	Case 2:19-mc-00111 Document 1-2 Filed	d 06/10/19 Page 1 of 3 Page ID #:15	
1	KATRINA ROUSE (CABN 270415)		
2	katrina.rouse@usdoj.gov ALBERT B. SAMBAT (CABN 236472)		
3	albert.sambat@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States		
4	Attorneys for the United States Antitrust Division		
5	U.S. Department of Justice 450 Golden Gate Avenue		
6	Box 36046, Room 10-0101		
7	San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (415) 934-5300		
8	Facsimile: (415) 934-5399		
9	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
10	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
11			
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Misc. No. 2:19-MC-00111-VAP	
13	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF ALBERT B.	
14	v.	SAMBAT IN SUPPORT OF UNITED	
15	KAISER STEEL CORP., et al.,	STATES' MOTION TO TERMINATE LEGACY ANTITRUST	
16	Defendants.	JUDGMENT	
17			
18	I, Albert B. Sambat, do hereby declar	e and state as follows:	
19		in the Central District of California. Since	
20	2004, I have been employed as a Trial Attor		
21 22	States Department of Justice.	ney by the Antitudst Division of the Office	
22	-	n support of the United States' Motion to	
24	Terminate Legacy Antitrust Judgment in the		
25		ion are based on the knowledge acquired by	
26			
27	research conducted by other attorneys and s		
28	///		
-			

4. In early 2018, the Department of Justice ("the Department") implemented a program to review and, when appropriate, seek termination of older antitrust judgments in which parties were subjected to some type of affirmative obligation or express prohibition that did not have an expiration date. These perpetual judgments were standard practice until 1979, when the Antitrust Division adopted the practice of including a term limit of ten years in nearly all of its antitrust judgments.

5. On April 25, 2018, the Antitrust Division issued a press release announcing its efforts to review and terminate legacy antitrust judgments and noting that it would begin its efforts by proposing to terminate judgments entered by the federal district courts in Washington, D.C., and Alexandria, Virginia. *See* Press Release, Department of Justice, Department of Justice Announces Initiative to Terminate "Legacy" Antitrust Judgments, (April 25, 2018), https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/department-justice-announces-initiative-terminate-legacy-antitrust-judgments.

6. The procedure for reviewing and seeking to terminate such perpetual judgments was as follows:

- The Antitrust Division reviewed its perpetual judgments entered by this Court and other federal district courts to identify those judgments that no longer serve to protect competition such that termination would be appropriate.
- When the Antitrust Division identified a judgment it believed suitable for termination, it posted the name of the case and a link to the judgment on its public Judgment Termination Initiative website,

https://www.justice.gov/atr/JudgmentTermination.

• On March 22, 2019, the Antitrust Division listed the judgment in the abovecaptioned case on its public website, describing its intent to move to terminate the judgment. The notice identified each case, linked to the judgment, and invited public comment. *See* https://www.justice.gov/atr/judgment-termination-initiativecalifornia-central-district.

||///

• The public had the opportunity to submit comments regarding each proposed termination to the Antitrust Division within thirty days of the date the case name and judgment link was posted to the public website. For the judgment at issue in this motion, the deadline for such comments was April 19, 2019.

7. The Antitrust Division did not receive any public comments relating to the case at issue in this motion.

8. The judgment in *Kaiser Steel Corp., et al.*, was entered in 1967. Based on online research conducted by myself and my colleagues of California Secretary of State Business registration records, Kaiser Steel Corporation is no longer in an active status.

9. A copy of the underlying judgment at issue in this motion is submitted to the Court as Appendix A to the Motion and Memorandum in Support. The judgment submitted is identical to what was made available on the Antitrust Division's Judgment Termination Initiative public website for the Central District of California. *See* https://www.justice.gov/atr/judgment-termination-initiative-california-central-district.

Having reviewed this Declaration, I declare, under penalty of perjury and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Respectfully submitted,

DATED: 6/10/2019

/s/

ALBERT B. SAMBAT Trial Attorney San Francisco Office Antitrust Division United States Department of Justice