

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION

United States Courts  
Southern District of Texas  
FILED

September 30, 2016

David J. Bradley, Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

DARWIN ENRIQUE PADRON-  
ACOSTA

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CRIMINAL NO. **16-437**

UNDER SEAL

INFORMATION

THE UNITED STATES CHARGES:

Introduction

At all times material to this information:

1. Petroleos de Venezuela S.A. (“PDVSA”) was the Venezuelan state-owned and state-controlled oil company. PDVSA and its subsidiaries were responsible for the exploration, production, refining, transportation, and trade in energy resources in Venezuela and provided funding for other operations of the Venezuelan government. Bariven S.A. (“Bariven”) was the PDVSA procurement subsidiary responsible for equipment purchases. PDVSA and its wholly owned subsidiaries, including Bariven, were “instrumentalities” of the Venezuelan government as that term is used in the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (“FCPA”), Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2(h)(2)(A). PDVSA officers and employees were “foreign officials” as that term is used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2(h)(2)(A).

2. At all relevant times, Defendant **DARWIN ENRIQUE PADRON ACOSTA** (“Defendant **PADRON**”) was a U.S. lawful permanent resident and a resident of Florida, and controlled, together with others, a number of closely held companies, including several U.S. companies, that **PADRON** used to secure contracts with PDVSA. **PADRON** was thus a “domestic concern” and an officer, director, employee, agent, and shareholder of a “domestic concern” as those terms are used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2(h)(1).

2. At all relevant times, Jose Luis RAMOS CASTILLO (“RAMOS”), a resident of the Southern District of Texas, was employed by PDVSA or a wholly owned subsidiary thereof, including as a purchasing manager and superintendent of purchasing at Bariven. RAMOS’s job responsibilities included selecting companies for bidding panels, which allowed those companies to submit bids on individual PDVSA projects and the ability to select winning companies for the economic portion of the bid process. RAMOS, who has been charged separately, was a “foreign official” as that term is used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2(h)(2)(A).

3. “Official F,” an individual whose identity is known to the United States, was at all relevant times employed by PDVSA or a wholly owned subsidiary thereof, including as a senior executive for Bariven. Official F’s job responsibilities included managing the purchasing and procurement process for

Bariven. Official F was a “foreign official” as that term is used in the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2(h)(2)(A).

**COUNT ONE**  
**(18 U.S.C. § 371 – Conspiracy)**

4. Beginning in at least 2009 and continuing through at least 2014, the defendant,

**DARWIN ENRIQUE PADRON ACOSTA,**

did willfully, that is, with the intent to further the objects of the conspiracy, and knowingly conspire, confederate, and agree with persons known and unknown, to commit offenses against the United States, that is:

- (a) being a domestic concern, and an officer, director, employee, agent, and shareholder of a domestic concern, to willfully make use of the mails and means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce corruptly in furtherance of an offer, payment, promise to pay, and authorization of the payment of any money, offer, gift, promise to give, and authorization of the giving of anything of value to a foreign official and to a person, while knowing that all or a portion of such money and thing of value would be and had been offered, given, and promised to a foreign official, for purposes of: (i) influencing acts and decisions of such foreign official in his official capacity; (ii) inducing such foreign official to do and omit to

do acts in violation of the lawful duty of such official; (iii) securing an improper advantage; and (iv) inducing such foreign official to use his influence with a foreign government and agencies and instrumentalities thereof to affect and influence acts and decisions of such government and agencies and instrumentalities, in order to assist Defendant **PADRON**'s companies in obtaining and retaining business for and with, and directing business to, Defendant **PADRON**'s companies, in violation of the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2(a).

(b) knowing that the property involved in the financial transaction represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, to conduct financial transactions affecting interstate and foreign commerce, which financial transactions involved the proceeds of specified unlawful activity, namely, bribery of a foreign official, a felony violation of the FCPA, Title 15, United States Code, Section 78dd-2, knowing that the transactions were designed in whole and in part to conceal and disguise the nature, the location, the source, the ownership, and the control of the proceeds of said specified unlawful activity, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).



**Purpose of the Conspiracy**

5. The purpose of the conspiracy was for Defendant **PADRON** and his co-conspirators to make corrupt bribe payments to PDVSA officials, including, but not limited to, RAMOS and Official F, to enrich Defendant **PADRON** by obtaining and retaining lucrative energy contracts with PDVSA through corrupt and fraudulent means, including by paying bribes to PDVSA officials, and to engage in financial transactions to conceal and disguise the bribe payments.

**Manner and Means of the Conspiracy**

6. The manner and means by which Defendant **PADRON** and his co-conspirators sought to accomplish the purpose of the conspiracy included, among other things, the following, while in the Southern District of Texas and elsewhere:

7. Defendant **PADRON** paid bribes to PDVSA officials through the use of interstate and foreign wires in order to influence acts and decisions of certain PDVSA officials in their official capacities and to induce the PDVSA officials to do and omit to do certain acts, including, but not limited to:

- a. assisting **PADRON**'s companies in winning PDVSA contracts;
- b. providing **PADRON** with inside information concerning the PDVSA bidding process;
- c. placing one or more of **PADRON**'s companies on certain bidding panels for PDVSA projects;

- d. preventing interference with the selection of **PADRON's** companies for PDVSA contracts;
- e. assisting **PADRON's** companies in receiving payment for previously awarded PDVSA contracts, including by requesting payment priority for projects involving **PADRON's** companies.

8. Defendant **PADRON**, together with others, caused bribe payments to be wired from the bank accounts of **PADRON's** companies and intermediaries to the bank accounts of PDVSA officials, their relatives, or other individuals or entities designated by the PDVSA officials who received the bribes.

9. Defendant **PADRON** provided to PDVSA officials things of value in addition to the monetary bribes, including gifts, meals, and recreational travel, in order to obtain and retain business on behalf of Defendant **PADRON's** companies.

10. Defendant **PADRON**, together with others, including RAMOS, attempted to conceal, and did in fact conceal, the nature, source, and ownership of the bribes, which they referred to as "commissions," by creating false justifications for the bribes, including invoices for services that were never performed.

11. Defendant **PADRON** had certain of the bribes paid into a U.S. bank account in the name of a business RAMOS owned with a relative in order to conceal the nature, source, and ownership of the bribe proceeds.

12. Defendant **PADRON** had bribes paid into U.S. bank accounts held in the names of relatives of Official F in order to conceal the nature, source, and ownership of the bribe proceeds.

13. Defendant **PADRON** paid bribes to other PDVSA officials through a U.S. bank account in the name of a relative of Defendant **PADRON**, or through the account in the name of a business RAMOS owned with a relative, in order to conceal the nature, source, and ownership of the bribe proceeds.

#### Overt Acts

14. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to achieve the objects thereof, at least one of the co-conspirators committed or caused to be committed, in the Southern District of Texas and elsewhere, at least one of the following overt acts, among others:

15. On or about February 1, 2012, Defendant **PADRON** signed a check in the amount of \$117,000 from a bank account in the name of a company owned by Defendant **PADRON** to a relative of Official F in exchange for Official F's assistance in awarding PDVSA contracts to companies owned by Defendant **PADRON** and giving payment priority to Defendant **PADRON**'s companies so that they would get paid ahead of other PDVSA vendors with outstanding invoices. The memo line of the check stated "payment," followed by Official F's initials.

16. On or about May 22, 2012, Defendant **PADRON** caused \$682,000 to be transferred from a bank account in the name of a company owned by Defendant **PADRON** to a bank account in the name of a company that RAMOS owned with a relative in exchange for RAMOS's assistance in awarding PDVSA contracts to companies owned by Defendant **PADRON**.

17. On or about June 15, 2012, Defendant **PADRON** caused \$320,000 to be transferred from a bank account in the name of a company owned by Defendant **PADRON** to a bank account in the name of a company that RAMOS owned with a relative in exchange for RAMOS's assistance in awarding PDVSA contracts to companies owned by Defendant **PADRON**.

18. On or about August 20, 2012, Defendant **PADRON** caused \$50,000 to be wired from a bank account in the name of a relative of Defendant **PADRON** to a bank account in the name of a relative of Official F in exchange for Official F's assistance in awarding PDVSA contracts to companies owned by Defendant **PADRON** and giving payment priority to Defendant **PADRON**'s companies so that they would get paid ahead of other PDVSA vendors with outstanding invoices.

19. On or about August 28, 2013, RAMOS transferred \$394,250.74 in bribe proceeds RAMOS received from Defendant **PADRON** from a bank account held in the name of a company that he owned with a relative to purchase real property in the Southern District of Texas.



All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371.

**NOTICE OF CRIMINAL FORFEITURE**  
(28 U.S.C. § 2461(c); 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C))

20. Pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C), the United States gives notice to **DARWIN ENRIQUE PADRON ACOSTA** that in the event of his conviction of the offense charged in Count 1 of the Information, the United States intends to seek forfeiture of all property, real or personal, which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to such offenses.

**NOTICE OF CRIMINAL FORFEITURE**  
(18 U.S.C. § 982(a)(1))

21. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(a)(1), the United States gives notice to **DARWIN ENRIQUE PADRON ACOSTA** that in the event of his conviction of the offense charged in Count 1 of the Information, the United States intends to seek forfeiture of all property, real or personal, involved in money laundering offenses or traceable to such property.

**Money Judgment**

Defendant is notified that upon conviction, a money judgment may be imposed equal to the total value of all property subject to forfeiture.

**Substitute Assets**


22. Defendant is notified that in the event that property subject to forfeiture, as a result of any act or omission of defendant or his co-conspirators,


- a. Cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
- b. Has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person;
- c. Has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
- d. Has been substantially diminished in value; or
- e. Has been commingled with other property which cannot be subdivided without difficulty;

the United States will seek to forfeit any other property of the defendant up to the total value of the property subject to forfeiture pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by reference in Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c) and Title 18, United States Code, Section 982(b)(1).

KENNETH MAGIDSON  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

ANDREW WEISSMANN  
CHIEF, FRAUD SECTION  
CRIMINAL DIVISION  
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

BY:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
JOHN P. PEARSON  
DEPUTY CHIEF  
ROBERT S. JOHNSON  
ASSISTANT UNITED STATES  
ATTORNEY

BY:  for:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
AISLING O'SHEA  
DENNIS KIHM  
JEREMY R. SANDERS  
TRIAL ATTORNEYS