

**Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary**  
**Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)**

**Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)**

1. Date of Submission: February 8, 2012
2. Agency: Department of Justice
3. Bureau: Bureau of Prisons (BOP)
4. Name of this Capital Asset: USP Yazoo City, MS
5. Justification for Investment

U.S. Penitentiary (USP) Yazoo City is designed as a 960 bed high security prison with a 256 bed work camp (minimum security). The project was awarded as a design/build project using a negotiated procurement which allows contractors input on all aspects of the project. The USP Yazoo City project received partial funding in FY 2006, FY 2007, FY 2008 and remaining funds in FY 2009. Procurement of the design-build contract was initiated early in FY 2009. Presolicitation notices were published in January 2009. Solicitation for Phase 1 of the procurement process was issued on February 8, 2009. The design-build contract was awarded September 4, 2009. Notice to proceed with major construction was granted June 25, 2010. Design phases are complete. Proceeding with duct work for electrical, plumbing, and HVAC Systems. Construction is approximately 69% complete. Completion is scheduled for January 2013.

This investment will provide a Federal correctional facility which directly supports the BOP's mission to protect society by confining offenders in the controlled environments of prisons and community-based facilities that are safe, humane, cost-efficient, and appropriately secure, and that provide work and other self-improvement opportunities to assist offenders in becoming law-abiding citizens. It supports the Department of Justice Strategic Goal 3: Ensure and Support the Fair, Impartial, Efficient, and Transparent Administration of Justice at the Federal, State, Local, Tribal and International Levels.

6. Accountability

Enter the names and contact information for the following officials.

a. Business Sponsor

The Business Sponsor is the individual with the authority to allocate resources and make Personnel decisions.

- |      |                         |   |
|------|-------------------------|---|
| i.   | Name                    | <u>W. Scott Higgins</u>                   |
| ii.  | Title                   | <u>Chief of Design &amp; Construction</u> |
| iii. | Telephone               | *   |
| iv.  | Email                   | *   |
| b.   | Program/Project Manager | -   |

- |      |                |                         |
|------|----------------|-------------------------|
| i.   | Name           | <u>W. Scott Higgins</u> |
| ii.  | Telephone      | *                       |
| iii. | E-mail         | *                       |
| iv.  | Qualifications | -                       |

The Project Manager has over 40 years in the design and construction of correctional facilities. As Chief of Design and Construction, Federal Bureau of Prisons, he is responsible for the largest

federal prison building program in history. He is a licensed architect and is currently applying for the FAC-P/PM. Certification of his qualifications is estimated to be received by March 2012 at the Expert/Advanced level.

7. Summary of Funding

<b>Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)</b>									
(Estimates for BY +1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	<b>PY-1 and Earlier</b>	<b>PY 2011</b>	<b>CY 2012</b>	<b>BY 2013</b>	<b>BY+1 2014</b>	<b>BY+2 2015</b>	<b>BY+3 2016</b>	<b>BY+4 And Beyond</b>	<b>Total</b>
Planning:	.6								.6
Acquisition:	186.8	3.1	12.45	2.8					205.15
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition	187.4	3.1	12.45	2.8					205.75
Operations & Maintenance:									
Residual Value/Disposal Cost:									
<b>Total Costs</b>	<b>187.4</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>12.45</b>	<b>2.8</b>					<b>205.75</b>

8. Acquisition Plan

- a. Has an Acquisition Plan been developed? Yes
- b. If an Acquisition Plan has been developed, answer the following questions.
  - i. Does the Acquisition Plan reflect the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1? Yes
  - ii. Was the Acquisition Plan approved in accordance with agency requirements? Yes
  - iii. If the Plan was approved, enter the date of approval. November 2005
  - iv. Is the Acquisition Plan consistent with agency Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan? Yes
  - v. Does the Acquisition Plan meet the requirement of EO 13423? Yes
  - vi. Does the Acquisition Plan meet the requirement of EO 13514? Yes
- c. If an Acquisition Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation. N/A
- d. Enter all (including non-Federal) current and planned contracts and task orders in Table 2. Completed contracts and task orders do not need to be listed. Total Value should include option years. If a contract has not been awarded, estimates of dates, dollar values and any other information should be provided. Data definitions can be found at [www.usaspending.gov/learn?tab=FAQ#2](http://www.usaspending.gov/learn?tab=FAQ#2).
- e. Do all Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID) and Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) PIID entries match [www.USAspending.gov](http://www.USAspending.gov)? Yes
- f. Do all Solicitation IDs match Fed BizOpps at [www.fbo.gov](http://www.fbo.gov)? Yes

- g. If Earned Value Management is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders, provide a brief explanation.

Earned Value Management is considered a major system acquisition and is not utilized in BOP construction contracts.

<b>Table 2: Contracts Table</b>				
<b>Field</b>	<b>Data Description</b>	<b>Contract 1</b>	<b>Contract 2(modification of contract 1)</b>	<b>Contract X</b>
<b>Contract Status</b>	Awarded		Awarded	
<b>Contracting Agency ID</b>	15-8-1003		1540	
<b>Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)</b>			DJBP0700CC00000011	
<b>Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID</b>	Delivery Order	DJBCCSGA510005		
<b>Solicitation ID</b>			RFPX000576	
<b>Alternative Financing</b>	N		N	
<b>EVM Required</b>	N		N	
<b>Ultimate Contract Value</b>				
<b>Type of Contract/Task Order(Pricing)</b>	Design Build		Firm-Fixed Price \$181,072,286.00	
<b>Is the contract a Performance Based Service Acquisition (PBSA)?</b>	N		N	
<b>Effective date</b>	9/4/2009		9/4/2009	
<b>Actual or expected End Date of Contract/Task Order</b>	FY 2013		FY 2013	
<b>Extent Competed</b>	Full & Open Competition		Full & Open Competition	

#### 9. Alternatives Analysis

An Alternatives Analysis must evaluate the costs and the benefits of at least three alternatives and the status quo. The details of the analysis must be available to OMB upon request.

- a. Was an Alternatives Analysis conducted? Yes
- b. If an Alternatives Analysis was conducted answer the following questions.
- i. What is the date of the analysis? May 2004
- ii. How many alternatives were evaluated? 4
- iii. Did the analysis evaluate the costs and the benefits of each alternative? Yes

- iv. Briefly summarize the rationale for selected alternative.

Constructing a new facility was the alternative determined to provide the greatest benefit to taxpayers and ultimately be more cost effective than the other alternatives.

- c. If an Alternatives Analysis was not conducted, provide a brief explanation. N/A

10. Risk Management

Risk must be actively managed throughout the lifecycle of the investment. The Risk Management Plan must be available to OMB upon request.

- a. Has a Risk Management Plan been developed? Yes

- b. If a Risk Management Plan has been developed, answer the following questions.

- i. What is the date of the plan? April 2011

- ii. Does the plan include a list of risks? Yes

- iii. Does the plan include the probability of occurrence for each risk? Yes

- iv. Does the plan include the impact of each risk? Yes

- v. Does the plan include a mitigation strategy for each risk? Yes

- vi. Does the plan include activity managing risk throughout the lifecycle? Yes

- c. If a Risk Plan has not been developed, provide a brief explanation. N/A

11. Performance Information

The investment must support the agency’s strategic goals. The performance goals must be clearly measurable and quantifiable.

Table 3: Performance Information					
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2010	3.3	Crowding by security level	42%	55%	53%
2011	3.3	Crowding by security level	53%	53%	55%
2012	3.3	Crowding by security level	55%	48%	N/A
2013	3.3	Crowding by security level	(Actual FY 2012 )	52%	N/A
2014	3.3	Crowding by security level	(Actual FY 2013)	47%	N/A

- b. Explanations:

The table represents inmate crowding by project’s security level, by Fiscal Year.