ENTERED

NOV 1 9 1982

CLERK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLERK, U.S. CISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

NOV 1 8 1982

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT-OF CALIFORNIA DEPUTY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

BY

Civil Action No. 69-75-JWC

MOTOR VEHICLE MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION OF THE UNITED STATES, INC.; GENERAL MOTORS CORPORATION; FORD MOTOR COMPANY; CHRYSLER CORPORATION; and AMERICAN MOTORS CORPORATION,

Defendants.

MODIFIED FINAL JUDGMENT

Plaintiff and defendants having jointly filed a motion for a modification of the Final Judgment entered herein on October 29, 1969; the parties having consented to the entry of this Modified Final Judgment before any testimony has been taken and without trial or adjudication of or finding on any issue of fact or law herein and without this Modified Final Judgment constituting evidence or an admission by any of them in respect to any such issue; and the Court having considered the matter and having been duly advised, it is hereby

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

ORDERED that the decretal sections of and appendices to the Final Judgment of October 29, 1969, be and hereby are modified to read in full as follows:

I.

This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter herein and of the parties hereto. The complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted against the defendants under Section 1 of the Act of Congress of July 2, 1890, entitled "An act to protect trade and commerce against unlawful restraints and monopolies," commonly known as the Sherman Antitrust Act, as amended.

II.

As used in this Modified Final Judgment, "Devices" means air pollution emission control designs, devices, equipment, methods, or parts thereof, for motor vehicles.

III.

The provisions of this Modified Final Judgment shall be binding upon each defendant and upon each of its subsidiaries, officers, directors, agents, servants, employees, successors and assigns, and upon all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them who shall have received actual notice of this Modified Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise, but shall not apply to any transaction between or among a parent company, its subsidiaries, officers, directors, agents, servants and/or employees. Nothing in this Modified Final Judgment shall have any effect with respect to any activities

outside the United States which do not adversely and substantially affect the foreign commerce of the United States.

IV.

Each defendant is enjoined and restrained from:

- (A) Combining or conspiring to prevent, restrain or limit the development, manufacture, installation, distribution or sale of Devices; or
- (B) Entering into, adhering to, enforcing or claiming any rights under any provisions of any agreement, arrangement, understanding, plan or program (hereinafter "agreement") with any other defendant or manufacturer of motor vehicles or Devices:
 - (1) to delay installation of Devices or otherwise restrain individual decisions as to installation dates; or
 - (2) not to file individual statements with any governmental regulatory agency in the United States authorized to issue emission standards or regulations for new motor vehicles or Federal motor vehicle safety standards or regulations;

provided, however, that it shall not be deemed a violation of this section for a defendant to enter into an otherwise lawful agreement for joint research and development of Devices or engage in any otherwise lawful conduct pursuant to such an agreement.

Ī

//

At the time any defendant enters into an agreement with any manufacturer of motor vehicles or Devices:

- (A) to cross-license patents or patent rights on Devices which cross-license includes patents or patent rights acquired subsequent to the date of any such cross-license;
- (B) to restrict publicity of research and development relating to Devices;
- (C) to employ joint assessment of the value of patents or patent rights of any third party relating to Devices; or
- (D) to require that acquisition of patent rights relating to Devices be conditioned upon availability of such rights to others upon a most-favored-purchaser basis,

such defendant shall file a copy of the agreement with the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division. Upon written request of the Antitrust Division, and subject to any legally recognized privilege, such defendant shall provide any relevant supporting material. The failure of plaintiff to take any action following receipt of an agreement or material pursuant to this section shall not operate as a bar to any action or proceeding, civil or criminal, in this or any case, that may be pending or later brought pursuant to any law of the United States.

-4-

Form OBD-183 12-8-76 DOJ

Nothing in this Modified Final Judgment shall require contemporaneous notification of or prohibit any defendant from:

- (A) entering into or performing under any otherwise lawful agreement with any other person or conducting <u>bona fide</u> negotiations looking to any such agreement:
 - (1) for the purchase or sale of specific commercial products;
 - (2) for the license of specific existing patent rights or from including in any such agreement provision for a nonexclusive grant or grant-back of patent rights on improvements obtained by the licensor or licensee during the term of the license or a reasonable period thereafter; or
 - (3) for the purchase, sale or license of specific engineering services relating to Devices or from including in any such agreement provision for a nonexclusive grant or grant-back of patent rights on improvements obtained by the licensor or licensee during the term of the license or a reasonable period thereafter;
- (B) entering into, renewing or performing under any otherwise lawful agreement with any non-defendant person, firm or corporation (1) which does not account for more than 2 percent of world production of motor vehicle passenger car, truck and bus units in the cal-

endar year preceding the entering into or renewing such agreement, as determined by the most recent edition of Ward's Automotive Yearbook or any successor publication; or (2) in which it has a significant direct or indirect equity interest or which has any such interest in such defendant;

- (C) filing a joint statement, along with one or more other defendants, unaccompanied by its individual statement, with any government branch or agency in the United States regarding any aspect of any actual or proposed motor vehicle emission control or safety standard or regulation; or
- (D) entering into, renewing or performing under any agreement which is submitted in writing to the plaintiff and to which plaintiff consents in writing.

VII.

- (A) Upon written request therefor and subject to the conditions set forth herein:
 - (1) Each manufacturing defendant is ordered and directed to grant to any person, to the extent that it has power to do so, a non-exclusive, nontransferable and royalty-free license to make, have made, use, lease or sell Devices under any claim of any United States patent or any United States patent application owned or controlled by said defendant or under

which it has sublicensing rights, which patent was issued or application was filed prior to October 29, 1969, and licensed under the AMA cross-licensing agreement of July 1, 1955, as amended, provided that if the manufacturing defendant is obligated to pay royalties to another on the sales of the licensee the license under this paragraph may provide for the payment of those same royalties to the defendant; and

- (2) Each manufacturing defendant shall grant to any licensee under (1) above, to the extent that it has the power to do so, an immunity from suit under any foreign counterpart patent or patent application for any product manufactured in the United States under the license for sale abroad or for any product manufactured abroad and sold in the United States, provided that if the manufacturing defendant is obligated to pay royalties to another on the sales of the licensee the license may provide for the payment of those same royalties to the defendant.
- (B) Any existing licensee of any manufacturing defendant shall have the right to apply for and receive a license or licenses under this Modified Final Judgment in substitution for its existing license or licenses from any manufacturing defendant, insofar as future obligations and licenses are concerned. Any licensee shall

be free to contest the validity and scope of any licensed patent.

(C) Within sixty (60) days from the date of entry of this Modified Final Judgment, defendant Motor Vehicle Manufacturers Association is ordered and directed to donate to the United States Environmental Protection Agency copies of all technical reports in its possession or control prepared or exchanged by defendants pursuant to the AMA cross-licensing agreement within two years prior to October 29, 1969, which are identified in Appendix A.

VIII.

For the purpose of determining or securing compliance with this Modified Final Judgment, and subject to any legally recognized privilege, from time to time:

- (A) Duly authorized representatives of the Department of Justice shall, on written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, and on reasonable notice to any defendant made to its principal office, be permitted:
 - (1) Access, during the office hours of such defendant, who may have counsel present, to inspect and copy all books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda, and other records and documents in the possession or under the control of such defendant which relate to any matters

contained in this Modified Final Judgment;

- (2) Subject to the reasonable convenience of such defendant and without restraint or interference from it, to interview officers, employees and agents of such defendant, who may have counsel present, regarding any such matters;
- (B) A defendant, upon written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, shall submit such reports in writing, under oath if requested, with respect to any of the matters contained in this Modified Final Judgment as may from time to time be requested.

No information obtained by the means provided in this Modified Final Judgment shall be divulged by any representative of the Department of Justice to any person other than a duly authorized representative of the Executive Branch of the United States, except in the course of legal proceedings to which the United States is a party, or for the purpose of securing compliance with this Modified Final Judgment, or as otherwise required by law;

(C) If at the time information or documents are furnished by a defendant to plaintiff, such defendant represents and identifies in writing the material in any such information or documents to which a claim of protection may be asserted under Rule 26(c)(7) of

the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and said defendant marks each pertinent page of such material "Subject to claim of protection under Rule 26(c)(7) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure," then ten (10) days notice shall be given by plaintiff to defendant prior to divulging such material in any legal proceeding (other than a Grand Jury proceeding) to which the defendant is not a party.

TX.

Jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the purpose of enabling any of the parties to this Modified Final Judgment to apply to this Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or carrying out of this Modified Final Judgment, for the modification or vacating of any of the provisions hereof, for the enforcement of compliance herewith, and the punishment of any violation hereof.

х.

This Modified Final Judgment shall expire on the fifth anniversary of the date of its entry.

XI.

Entry of this Modified Final Judgment is in the public interest.

Dated: 1/2 / 1/2 -

SI

Jesse W. Curtis United States District Judge

-11-

APPENDIX A

-13-

1	4.	Exh	aust Emission Measurement Panel Interim Report
2		a)	Status Report on Assembly Line Testing by EEMP - August 5, 1968 - 2 pages
4		b)	Report on Measurement Procedure for Nitric Oxide for California - 1970 by EEMP - August 5, 1968 - 16 pages
5		,	
6		c)	Report on Exhaust Emission Reactivity Criterion from the Atmospheric Chemistry Panel and the EEMP -
7			July 30, 1968 - 6 pages
8 9		d)	Proposal - Exhaust Emission Correlation Program HEW - AMA Laboratories - prepared by EEMP Panel member - October 24, 1967 - 4 pages
10 11		e)	Fast Response Flame Ionization Instrument - letter prepared by Chrysler Corporation - dated June 19, 1968 - 2 pages
12 13		f)	Bay Toll Crossing Letter - answer sent to Mr. E. R. Foley by Mr. Sherman - August 21, 1968 with attachments - 7 pages
14	5.	Fuel	l System Emission Panel Interim Report
15 16		a)	Fuel System Emission Panel report on Proposed Test Procedure for the Determination of Liquid Fuel Losses from Vehicle Fuel Tanks - September 27, 1968 8 pages
17 18 19	,	b)	Fuel System Emission Panel report on Proposed Program for Circulation and Cross-Check of 1970 Evaporative Cars - September 27, 1968 - 2 pages
20	6.	Heav	y Vehicle Panel Interim Report
21		a)	Recommended Application Procedure for Certification of New Gasoline Engines for Use in Heavy Duty Vehicles
22			1970 Model Year - prepared by the National Air Pollution Control Administration - dated September 23,
23			1968 - 19 pages
24	7.	Ad H	Hoc Traffic Survey Panel Interim Report
25	8.	Vehi	cle Emission Surveillance Panel Interim Report
26			APPENDIX A
27			-15-

1 2			Subcommittee Reports to The Vehicle Combustion Products Committee December 10, 1968
3	1.	Atm	ospheric Chemistry Panel Interim Report
4	2.	Eng	ine & Vehicle Modification Panel Interim Report
5		a)	Driveability Demonstration - prepared by the Driveability Subpanel of EVMP - November 4, 1968 -
6			14 pages
7		b)	Summary - 1960 Emiggion Control Sustant no procented
8		, כנ	Summary - 1969 Emission Control Systems as presented by the companies to the Engine and Vehicle Modification Panel - undated - 12 pages
9		c)	Comments to ESC by the EVMP on the Feasibility of
10		٥,	a Two Minute Emission Inspection System - October 14, 1968 - 3 pages
11		d)	AMA Recommendations in AMA Inspection Handbook,
12		u)	Section IX, Vehicle Control Systems - 5 pages - dated September 10, 1968
13 14		e)	Report of visit to New Jersey Inspection Station November 21, 1968 - by the EVMP - 7 pages
15 16		f)	Comments to ESC from EVMP on California Proposals for Emission Control Standards on 1970 and Later Model Vehicles - October 21, 1968 - 5 pages
17	3.	Exh	aust Emission Measurement Panel Interim Report
18		a)	EEMP comments and Recommendations to AMA ESC on California AB 357 Requirements for Assembly Line
19			Testing for Vehicle Emissions - December 2, 1968 - 10 pages
20		b)	Letter from EEMP of September 6, 1968 to Mr. K. D.
21		D)	Mills at Willow Run and Mr. Mills answer of October 14, 1968 re AMA Exhaust Emission Measurement
22			Correlation Program
23 24		c)	Fuel System Emission Panel and Heavy Vehicle Panel report to the Emission Standards Committee on Measuring Evaporative Losses - undated - 4 pages
	, ,		and the pages
25	//		
26	//		
27			APPENDIX A

-16-

2		of New Gasoline Engines for Use in Heavy Duty Vehicles - 1970 Model Year - prepared by National Air Pollution Control Administration - September 23, 1968 - 19 pages				
4	4.	Fuel System Emission Panel Interim Report				
5	5.	Heavy Vehicle Panel Interim Report				
6	6.	Ad Hoc Traffic Survey Panel Interim Report				
7 8		Subcommittee Reports to The Vehicle Combustion Products Committee March 27, 1969				
9	1.	Engine & Vehicle Modification Panel Interim Report				
10	2.	Exhaust Emission Measurement Panel Interim Report				
11 12		a) Report from Exhaust Emission Measurement Panel on California ARB proposed Assembly Line Test Procedure for Motor Vehicle Exhaust - January 28,				
13		1969 - 6 pages				
14		b) Effect of Engine Intake Air Moisture on Nitrogen Oxides Emissions - prepared by Ethyl Corporation, March 14, 1969 - 23 pages				
15 16	,	c) Humidity Correction K Factor - prepared by Nissan Motor Company - undated - 16 pages				
17		d) Mass Emission Test Procedures - undated - 4 pages				
18 19		e) Effect of Fuel Composition (% Aromatics) on Exhaust Hydrocarbon Concentration - Based Upon DuPont data and a Report by GM dated January 22, 1969 - 5 pages				
20 21 ·		f) Report on Measurement Procedure for Nitric Oxide for California - 1970 - prepared by EEMP - August 5, 1968 - 16 pages				
22 23		g) Critique - California AB 690 Test Method for Measuring Vehicle Exhaust Emissions on a Mass Basis - undated - 4 pages				
24	3.	Fuel System Emission Panel Interim Report				
25	4.	Health Committee Interim Report				
26		APPENDIX A				
27 .		-17-				

Heavy Vehicle Panel Interim Report

28

1

5.

1 2		c)	IH Spark Advance Monitoring System - prepared by International Harvester Company - March 4, 1969 - 4 pages
3		d)	Performance of a Catalytic Converter on Non-leaded
4			Fuel prepared by General Motors Corporation and published in SAE - undated - 13 pages
5		e)	Comments on Performance of a Catalytic Converter
6			on Non-leaded Fuel - prepared by Ford Motor Co presented before the SAE mid Year Meeting May 22, 1969 18 pages
7		f)	Panel Charge - prepared by J. P. Charles - dated
8			May 27, 1969 - 1 page
9 10		g)	Engine Tune-up Data for 1970 Year Model Toyota Vehicles - prepared by Toyota Motor Company dated June 10, 1969 - 2 pages
11		h)	Engine Idle Setting Procedure - prepared by KAISER Jeep CORPORATION - undated - 1 page
12		i)	Committee Correspondence re New Jersey Vehicle
13			Emission Inspection - dated April 7th, May 8th, June 9th, 1969 describing telephone conversation
14			with Mr. Elston
15		j)	Inspection Handbook Distribution - dated June 4, 1969
16		k)	Quality Car Care Schedule - prepared by Toyota Motor Company - undated - 3 pages
17		1)	Layman's Nomenclature - undated - 2 pages
18	6.	Fue	l System Emission Panel Interim Report
19		a)	Laboratory Cross-Check Program - prepared by
20			Fuel System Emission Panel - May 5, 1969 - 11 pages
21		b)	Fuel Tank Heating Methods - prepared by
22		D,	Fuel System Emission Panel - May 5, 1969 -
23			22 pages
24		c)	Emission Control Calculations on Total Motor Vehicle HC & CO Emissions - dated June 17, 1969 - 3 pages
25	//		
26	//		
27			APPENDIX A
28			-19-

-20-

1		B)	Proposed AMA Engine Idle Setting Procedures - EVMPTG June 27, 1967
2		C)	Reply to New Jersey Regarding State Vehicle Inspection
3			by VCP - November 10, 1967
4		D)	Reply to Air Pollution Control Administration - December 22, 1966
5		E)	Bibliography of Papers on Emission Control Devices
6.			Submitted to EVMP by Member Companies
7		196	8 Annual Report of Engine & Vehicle Modification Panel
8	1.		tus Report No. 6 of the Engine and Vehicle Modification el to the Vehicle Combustion Products Committee - 1968 -
9			pages
10	2.	App	endices
11		A)	Control of Oxides of Nitrogen - Chrysler Study
12			Curves, data, and Sketches illustrating Chrysler Studies in NOx control.
13		в)	Exhaust System Devices for Emission Control - International Harvester Company
14		C)	Vehicle Inspection Procedures
15		D)	1969 Emission Control Systems
16		E)	Mass Flow
17		F)	Idle Setting Procedures - A detailed description
18			of the shop manual procedures for each manufacturer
19		G)	Manifold Reactors - Preliminary Test Results with Non-Flame After Burner Exhaust Manifold, F4-134 cu. in.
20			Engine
21		н)	Air Injection Modifications - Toyota Motor Co., Ltd. Nippon Denso Co., Ltd.
22		т \	Steam-Powered Road Vehicles - Present Status
23		I)	
24		J)	Valve Timing Proposal
25		K)	Driveability - Driveability Demonstration
26		L)	Comments on California 1970 Proposals
27			APPENDIX A

-21-

Engine & Vehicle Modification Panel Reports

2		Da. 1			Number
3		Date of Presentation	Subject	Prepared by	of Pages
4 5	1.	10/17/67	Combustion Chamber Quench Changes, 1968 Models	Chrysler Corporation	1
6	2.	10/17/67	1968 Ford Emissions Systems	Ford Motor Company	1
7 8	3.	10/17/67	Idle Adjustments	Chrysler Corp.	. 1
9	4.	10/17/67	Idle Mixture, Effect of Miles on Idle Speed, & Timing Changes	General Motors Corporation	3
11	5.	10/17/67	Emission Control by Engine Design and Development	American Motors Corporation	17
13	6.	11/15/67	New Jersey Emissions Inspection Program	EVMP	10
14 15 16 17	7.	11/17/67	Engine Idle Setting Procedures	American Motors Chrysler Corpor Ford Motor Comp General Motors International Harvester Cor KAISER Jeep COR	cation cany Corp.
18 19	8.	12/5/67	Changes in Idle Speed Re. Mixture	Ford Motor Comp	oany 2
20	9.	12/5/67	Emission Control Labels	KAISER Jeep COR	RP. 1
21	10.	12/5/67	AMA-HEW Exhaust Flow Equations	EVMP	5
22 23	11.	1/2/68	Idle Service Instructions - CAS	Chrysler Corp.	1
24	12.	1/2/68	Exhaust Volume Measure- ments on Cycle Tests	Chrysler Corp.	. 1
25	//				
26	//				
27			APPENDIX A		

1 2	13.	12/5/67	Survey of Emission Control Devices in Use on 1968 Models	American Motors Chrysler Corp. Ford Motor Co. General Motors Corp.	17
3 4	14.	1/68	Exhaust Emission Control Devices	KAISER Jeep CORP.	2
5	15.	1/4/68	Idle Mixture, Speed & Spark Timing Adj.	General Motors Corp.	6
6 7	16.	1/2/68	Exhaust System Devices for Emission Control	International Harvester Co.	1
8	17.	1/2/68	Exhaust Manifold Reactors	International Harvester Co.	3
9	18.	1/2/68	Heavy Truck Emission Control Systems	International Harvester Co.	2
11	19.	12/15/67	Adjusting Idle Mixture	Ford Motor Co.	7
12	20.	2/13/68	Changes in Idle Tune During First 1,000 Miles 1968 G. M. Cars	General Motors Corp.	1
14	21.	2/2/68	Decal Code	Chrysler Corp.	3
15	22.	2/9/68	Test Data on Catalytic System	KAISER Jeep CORP.	3
16 17	23.	2/13/68	Ceramic Exhaust Manifold Reactors	Ford Motor Co.	4
18	24.	2/13/68	Test Data Exhaust Emissions	KAISER Jeep CORP.	1 .
19 20	25.	3/21/68	Air Control Valve to Improve Running	Toyota Motor Co.	7
21			Stability After Starting on an Air	Nippon Denso Co. Ltd.	:
22		•	Injected Gasoline Engine		
23	26.	3/12/68	Engines for Toyota 1968 Models	Toyota Motor Company, Ltd.	1
24 25	27.	3/12/68	Engine Stalling on Deceleration	Nissan Motor Co., Ltd.	7
26			APPENDIX A		
27			-23-	• •	

	1		
1 2	28. 3/12/68	Surface to Volume Ratio 4 Cylinder Vehicle	KAISER Jeep CORP. 1
3 4	29. 4/4/68	Data on Idle Stability & Exhaust Gas Volume of Toyota Vehicles	Toyota Motor Co. 5 Ltd.
5		venicies	
6	30. 4/9/68	Mass Flow Data	Nissan Motor Co. 1 Ltd.
	31. 4/4/68	Idle Settings 4,000 Miles or Under	Chrysler Corp. 1
9 10 11	32. 5/8/68	Report on Present Status with Steam Powered Road Vehicles & Their Emission Character- istics	EVMP Attach. A5 Attach. B3 Attach. C2 Attach. D1
12 13 14	33. 5/8/68	Preliminary Test Results with Non- Flame After Burner Exhaust Manifold F-4 - 134 cu. in. Engine	KAISER Jeep CORP. 5
15 16	34. 5/8/68	Valve Timing Proposal Submitted to EVMP	KAISER Jeep CORP. 2 General Motors Corp. Ford Motor Co.
17 18	35. 6/11/68	1968 Engine Idle Setting Procedures	American Motors Corp. 7 Chrysler Corp.
19			Ford Motor Co. General Motors Corp.
20			International Harvester Co. KAISER Jeep CORP.
21			Nissan Motor Co.
2 2			Toyota Motor Co.
23	36. 6/11/68	Vehicle Inspection Procedure for	American Motors Corp. 5 Ford Motor Co.
24		Emission Control Systems & Devices,	General Motors Corp. International
25		Gasoline Powered Vehicles	Harvester Co. KAISER Jeep CORP. Toyota Motor Co., Ltd.
26	1100		Toyota Motor Co., Ltd.
27		APPENDIX A	
28		-24-	

1 2 3 4 5	37. 7/10/68	1968 Idle Setting Procedures Shop Manual Instructions	American Motors Corp. 35 Chrysler Corp. Ford Motor Co. General Motors Corp. International Harvester Co. KAISER Jeep CORP. Nissan Motor Co., Ltd. Toyota Motor Co., Ltd.
6	38. 8/6/68	Driveability Procedure	EVMP 8
7 8	39. 8/6/68	Valve Timing Proposal	EVMP 2
.9	40. 8/6/68	Catalytic Converter for Emission Control	Toyota Motor Co., 8
10 11	41. 8/5/68	Ceramic Exhaust Manifold Reactor	Ford Motor Co. 7
12	42. 8/6/68	Throttle Positioner	Toyota Motor Co., 20 Ltd.
13	43. 8/21/68	Valve Timing	EVMP 2
14 15 16 17 18	44. 9/10/68	Report on New Engine Idle Stability	Ford Motor Co. 25 American Motors Corp. General Motors Corp. Toyota Motor Co., Ltd. Chrysler Corp. International Harvester Co., Nissan Motor Co., Ltd.
19 20 21	45. 8/27/68	Emission Inspection Presentation to AAMVA on Vehicle Emission Inspection	ESC Chairman 18
22	46. 9/10/68	Mass Flow Data	Chrysler Corp. 12
23	47. 8/22/68	Rating Idle Quality	International 8 Harvester Co.
24	48. 8/16/68	Vehicle Evaluation Rating System	Ford Motor Co. 2
25 26	49. 8/9/68	Idle Quality Evaluations	American Motors Corp. 2
27		APPENDIX A	
28		-25-	

1,	· ·			1
1	50. 9/7/68	Engine Idle Stability Evaluation Procedure	Nissan Motor Corp.	2
3	51. 9/10/68	Exhaust Manifold Reactors	International Harvester Co.	4
4	52. 9/68	Exhaust Manifold Reactors	Nippon Denso Co., Ltd.	3
5 6	53. 10/8/68	1969 Emission Control Systems	Toyota Motor Co., Ltd.	2
7	54. 10/10/68	AMA Driveability Demonstration	EVMP	12
9	55. 10/7/68	1969 Emission Control Systems	American Motors Corp.	1
10 11	56. 10/8/68	1969 Chrysler Cleaner Air System Compared to the 1968 System	Chrysler Corp.	3
12	57. 10/8/68	1969 Emission Control . Systems	Ford Motor Co.	3
13	58. 11/1/68	Summary of 1969 G.M. Exhaust Emission Control Systems	General Motors Corp.	1
15 16	59. 11/12/68	1969 Emission Control Systems	International Harvester Co.	1
17	60. 1/69	Exhaust Emission Control Systems	KAISER Jeep CORP.	1
18 19	61. 12/17/68	Control Systems for 1970	American Motors Corp.	5
20 21	62. 12/17/68	Chrysler 1970 Emission Controls	Chrysler Corp.	5
22	63. 12/17/68	1970 Emission Control Systems	Ford Motor Co.	4
23 24	64. 12/17/68	Summary of Proposed 1970 Emission Control Systems	General Motors Corp.	7
25 26	65. 12/17/68	1970 Light Duty Vehicle Prototype Emission Control Systems	International Harvester Co.	11
27		APPENDIX A		
28		-26-		

2	66. 11/68	Projected Vehicle Emission Control System for Toyota 1970 Model Vehicles	Toyota Motor Co., Ltd.	27
3 4	67. 12/17/68	Control of Oxides of Nitrogen	Chrysler Corp.	9
5 6	68. 12/17/68	Inspection of Emission Control Systems	General Motors Corp.	3
7	69. 12/17/68	Supplementary Information on 1969 Emission Control Systems	International Harvester Co.	7
9	70. 1/7/69	Investigations of NOx Control Systems	Ford Motor Co.	35
11 12	71. 1/7/69	Oxides of Nitrogen from Smaller Gasoline Engine	Toyota Motor Co., Ltd.	63
13 14	72. 1/7/69	Summary of Proposed 1970 Emission Control Systems	KAISER Jeep CORP.	5
15 16	73. 12/68	The Effects of the Ignition System on Exhaust Emissions	Mitsubishi Electric Corp.	26
17 18	74. 3/24/69	Engine Idle Quality Test Procedure of Toyota	Toyota Motor Co., Ltd.	6
19 20	75. 3/27/69	Reduction of Nitrogen Oxides in Automobile Exhaust	Nippon Denso Co., Ltd.	11
21 22	76. 3/11/69	Description of Igni- tion Advance Monitoring Systems	International Harvester Co.	5
23	77. 6/10/69	Engine Idle Setting	KAISER Jeep CORP.	1
24 25	78. 5/13/69	Quality Car Care Schedule	Toyota Motor Co.	3
26				
27		APPENDIX A	•	
28	-	-27-		

1 2 3	79.	5/19/69	Performance of a Catalytic Converter on Non-leaded Fuel SAE Paper No. 690503 Procedure	General Motors Corp.	10
4 5 6	80.	5/22/69	Comments by J. H. Jones & E. E. Weaver - Car Systems Research on SAE Paper No. 690503	Ford Motor Co.	18
7		Exhaust Emission	n Measurement Panel Repor	ts	
8		Date of	Subject	Dranguag be-	Number of
9		Presentation	Subject	Prepared by	Pages
10	1.	9/8/67	A Proposal for an Interim Mass Exh. Emission Test Procedure	Ford	3
11 12	2.	9/8/67	A Proposal for 1970 Stds. Based on Mass Equivalents	General Motors	4
13 14	з.	9/7/67	Calculations of Exhaust Mass Emissions	General Motors	2
15 16	4.	9/8/67	Interim Mass Emission Test Procedure (9/21/67) EEMP to VCP	EEMP	8
17 18	5.	10/25/67	Proposal - Exhaust Emission Correlation Program for EEMP	Ford	4
19 20	6.	11/20/67	Curves and Tables Emissions vs. Vehicle Weight	Chrysler	1.7
21 22	7.	11/20/67	Interim Mass Standards for 1970	General Motors	4
23	8.	11/20/67	Assumptions for 1970 Certification Based on Mass	Ford	3
242526	9.	11/20/67	Curves - F3/Cycle vs. Engine Displacement - Inertia Weight	American Motors	3
<u>?</u> 7			APPENDIX A		
28			-28-		

2	10. 1/18/68	EEMP Notes to ESC on HEW Stds. published Jan. 4, 1968.	EEMP	18
3 4	11. 1/31/68	EEMP Proposed Revision of Cal. Specs. for Assy. Line Instrument	EEMP	14
5 6	12. 3/13/68	Computer Print-Out of Best Fit Equation for Cal. Gases	General Motors	4
7	13. 3/13/68	Propane Response	General Motors	1
8 9	14. 3/13/68	FIA of Propane Cal. Gases Using Olson Gravimetric Stds.	EEMP	1
10 11	15. 3/13/68	Daimler-Benz Response to HEW 1970 Stds. dated Jan. 4, 1968	Mercedes-Benz	11
12 13	16. 3/29/68	Consideration in Traffic Survey and Test Cycle Development	TSP	3
14 15	17. 4/17/68	Effect of Emission Control System on Reactivity	Ford	3
16 17	18. 4/17/68	Relative Efficiencies of Control Systems - Table	Chrysler	1
18 19	19. 5/9/68	Report on Variable Dilution Sampling - Clark	General Motors	8
20 21	20. 5/9/68	Report on NOx Measure- ment - Lang	General Motors	13
22	21. 5/9/68	Production Line Test - Instrument and Test Procedure	American Motors & Calif. ARB Staff	1.
23 24	22. 5/28/68	Table - Spread Between NDIR and FID Analyses	American Motors	2
25 26	23. 5/28/68	Comparison Between 7- mode and 10-mode cycle NDIR vs. FID	International Harvester	5
27		APPENDIX A		
28		-29-		

1 2	24.	5/28/68	Whittaker Method of Measuring NO - Strip Chart	Chrysler	2
3	25.	5/28/68	Proposed answer to Cal. Bay Toll Crossings Division	EEMP	7
5 6 7	26.	6/5/68	Strip Chart of NO Measurement Using Whittaker Method - Letter from Whittaker to W. Fagley, Jr.	Chrysler	4
8 _. 9	27.	7/23/68	European Consideration of Atmospheric Pollution Problems	AMA	3
10	28.	7/17/68	Comparison of Emission Reactivities - Table I	General Motors	1
11 12 13	29.	7/17/68	Number of Hydrocarbons Evaluated Under Con- trolled Conditions	General Motors	1
14	30.	7/17/68	Graphs plus Computer Summaries - HC Reactiv- ity vs. Conc. by C. G.	General Motors	.5
15 16	31.	7/17/68	Comparison - HC Conc. by C. G. vs. FID	General Motors	3
17 18	32.	7/17/68	Correlation - Reactiv- ity and Gas Chromotograph	Ford ly	1
19	33.	7/17/68	SAE Paper 680419 - FID Technique - HC in Diesel Exhaust		.5
21	34.	7/17/68	Correlation Between 7- mode and USPHS 10-mode cycles - Clark	General Motors	9
22 23	35.	7/17/68	Schematic Diagram - NO and O2 Inst. Console	General Motors	1
24 25	36.	7/17/68	Relative Sensitivity - FID Analyzer	Chrysler	2
26			APPENDIX A		
27			-30-	·	
28					

1 2	37.	7/30/68	Measurement Procedure - NO for Cal. 1970	EEMP	16
3	38.	7/30/68	Background Data for Calculating NOx for California	Chrysler	3
4 5	39.	7/30/68	Correlation Program - HEW-AMA Labs Westveer	EEMP	4
6	40.	7/30/68	Report on Reactivity to ESC from Joint ACP and EEMP	EEMP	6
8 9	41.	8/5/68	Report on Measurement Procedure for NO California - 1970	EEMP	15
10	42.	9/11/68	Continuous Trace - Rate of Exh. Flow, 10-mode cycle	Chrysler	3
12 13	43.	9/11/68	Mass Flow Data - Fagley (7- vs. 10-mode cycles)	Chrysler	14
14	44.	9/11/68	Letter - Jensen to Maga- Mass Emission Measure- ment Technique	AMA	
15 16	45.	9/11/68	Table - Fuel Measured Mass Compared w/Cal- culated Fed. Std. Mass	Chrysler	1
17 18 19	46.	9/11/68	Repeatability of NO Measurement Technique - 9 Vehicles	General Motors	6
20	47.	12/3/68	Comments to ESC on Cal. Law AB 357 - Assembly Line Test	ЕЕМР	11
22	48.	1/27/69	Report on NOx Humidity Factor - Maeda	Nissan	16
23 24	49.	1/27/69	EEMP to ESC Report on Cal. ARB Assembly Line Test	EEMP	6
25 26	50.	2/5/69	European Driving Cycle - Report by F. Louis	Renault	4
27			APPENDIX A		
- 1	ı				1

	11			
1 2	51. 2/18/69	Exhaust Flow Method of Mass Measurement - Fagley	Chrysler	19
3	52. 2/18/69	Mass Emission Program - Mick	General Motors	33
4 5	53. 3/4/69	Comments on Calif. Proposed Assembly Line Test Procedures	Toyota	5
6 7	54. 3/18/69	Effect of Moisture on NOx Emissions	Ethyl	24
8 9	55. 3/20/69	Effect of Fuel Composition on FID/NDIR Ration Campau		1
10 11	56. 3/26/69	Reduction of NOx - Manifold Reactor - Tanaka <u>et</u> <u>al</u>	Nippon Denso	12
12 13	57. 4/11/69	Exhaust Emission Measurement Correlation Program - Westveer	EEMP	4
14 15	58. 4/14/69	Supplement - Moisture on NOx - RK Factor Calculation	Ethyl	2
16	59. 4/21/69	Comments on Foreign Cycles - Lombardi	EEMP	3
17 18	60. 4/30/69	HC Measurement by FID - Improved Response - Teague	ACP/EEMP	3
19 20	61. 5/29/69	Diagrams of Sampling and Analytical Systems - Proposed	EEMP	4
21	62. 6/5/69	Effective and Economic Control of Auto Emis- sions - Sarto	EVMP	7
23 24	63. 7/1/69	Preliminary Evaluation of NOx Analyzer - Jackson	General Motors	3
25 26	64. 7/1/69	Determination of CO2 at Wahnsdorf, Germany - Published	Mercedes-Benz	2
?7	•	APPENDIX A		
28		-32-		

	\$1				1
1	65.	7/1/69	Comparison of 3 dynamo- meters in Germany	Mercedes-Benz	2
2	66.	7/1/69	Dynamometer Effects on Emissions Using CVS	Nissan	2
4	67.	7/23/69	Foreign Cycle Evalua- tion - Lombardi	EEMP	4
5		Heavy Vehicle P	anel Reports		
6			,		Number
7	<u>P</u> :	Date of resentation	Subject	Prepared by	of Pages
8 9	1.	3/7/69	Evaluative Control Pro- cedures for Heavy Trucks	HVP	4
10 11	2.	3/26/69	Reduction of Nitrogen Oxides in Automobile Exhaust	Nippon Denso	11
12	з.	5/22/69	Outline for Research	HVP	2
13			Program for Heavy Vehicle Emission Data		
14	-	Ad Hoc Traffic 9	Survey Panel Reports		
15		nd not muliit			N. mb o a
16	_	Date of			Number of
17	<u>P</u> 1	resentation	Subject	Prepared by	Pages
18	1.	5/24/67	Comparison of Chrysler 50,000 mile and AMA Durability Schedules	Chrysler Corp.	4
	2.	5/24/67	Comparison of Dura-	General Motors	1
20		(bility Schedules	Corp.	
21 22	3.	3/27/68	Consideration in Traffic Survey and Test Cycle Development	General Motors Corp., Chrysler Corp., Ford Motor	. 3
23				Company	
24	4.	9/30/68	Background of Vehicle Exhaust Gas Text Pro-	Toyota Motor Co. Ltd.	4
25			cedures in Japan		
26	5.	2/5/69	European Driving Cycle	Renault	4
27			APPENDIX A		
28			-33-		

orm CBD-18**3** 2-8-76 D**OJ**

Atmospheric Chemistry Panel Reports

2		Date of			Number of		
3	P	resentation	Subject	Prepared by	Pages		
4 5	1.	3/4/69	Nitrogen Oxides in the atmosphere	ACP	34		
6	2.	7/8/69	HEW meeting - Cincinnati reactivity criteria	ACP	6		
7		Vehicle Emissio	n Surveillance Panel Repo	rts	ļ		
8		Data in C			Number		
9	<u>P</u>	Date of resentation	Subject	Prepared by	of Pages		
10	1.	10/11/67	1967 Surveillance Programon High Mileage Exhaust	m Ford Motor Co.	23		
11			Emission Equipped Vehicle	es			
12 13	2.	3/27/69	Hot vs. Cold Start Surveillance Testing	VESP	9		
14	3.	6/9/69	Surveillance Data Summary Report to ESC	VESP	5		
15	Fuel Systems Emission Panel Reports						
16					Number		
17	P	Date of resentation	Subject	Prepared by	of Page s		
18		10/18/67	Letter from AMA to NAPC	AMA-VCP	10		
19			outlining available data on Evap Control Systems				
20	2.	10/25/67	Charcoal Canister	General Motors	9		
21			Evaporative Emissions Control System		•		
22	₿.	10/25/67	Crankcase Storage of	General Motors	10		
23		2012167	Evaporative Emissions	_ ,	10		
24	4.	12/1/67	Carbon Air Cleaner Evaporative Control	Ford	10		
25 26	5	12/1/67	Crankcase Storage System - Evaporative Control	Ford	15		
. 20			APPENDIX A				
28			-34-				

orm CBD-183 !-8-76 DOJ

1	6.	12/1/67	History of Evaporative Control Studies	Ford	13
3	7.	1/68	Chrysler Closed Vent System	Chrysler	8
4	8.	1/68	AMC Evaporative Systems	American Motors	6
5 6	9.	4/24/68	Results of Lab Cross Program	FSEP	5 charts
7	10.	6/14/68	Evaporative Loss Data	FSEP	7 charts
8	11.	7/68	Absorption of HC Vapor by Charcoal	Toyota	15
9 10 11	12.	8/23/68	Proposed Test Procedure for Determination of Liquid Fuel Losses from Vehicle Fuel Tank	FSEP	9
12	13.	9/27/68	Same		8
13	14.	10/15/68	Joint (FSEP & HVP)	FSEP	4
14			Report to ESC on Test Procedure for Heavy Truck Losses	c	
15 16	15.	3/18/69	Prelim. Progress Report of Shed Test Procedure	FSEP	3
17 18	16.	3/24/69	Fuel Temp vs. Vapor Temperature	KAISER Jeep	2
19	17.	3/24/69	Comparison of Evap Test Procedures	Ford	9
20 21	18.	3/24/69	GM Test on Effect of Heating Test on Evap Emission	General Motors	2
22	19.	3/25/69	Deterioration Factor of Evap Emission Data	Toyota	7
24	20.	4/8/69	Comparative Shed Tests		2 charts
25 26	21.	4/18/69	Report of Evap Testing	Ford, General Motors Chrysler, American Motors	5 7
27			APPENDIX A		.
28			-35 -		

1 2	22. 4/23/69	Effect of Heating Method of Fuel Tank on Evap Emission	Nissan .	4
3 4	23. 4/23/69	Heating Pad Installa- tion vs. Fuel Tank Emissions	KAISER Jeep	3
5 6	24. 4/28/69	Review of Shed Testing Data	Ford, American Motors, Chrysler	, 6
7	25. 5/5/69	Fuel Tank Heating Methods	FSEP	23
8	26. 5/6/69	Laboratory Cross Check Program	FSEP	11
10	27. 5/18/69	Shed Test on Control Equipped Cars	General Motors	2
11	28. 7/1/69	Comparison of Evap Test Sequences	FSEP	6
13	29. 7/18/69	Proposals for Engineer- ing Acceptance of Evap Control Systems	FSEP	3
15		-	_	

APPENDIX A

-36-

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	CASE NUMBER
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
PLAINTIFF(S)	CV 69 75 JWC
ve.	
VS	
MOTOR VEHICLE MANUFACTURERS ASSOCIATION OF TH UNITED STATES, INC., ET AL	NOTICE OF ENTRY
DEFFNDANT(S)	

TO THE ABOVE NAMED PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEY(S) OF RECORD:

	You	are	hereby	notified	that			MODIF:	ED FINAL	JUDGI	MENT		_
						• •						-	
the do	ocket o	n	11/1	9/82		- ¹ n	tne	above .	entitled	case	was	enterea	111

You are also notified that if this case was tried and you introduced exhibits into evidence, they must be claimed at this office <u>after</u> the expiration of thirty days from the receipt of this notice. (After <u>sixty</u> days in cases in which the United States, its officers or agencies were parties) Unless they are claimed within thirty days after the expiration of the above period, they will be destroyed pursuant to Local Rule 20(a). If an appeal is taken they will, of course, be held until the Appellate Court finally determines the matter. Exhibits which are attached to a pleading will not be destroyed but will remain as a permanent record in the case file.

(over)

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Susan Durbin Deputy Attorney General 3580 Wilshire Blvd. Los Angeles, CA 90010

Marcus Matson Lawler Felix & Hall 700 S. Flower Street Los Angeles, CA 90017

Lloyd Cutler Wilmer Cutler & Pickering 1666 "K" Street N.W. Washington, DC 20006

Bernard Hollander Assistant U.S. Attorney Department of Justice - Room 3706 Antitrust Division Washington, DC 20530 Harold Kwalwasser Tuttle & Taylor 609 S. Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90017

James MacNee III
Associate General Counsel
Emrissions, Safety & Patents
Ford Motor Co.
The American Road
Dearborn, Michigan 48121

William Crabtree Motor Vehicle Manufacturers Assoc. of the United States, Inc. 300 New Center Building Detroit, Michigan 48202

David Vladeck
Public Citizen Litigation Group
Washington DC 20036 Suite 700
EDWARD M. KRITZMAN, CLERK

MOTICE

IN ACTIONS ARISING UNDER THE ECONOMIC STABILIZATION ACT, THE EMERGENCY PETROLEUM ALLOCATION ACT, AND THE ENERGY POLICY AND CONSERVATION ACT, NOTICES OF APPEAL TAKEN FROM THIS JUDGMENT MUST BE FILED IN THE TEMPORARY EMERGENCY COURT OF APPEALS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THAT COURT.