

2018 JUN 25 AM 11:56
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES

FILED

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LUCILLE LAM,

Defendant.

No. CR18-0397-DSF
I N F O R M A T I O N
[18 U.S.C. § 371: Conspiracy to
Pay and Receive Illegal
Remunerations for Health Care
Referrals]

The United States Attorney charges:
[18 U.S.C. § 371]

A. INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS

At all times relevant to this Information:

1. Bliss Health Care Inc., doing business as Bliss Hospice Care ("Bliss"), was a hospice located at 1755 South Grand Avenue, Glendora, California 91740, within the Central District of California.
2. Defendant LUCILLE LAM ("LAM") was an owner and operator of Bliss.
3. Co-conspirators Aniceto Baliton ("Baliton"), Nestor Domingo ("Nestor"), and Concepcion Domingo ("Concepcion")

1 (collectively, the "owner co-conspirators") were also owners and
2 operators of Bliss.

3 4. Co-conspirator Susan Nimo ("Nimo"), Marketer 1 ("M-
4 1"), and Marketer 2 ("M-2"), were "marketers" who recruited
5 beneficiaries for Bliss in exchange for illegal kickbacks.

6 The Medicare Program

7 5. Medicare was a federal health care benefit program,
8 affecting commerce, that provided benefits to individuals who
9 were 65 years and older or disabled. Medicare was administered
10 by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services ("CMS"), a
11 federal agency under the United States Department of Health and
12 Human Services. Medicare was a "Federal health care program"
13 within the meaning of that term as used in Title 42, United
14 States Code, Section 1320a-7b(b) (the "anti-kickback statute"),
15 and a "health care benefit program" as defined by Title 18,
16 United States Code, Section 24(b).

17 6. Individuals who qualified for Medicare benefits were
18 referred to as Medicare "beneficiaries." Each beneficiary was
19 given a unique health insurance claim number ("HICN").

20 7. Health care providers that provided medical services
21 that were reimbursed by Medicare were referred to as Medicare
22 "providers." To participate in Medicare, providers, including
23 hospices, were required to submit applications in which the
24 providers agreed to comply with all Medicare-related laws and
25 regulations, including the anti-kickback statute (42 U.S.C.
26 § 1320a-7b(b)), which proscribes the offering, payment,
27 solicitation, or receipt of any remuneration in exchange for a
28

1 patient referral or referral of other business for which payment
2 may be made by any federal health care program. If Medicare
3 approved a provider's application, Medicare assigned the
4 provider a Medicare "provider number," which was used for the
5 processing and payment of claims.

6 8. A health care provider with a Medicare provider number
7 could submit claims to Medicare to obtain reimbursement for
8 services rendered to Medicare beneficiaries.

9 9. Most providers submitted their claims electronically
10 pursuant to an agreement they executed with Medicare in which
11 the providers agreed that: (a) they were responsible for all
12 claims submitted to Medicare by themselves, their employees, and
13 their agents; (b) they would submit claims only on behalf of
14 those Medicare beneficiaries who had given their written
15 authorization to do so; and (c) they would submit claims that
16 were accurate, complete, and truthful.

17 10. Medicare coverage for hospice services was limited to
18 situations in which: (1) the beneficiary's attending physician
19 and the hospice medical director certified in writing that the
20 beneficiary was terminally ill and had six months or less to
21 live if the beneficiary's illness ran its normal course, and (2)
22 the beneficiary signed a statement choosing hospice care instead
23 of other Medicare benefits. Once a beneficiary chose hospice
24 care, Medicare would not cover treatment intended to cure the
25 beneficiary's terminal illness. The beneficiary had to sign and
26 date an election form documenting this choice. The election
27 form had to include an acknowledgement that the beneficiary had
28

1 been given a full understanding of hospice care, particularly
2 the palliative rather than curative nature of treatment, and an
3 acknowledgement that the beneficiary understood that certain
4 Medicare services were waived by the election.

5 11. CMS contracted with private insurance companies to
6 enroll, process, and pay Medicare claims. National Government
7 Services ("NGS") was the contractor that processed and paid
8 Medicare claims for home health services in Southern California
9 during the relevant time period.

10 12. To bill Medicare for hospice services, a provider was
11 required to submit a claim form (Form UB-04) to NGS. When a
12 Form UB-04 was submitted, usually in electronic form, the
13 provider was required to certify:

14 a. that the contents of the form were true, correct,
15 and complete;

16 b. that the form was prepared in compliance with the
17 laws and regulations governing Medicare; and

18 c. that the services being billed were medically
19 necessary.

20 13. A Medicare claim for payment was required to set
21 forth, among other things, the following: the beneficiary's name
22 and unique Medicare identification number; the type of services
23 provided to the beneficiary; the date that the services were
24 provided; and the name and National Provider Identifier ("NPI")
25 of the attending physician who established the plan of care.
26
27
28

1 B. OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY

2 14. Beginning no later than in or about June 2011, and
3 continuing through in or about July 2015, in Los Angeles County,
4 within the Central District of California, and elsewhere,
5 defendant LAM, together with Baliton, Nestor, Concepcion, Nimo,
6 and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney,
7 knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed to commit the
8 following offenses against the United States:

9 a. Knowingly and willfully soliciting and receiving
10 remuneration in return for referring an individual to a person
11 for the furnishing and arranging for the furnishing of any item
12 or service for which payment may be made in whole or in part
13 under a Federal health care program, in violation of Title 42,
14 United States Code, Section 1320a-7b(b) (1) (A); and

15 b. Knowingly and willfully offering to pay and
16 paying any remuneration to any person to induce such person to
17 refer an individual to a person for the furnishing and arranging
18 for the furnishing of any item or service for which payment may
19 be made in whole or in part under a Federal health care program,
20 in violation of Title 42, United States Code, Section 1320a-
21 7b(b) (2) (A).

22 C. THE MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

23 15. The objects of the conspiracy were carried out, and to
24 be carried out, in substance, as follows:

25 a. Defendant LAM and the owner co-conspirators
26 developed relationships with people known as "marketers." These
27 "marketers," including Nimo, M-1, and M-2, and others known and
28

1 unknown to the United States Attorney, traveled throughout
2 Southern California for Bliss to recruit Medicare beneficiaries
3 to receive hospice services, which services Bliss would then
4 bill to Medicare.

5 b. In exchange for Medicare referrals, defendant LAM
6 and the owner co-conspirators would pay referring marketers,
7 including Nimo, M-1, and M-2, cash or check kickbacks for each
8 Medicare beneficiary referred to Bliss.

9 c. For each Medicare beneficiary that marketers,
10 including Nimo, M-1 and M-2, referred to Bliss, defendant LAM
11 and the owner co-conspirators paid a cash or check kickback of
12 approximately \$500-1000.

13 d. Defendant LAM, along with the owner co-
14 conspirators, devised and agreed upon a scheme to generate cash
15 for illegal kickbacks by disguising such monies as payroll
16 expenses. Defendant LAM and the owner co-conspirators agreed to
17 artificially increase the salaries of defendant LAM and other
18 Bliss employees. After receiving their inflated paychecks,
19 defendant LAM and the other employees would pay back the extra
20 money in cash, and defendant LAM and the owner co-conspirators
21 would use that cash to pay kickbacks.

22 e. From in or about June 2011 to in or about July
23 2015, defendant LAM and the owner co-conspirators caused Bliss
24 to bill Medicare, and as a result caused Medicare to pay Bliss
25 at least approximately \$2,406,637 for services to patients
26 referred to Bliss as the result of kickback payments that
27
28

1 defendant LAM, together with Baliton, Nestor, and Concepcion,
2 made to Nimo, M-1, and M-2.

3 D. OVERT ACTS

4 16. On or about the following dates, in furtherance of the
5 conspiracy, and to accomplish its objects, defendant LAM,
6 together with co-conspirators Baliton, Nestor, Concepcion, Nimo,
7 and other co-conspirators known and unknown to the United States
8 Attorney, aiding and abetting one another, committed and
9 willfully caused others to commit the following overt acts,
10 among others, within the Central District of California and
11 elsewhere:

12 Overt Act No. 1: On or about July 3, 2013, defendant LAM
13 paid M-1 approximately \$4,500, drawn on check number 1461 from
14 defendant LAM's bank account at Bank of America, as a kickback
15 for the referral of Medicare beneficiaries.

16 Overt Act No. 2: On or about July 3, 2013, defendant caused
17 Bliss to submit claims to Medicare in the amounts of
18 approximately \$7,665.10 for hospice services purportedly
19 provided to Medicare beneficiary B.R., knowing that the referral
20 of B.R. was obtained from M-1 on the basis of an illegal
21 kickback that defendant paid to M-1.

22 Over Act No. 3: On or about June 12, 2014, defendant LAM
23 paid a Bliss employee approximately \$500, drawn on check number
24 121 from defendant LAM's bank account at Wells Fargo Bank and
25 written to cash, as a kickback for referral of Medicare
26 beneficiaries.

27

28

1 Overt Act No. 4: On or about August 20, 2014, defendant LAM
2 caused Bliss to pay an individual approximately \$3,948.82, drawn
3 on check number 2770 from Bliss's Bank of America bank, for the
4 purpose of having that individual return the money to Bliss so
5 that defendant and the owner co-conspirators could generate cash
6 to pay for illegal kickbacks.

7 Overt Act No. 5: On or about December 3, 2014, defendant
8 caused Bliss to submit claims to Medicare in the amounts of
9 approximately \$5,289.12 for hospice services purportedly
10 provided to Medicare beneficiary J.L., knowing that the referral
11 of J.L. was obtained from M-1 on the basis of an illegal
12 kickback that defendant paid to M-1.

13 Overt Act No. 6: On or about December 5, 2014, defendant
14 LAM caused an individual to deposit approximately \$2,100 in cash
15 to the bank account of M-1 at Wells Fargo Bank, as a kickback
16 for the referral of Medicare beneficiaries.

17
18
19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25

26

27

28

1 Over Act No. 7: On or about December 17, 2014, defendant
2 LAM wrote, and Nestor signed, a check drawn on Bliss's bank
3 account, in the amount of \$6,290 and payable to M-2. This check
4 was payment to M-2 for the referral of Medicare beneficiary J.H.
5 among others.

6
7 NICOLA T. HANNA
 United States Attorney

8
9 

10 LAWRENCE S. MIDDLETON
 Assistant United States Attorney
 Chief, Criminal Division

11
12 RANEE A. KATZENSTEIN
 Assistant United States Attorney
 Chief, Major Frauds Section

13
14 STEPHEN A. CAZARES
 Assistant United States Attorney
 Deputy Chief, Major Frauds Section

15
16 SANDRA MOSER
 Acting Chief, Fraud Section
 U.S. Department of Justice

17
18 JOSEPH BEEMSTERBOER
 Deputy Chief, Fraud Section
 U.S. Department of Justice

19
20 DIIDRI ROBINSON
 Assistant Chief, Fraud Section
 U.S. Department of Justice

21
22 CLAIRE YAN
 Trial Attorney, Fraud Section
 U.S. Department of Justice