:** NSC Edits

They had quite a few more, but I deleted most. Sorry these were late.

May

May Davis  
Associate White House Staff Secretary  
Desk: (202) 456-5636  
Cell: (b) (6)

(b) (6)

**Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)**

---

**From:** Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)  
**Sent:** Friday, February 24, 2017 6:33 PM  
**To:** Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC)  
**Cc:** Crowell, James (ODAG); Hunt, Jody (OAG); Tucker, Rachael (OAG)  
**Subject:** Re: New Language

Let me check in on this and get back to you.

On Feb 24, 2017, at 6:30 PM, Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC) (b)(6) per OLC wrote:

Thanks, Tash (b) (5)  
(b) (5)

(b) (5)

---

**From:** Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)  
**Sent:** Friday, February 24, 2017 6:24 PM  
**To:** Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC) (b)(6) per OLC  
**Cc:** Crowell, James (ODAG) <[jcrowell@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:jcrowell@jmd.usdoj.gov)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: New Language

Hi Curtis - please see below from FBI.

Thanks.

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" (b)(7)(E) per FBI  
**Date:** February 24, 2017 at 5:17:42 PM EST  
**To:** "Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG) (JMD)" <[Tashina.Gauhar2@usdoj.gov](mailto:Tashina.Gauhar2@usdoj.gov)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: New Language

----- Original message -----  
**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI  
**Date:** 2/24/17 5:12 PM (GMT-05:00)  
**To:** "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" (b)(7)(E) per FBI

Subject: RE: New Language \_\_\_\_\_

Jim: The DD is good with the below language.

----- Original message -----

From: (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI


Date: 2/24/17 4:19 PM (GMT-05:00)

To: "Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI)" <(b)(7)(E) per FBI

Subject: New Language

I'm going to ask Andy about this new language now.

(b)(5) per FBI



**Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC)**

---

**From:** Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC)  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 25, 2017 2:45 PM  
**To:** Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)  
**Cc:** Crowell, James (ODAG); Hunt, Jody (OAG); Tucker, Rachael (OAG)  
**Subject:** RE: New Language

Thanks, Tash (b) (5)  
(b) (5)

---

**From:** Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 25, 2017 1:39 PM  
**To:** Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC) <(b)(6) per OLC>  
**Cc:** Crowell, James (ODAG) <jcrowell@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Hunt, Jody (OAG) <johunt@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Tucker, Rachael (OAG) <ratucker@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: New Language

Thanks, Curtis. I went back to FBI and NSD with the suggested language below.

FBI agrees it is accurate.

NSD agrees the language is accurate, but wanted to flag (b) (5)  
(b) (5)

Let me know if you need more on this. We can get folks on a call to discuss if helpful.

Thanks.

On Feb 24, 2017, at 6:30 PM, Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC) <(b)(6) per OLC> wrote:

Duplicative Material (Document ID: 0.7.1336.18671)

**Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)**

---

**From:** Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)  
**Sent:** Friday, February 17, 2017 11:25 PM  
**To:** Tucker, Rachael (OAG)  
**Cc:** Crowell, James (ODAG)  
**Subject:** RE: bad news

I confirmed with the FBI: the 300 were identified as individuals who entered the US as refugees. When and how the investigation started may vary within that group. The bucket is identified as who entered under that status and became, at some point, the subject of a CT investigation.

---

**From:** Tucker, Rachael (OAG)  
**Sent:** Friday, February 17, 2017 9:04 PM  
**To:** Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG) <[tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov)>  
**Cc:** Crowell, James (ODAG) <[jcrowell@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:jcrowell@jmd.usdoj.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: bad news

I guess it would just be helpful to understand why the 300 were an identifiable bucket in their data.

On Feb 17, 2017, at 8:54 PM, Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG) <[tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov)> wrote:

I just confirmed with the FBI that this would also require (b)(7)(E) per FBI  
(b)(7)(E) per FBI Not sure it gives us a lot if you consider the point of the sentence is individuals who came into the US as refugees who are subjects of CT investigations – regardless of it started after they got LPR status.

---

**From:** Tucker, Rachael (OAG)  
**Sent:** Friday, February 17, 2017 8:45 PM  
**To:** Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG) <[tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov)>  
**Cc:** Crowell, James (ODAG) <[jcrowell@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:jcrowell@jmd.usdoj.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: bad news

(b) (5)

On Feb 17, 2017, at 8:37 PM, Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG) <[tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov)> wrote:

(b) (5)

**From:** Tucker, Rachael (OAG)  
**Sent:** Friday, February 17, 2017 8:29 PM  
**To:** Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG) <[tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov)>  
**Cc:** Crowell, James (ODAG) <[jcrowell@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:jcrowell@jmd.usdoj.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: bad news

I spoke to Curtis and Noel and we agree this may not be worth it. Can you ask them to clarify whether the 300 refugee investigations involve people who currently have refugee status, i.e., came here recently?

On Feb 17, 2017, at 8:22 PM, Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG) <[tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov)> wrote:

I don't believe so. Also, it will likely take a lot of operational folks off-line. Also, to clarify -- the number below is just a guess and includes lots of different types of things -- not an actual number for obvious reasons.

---

**From:** Crowell, James (ODAG)  
**Sent:** Friday, February 17, 2017 8:04 PM  
**To:** Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG) <[tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov)>; Tucker, Rachael (OAG) <[ratucker@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:ratucker@jmd.usdoj.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: bad news

There's no way they can do that before Monday I would suspect?

---

**From:** Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)  
**Sent:** Friday, February 17, 2017 7:54 PM  
**To:** Tucker, Rachael (OAG) <[ratucker@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:ratucker@jmd.usdoj.gov)>; Crowell, James (ODAG) <[jcrowell@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:jcrowell@jmd.usdoj.gov)>  
**Subject:** bad news

I just talked to the FBI. (b)(7)(E) per FBI

(b)(7)(E) per FBI

(b)(7)(E) per FBI

That would

mean a manual review of all of their cases. This would be a very heavy lift and not sure how long it would take.

Wanted to check in with both of you about next steps considering the above.

**Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)**

---

**From:** Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 8, 2017 9:33 PM  
**To:** Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)  
**Cc:** Tucker, Rachael (OAG)  
**Subject:** FW: SAC Guidance on Immigration EO  
**Attachments:** TPs on FBI Role in Immigration Enforcement 3-8-17.docx

Hi Sarah. Please see the below and the attached. The FBI has added three new questions to this document and is asking for our review. Can you let me know if you have any comments/suggestions to their new questions?

Thanks,  
Tash

-----Original Message-----

**From:** (b)(6), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(E) per FBI  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 08, 2017 7:14 PM  
**To:** Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG) (JMD) <Tashina.Gauhar2@usdoj.gov>  
**Cc:** Rybicki, James E. (DO) (FBI) (b)(7)(E) per FBI  
**Subject:** RE: SAC Guidance on Immigration EO

Tash,

I apologize that we haven't closed this one out yet. (b)(5) per FBI  
(b)(5) per FBI Could  
you please let me know as soon as possible if Sarah Flores or anyone else has any concerns. I've highlighted the new info in yellow.

Thanks,

(b)(6), (b)(7)(C) per FBI

From: Tucker, Rachael (OAG)  
</o=exchangelabs/ou=exchange administrative group  
(fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=6c8209d65e5a4ade8d7dacd53f94  
503d-tucker, rac>  
To: Hunt, Jody (OAG)  
</o=exchangelabs/ou=exchange administrative group  
(fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=c3602843edf74831846b5c408191  
8e5f-hunt, jody\_>; Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)  
</o=exchangelabs/ou=exchange administrative group  
(fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=6fad6147c3e145be9193ffcad683  
27e2-gauhar, tas>; Raimondi, Marc (OPA)  
</o=exchangelabs/ou=exchange administrative group  
(fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=05e733def1d649e89f91c60f321d  
4c98-raimondi, m>  
Cc:  
Bcc:  
Subject: RE: Cite for this?  
Date: Tue Feb 28 2017 16:51:37 EST  
Attachments:

---

Great. Marc, can you give them Jody's contact information?

From: Hunt, Jody (OAG)  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2017 4:51 PM  
To: Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG) <tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov>; Raimondi, Marc (OPA) <mraimondi@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
Cc: Tucker, Rachael (OAG) <ratucker@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
Subject: Fwd: Cite for this?

WH can talk to me about this. They do not need to reach out separately to FBI.

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Tucker, Rachael (OAG)" <ratucker@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
Date: February 28, 2017 at 4:48:22 PM EST  
To: "Hunt, Jody (OAG)" <johunt@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
Subject: FW: Cite for this?

From: Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)  
Sent: Tuesday, February 28, 2017 4:38 PM  
To: Tucker, Rachael (OAG) <ratucker@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
Subject: Fwd: Cite for this?

---



Begin forwarded message:

From: "Raimondi, Marc (OPA)" <mraimondi@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
Date: February 28, 2017 at 4:35:26 PM EST  
To: "Gauhar, Tashina (ODAG)" <tagauhar@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
Subject: Fwd: Cite for this?

Tash, can you please call me on this. WH/NSC comms is reaching out to FBI and NCTC to validate their talkers which are based on this attached document on and they both called me (NCTC and FBI) and said they'd prefer to have DOJ respond to the WH. FBI mentioned that you were involved in the matter somehow.

Marc Raimondi

National Security Division

U.S. Department of Justice

O: 202-514-1153

M: (b) (6)

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Arangio, Jennifer EOP/NSC" <(b) (6)>  
Date: February 28, 2017 at 2:00:03 PM MST  
To: "Kelly, William R. EOP/NSC" <(b) (6)> "Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO" <Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov>, "marc.raimondi@usdoj.gov" <marc.raimondi@usdoj.gov>  
Cc: "Anton, Michael N. EOP/WHO" <Michael.N.Anton@who.eop.gov>  
Subject: RE: Cite for this?

All -

We've tracked down the "source" document for the tp that Michael S. circulated. It appears this unclass document prepared by FBI was the basis for the talking point. There is also an NCTC classified product on the same subject prepared for Tom Bossert last week as Marc noted. The unclass product is attached here.

I spoke with Eugene Barlow of NCTC communications who told me that since FBI is the originator of the material, we can use the information in the unclass product. Marc do you concur? Happy to discuss further. I'll be on a work matter out of the office this afternoon but can speak first thing tomorrow.

Best,  
Jennifer

Jennifer Arangio  
Senior Director  
Strategic Communications  
National Security Council - The White House  
(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Kelly, William R. EOP/NSC  
Sent: Monday, February 27, 2017 10:14 AM  
To: Arangio, Jennifer EOP/NSC <(b) (6)>  
Subject: FW: Cite for this?

FYSA

Very respectfully,  
William Kelly  
Director for Strategic Communications  
National Security Council  
(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Raimondi, Marc (OPA) [mailto:Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov]  
Sent: Monday, February 27, 2017 9:36 AM  
To: Kelly, William R. EOP/NSC <(b) (6)>  
Cc: Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO <Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov>; Anton, Michael N. EOP/WHO <Michael.N.Anton@who.eop.gov>; Carr, Peter (OPA) <Peter.Carr@usdoj.gov>; Coates, Victoria C. EOP/NSC <(b) (6)>  
Subject: Re: Cite for this?

It is entirely possible that Mr. Short is operating off of another document. This was the document I was able to find that was created for the HSA which addressed terrorism investigations into those with refugee status.

Marc Raimondi  
National Security Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
O: 202-514-1153  
M: (b) (6)

On Feb 27, 2017, at 9:30 AM, Kelly, William R. EOP/NSC (b) (6) > wrote:

That is who I am reaching out to now, so hopefully we will have the memo shortly.

Very respectfully,

William Kelly

Director for Strategic Communications

National Security Council

---

(b) (6)

-----Original Message-----

From: Raimondi, Marc (OPA) [mailto:Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov]

Sent: Monday, February 27, 2017 9:27 AM

To: Kelly, William R. EOP/NSC <(b) (6)>

Cc: Raimondi, Marc (OPA) <Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov>; Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO <Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov>; Anton, Michael N. EOP/WHO <Michael.N.Anton@who.eop.gov>; Carr, Peter (OPA) <Peter.Carr@usdoj.gov>; Coates, Victoria C. EOP/NSC <(b) (6)>

Subject: Re: Cite for this?

It was created for the HSA so they must have it.

Marc Raimondi

National Security Division

U.S. Department of Justice

O: 202-514-1153

M: (b) (6)

On Feb 27, 2017, at 9:11 AM, Kelly, William R. EOP/NSC (b) (6) wrote:

No luck with WHSR. Going to try to reach out to HS advisor CoS.

After that, I'm open to suggestions

Very respectfully,

William Kelly

Director for Strategic Communications

National Security Council

**(b) (6)**

-----Original Message-----

From: Kelly, William R. EOP/NSC

Sent: Monday, February 27, 2017 9:03 AM

To: 'Raimondi, Marc (OPA)' <Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov>; Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO <Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov>

Cc: Anton, Michael N. EOP/WHO <Michael.N.Anton@who.eop.gov>; Carr, Peter (OPA) <Peter.Carr@usdoj.gov>

Subject: RE: Cite for this?

Marc,

I am working to track down the document. I have the WHSR on it. You mentioned that the title of the document is not classified, only the content. Can you send me the title to help us track it down?

Very respectfully,

William Kelly

Director for Strategic Communications

National Security Council

**(b) (6)**

-----Original Message-----

From: Raimondi, Marc (OPA) [mailto:Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov]

Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 8:13 PM

To: Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO <Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov>

Cc: Kelly, William R. EOP/NSC <**(b) (6)**>; Raimondi, Marc (OPA) <Marc.

---

Raimondi@usdoj.gov>; Anton, Michael N. EOP/WHO <Michael.N.Anton@who.eop.gov>; Carr, Peter (OPA) <Peter.Carr@usdoj.gov>

Subject: Re: Cite for this?

Team, I am very sorry this is taking so long to get sorted out. I had no idea before I left for the day that my email didn't get to Will.

The title of the document isn't classified, only the content. Suggest you ask WHSR or the HS Advisor's chief of Staff for it. (b)(3), (b)(7)(E) per FBI [REDACTED]. There cannot be very many that fit that criteria and most likely only one.

Marc Raimondi  
National Security Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
O: 202-514-1153  
M: (b) (6)

On Feb 24, 2017, at 7:08 PM, Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO <Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov> wrote:

It would be ideal if I could get into these stories tonight.

-----Original Message-----

From: Kelly, William R. EOP/NSC (b) (6) [REDACTED]  
Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 7:04 PM  
To: Raimondi, Marc (OPA) <Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov>  
Cc: Anton, Michael N. EOP/WHO <Michael.N.Anton@who.eop.gov>; Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO <Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov>; Carr, Peter (OPA) <Peter.Carr@usdoj.gov>  
Subject: Re: Cite for this?

Team,

---

What is the timeline on this? Is this something Marc and I can sort out first thing Monday AM?

Very respectfully,

William Kelly

Director for Strategic Communications

National Security Council

(O) (b) (6)

On Feb 24, 2017, at 6:59 PM, Raimondi, Marc (OPA) <Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov> wrote:

I am out of town without access to my classified systems. If you send the TS email I sent you to the WH Sitroom they should be able to find it for you.

Marc Raimondi

National Security Division

U.S. Department of Justice

O: 202-514-1153

M: (b) (6)

On Feb 24, 2017, at 6:47 PM, Kelly, William R. EOP/NSC (b) (6) wrote:

It never got to me and I didn't have Marc's email to follow up until now. Marc can you resend to Michael directly?

Very respectfully,

William Kelly

Director for Strategic Communications

---

National Security Council

(O) (b) (6)

On Feb 24, 2017, at 6:26 PM, Anton, Michael N. EOP/WHO <Michael.N.Anton@who.eop.gov> wrote:

I was not. Will, are you sure you forwarded to me> I didn't find it on my high side

-----Original Message-----

From: Raimondi, Marc (OPA) [mailto:Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov]

Sent: Friday, February 24, 2017 6:25 PM

To: Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO <Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov>

Cc: Raimondi, Marc (OPA) <Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov>; Anton, Michael N. EOP/WHO <Michael.N.Anton@who.eop.gov>; Carr, Peter (OPA) <Peter.Carr@usdoj.gov>

Subject: Re: Cite for this?

I am. It familiar with the leaked DHS report. Defer to MA on if he was able to review the classified report.

Marc Raimondi

National Security Division

U.S. Department of Justice

O: 202-514-1153

M: (b) (6)

On Feb 24, 2017, at 6:16 PM, Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO <Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov <mailto:Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov> > wrote:

---

(b)(5) per NSC

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 24, 2017, at 1:30 PM, Raimondi, Marc (OPA) <Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov <mailto:Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov> > wrote:

Michael, I reviewed the classified report that I believe your passage below is derived from. I have relayed the name of that classified report to NSC's Will Kelly on the high-side and asked that he coordinate with Michael Anton and you on the matter so the report can be reviewed in its entirety. While it was not evident to me that the passage below reflects the overall findings of the report I reviewed, I defer to Michael and Will to advise you on if that can be used as the cite you are looking for. It is also entirely possible that there are other reports I am unaware of that could also be pertinent to this request.

Respectfully,

Marc Raimondi

National Security Spokesman

U.S. Department of Justice

Office: 202-514-1153

---



Cell: (b) (6)

Unclass: Marc.raimondi@usdoj.gov <mailto:Marc.raimondi@usdoj.gov>

Secret: (b) (6)

Top Secret: (b) (6)

From: Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO [mailto:Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov]

Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 8:20 PM

To: Raimondi, Marc (OPA) <Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov <mailto:Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov> >

Cc: Carr, Peter (OPA) <Peter.Carr@usdoj.gov <mailto:Peter.Carr@usdoj.gov> >

Subject: RE: Cite for this?

Got it thanks. Sooner the better but doesn't have to be tonight.

From: Raimondi, Marc (OPA) [mailto:Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov]

Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 8:18 PM

To: Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO <Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov <mailto:Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov> >

Cc: Raimondi, Marc (OPA) <Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov <mailto:Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov> >; Carr, Peter (OPA) <Peter.Carr@usdoj.gov <mailto:Peter.Carr@usdoj.gov> >

---

Subject: Re: Cite for this?

Your passage doesn't have classification issues. I'm trying to find the root document so we can get the whole picture so we can figure out the data behind the numbers.

Marc Raimondi

National Security Division

U.S. Department of Justice

O: 202-514-1153

M: (b) (6)

On Feb 23, 2017, at 8:14 PM, Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO <Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov <mailto:Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov> > wrote:

No rush. I was told it was in an unclass report.

From: Raimondi, Marc (OPA) [mailto:Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov]

Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 7:38 PM

To: Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO <Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov <mailto:Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov> >

Cc: Carr, Peter (OPA) <Peter.Carr@usdoj.gov <mailto:Peter.Carr@usdoj.gov> >

---

Subject: Re: Cite for this?

Michael, did some digging on this.

Some of this data derives from classified sources and I'm not sure where the classification starts and ends so I will look into it further with our team in a SCIF in the morning and try to come back with a more complete picture tomorrow. What time do you need this back by?

Marc Raimondi

National Security Division

U.S. Department of Justice

O: 202-514-1153

M: (b) (6)

From: Short, Michael C. EOP/WHO [mailto:Michael.C.Short@who.eop.gov]

Sent: Thursday, February 23, 2017 4:44 PM

---

To: Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov <mailto:Marc.Raimondi@usdoj.gov>

Subject: Cite for this?

Hey there, was hoping you had a cite over there for this:

There are roughly 300 active terrorism cases involving refugees, (b)(3), (b)(7)(E) per FBI



Michael C. Short

The White House

Senior Assistant Press Secretary

(c) (b) (6)

(t) @MCShort45

**Hunt, Jody (OAG)**

---

**From:** Hunt, Jody (OAG)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2017 11:14 PM  
**To:** Hamilton, Gene; Katsas, Gregory G. EOP/WHO  
**Cc:** Cutrona, Danielle (OAG); Tucker, Rachael (OAG); Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC)  
**Subject:** RE: DRAFT letter  
**Attachments:** Letter.to.President.DRAFT.0228.rev2.docx  
**Importance:** High

Gene,

We have made a few very minor tweaks to the DRAFT letter (attached) in a few places.

Do you anticipate having any changes? And how would you like this to be handled as a practical matter given that we do not have a letterhead with two seals and given that we will want the Attorney General and Secretary to sign one original?

Thanks. Please advise.

Jody

---

**From:** Hunt, Jody (OAG)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 28, 2017 3:24 PM  
**To:** 'Hamilton, Gene' (b)(6) per DHS [REDACTED] Katsas, Gregory G. EOP/WHO  
<Gregory.G.Katsas@who.eop.gov>  
**Cc:** Cutrona, Danielle (OAG) <dcutrona@imd.usdoj.gov>; Tucker, Rachael (OAG) <ratucker@jmd.usdoj.gov>;  
Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC) (b)(6) per OLC [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** DRAFT letter  
**Importance:** High

I have attached here a DRAFT letter, which is still under review here and which has not been circulated elsewhere . . .

**Hunt, Jody (OAG)**

---

**From:** Hunt, Jody (OAG)  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2017 12:27 PM  
**To:** Tucker, Rachael (OAG)  
**Subject:** RE: Revised draft of EO -- call at 11:30 am

Thanks Rachael. I did not speak directly to Mary about it. But I think I had seen a version using the approx. 300 formulation and I think that seems fine. I believe that is the way FBI preferred and was comfortable with for purposes of public consumption. Unless NSD has some concern, I would think it should be fine.

---

**From:** Tucker, Rachael (OAG)  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2017 11:41 AM  
**To:** Hunt, Jody (OAG) <johunt@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Revised draft of EO -- call at 11:30 am

Hey Jody- the new EO states explicitly that approx 300 refugees are subject to CT investigations. I think that's probably fine but I know that earlier in the week were talking about saying "more than two hundred" or something along those lines. I didn't speak to Mary about it but I think you did. Just wanted to flag in case this approach needs to be checked with NSD or FBI.

I plan to be on the noon call.

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC)" (b)(6) per OLC  
**Date:** February 20, 2017 at 11:03:34 AM EST  
**To:** "Tucker, Rachael (OAG)" <ratucker@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Revised draft of EO -- call at 11:30 am

Rachael,

WHCO is scheduling a call for 11:30 with DOJ, DHS, State, and DPC to discuss (b) (5)  
(b) (5) Jody is on his way to another meeting and suggested that you may want to participate for OAG (b) (5) I'll circulate call-in information when I get it.

Thanks,

Curtis

(b)(5) per OLC

■ (b)(5) per OLC

Hunt, Jody (OAG)

---

**From:** Hunt, Jody (OAG)  
**Sent:** Saturday, March 4, 2017 6:27 PM  
**To:** Gannon, Curtis E. (OLC); Francisco, Noel (OSG)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Updated draft of AG's remarks on revised executive order  
**Attachments:** 20170306 Remarks for Attorney General Sessions on Revised Executive Order.docx; ATT00001.htm

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)" <[siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov)>  
**Date:** March 4, 2017 at 5:16:51 PM EST  
**To:** "Hunt, Jody (OAG)" <[johunt@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:johunt@jmd.usdoj.gov)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Updated draft of AG's remarks on revised executive order

I assume you are with him tonight? The White House would like finalized remarks by tomorrow at 3pm. Attached is the latest draft based on his input and details of the event for Monday (ie he's introducing Kelly).

Perhaps for the flight back tomorrow you can have him look at these?

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Bockhorn, Lee F. (OPA)" <[lfbockhorn@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:lfbockhorn@jmd.usdoj.gov)>  
**Date:** March 3, 2017 at 4:30:36 PM EST  
**To:** "Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)" <[siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov)>, "Carr, Peter (OPA)" <[pcarr@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:pcarr@jmd.usdoj.gov)>, "Navas, Nicole (OPA)" <[nnavas@jmd.usdoj.gov](mailto:nnavas@jmd.usdoj.gov)>  
**Subject:** Updated draft of AG's remarks on revised executive order

All,

I took my previous rough draft for this event and tightened it up, incorporating Nicole's helpful comments. Revised draft for Monday is attached. This comes in a little short of three minutes, but could easily be shortened depending on overlap w/the other speakers. I've also highlighted the bit about (b) (5)  
(b) (5)

Please let me know if I should also update the bullet points I had drafted to help with media prep.

Thanks,  
Lee

Lee Bockhorn



Office of Public Affairs  
U.S. Department of Justice  
**(b) (6)** (direct)  
(cell)  
[Lee.F.Bockhorn@usdoj.gov](mailto:Lee.F.Bockhorn@usdoj.gov)

**Tucker, Rachael (OAG)**

---

**From:** Tucker, Rachael (OAG)  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 5, 2017 6:23 PM  
**To:** Curtis E. Gannon (OLC) (b)(6) per OLC Noel Francisco (OSG)  
(nfrancisco@jmd.usdoj.gov)  
**Subject:** FW: latest EO talking points and Q&A for tomorrow  
**Attachments:** 20170306 Remarks for Attorney General Sessions on Revised Executive Order (002)rev.docx  
**Importance:** High

I'm seeing that this still has the (b) (5) language in it. I'm not sure who needs to run this down. Do you know who is responsible for drafting the line in the first instance?

---

**From:** Hunt, Jody (OAG)  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 5, 2017 6:07 PM  
**To:** Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
**Cc:** Tucker, Rachael (OAG) <ratucker@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: latest EO talking points and Q&A for tomorrow  
**Importance:** High

Here (attached) are the DRAFT remarks for the AG.

Copying Rachael in case any further issues related to this need to be addressed.

---

**From:** Hunt, Jody (OAG)  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 5, 2017 6:02 PM  
**To:** Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA) <siflores@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: latest EO talking points and Q&A for tomorrow

If you are talking about the DRAFT remarks for the AG, I have it and am about to send it to you with his edits.

I have not seen since middle of last week any talking points and so I have not discussed any TPs with him. I understand there are now going to be no questions. If you need to discuss talking points with him, you will need to find him or do it with him somehow tomorrow.

---

**From:** Flores, Sarah Isgur (OPA)  
**Sent:** Sunday, March 5, 2017 5:50 PM  
**To:** Hunt, Jody (OAG) <johunt@jmd.usdoj.gov>  
**Subject:** latest EO talking points and Q&A for tomorrow

Want to see if there are any updates to the drafts I've been working off before tomorrow's call. (WH comms has asked that I send them anything we have as well—which I assume WH Counsel's office has, but not sure about that either)

\*\*\*

Sarah Isgur Flores  
Director of Public Affairs

(b) (6)