Case 1:17-cr-00243-SHS	Document 252 Filed 08/23/18 Page 1 of ORIGINAL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW	
	DATE FILED: AUG 2 3 2018
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
- v	: SUPERSEDING INFORMATION :
RAYMOND QUILES,	: S6 17 Cr. 243 (SHS)
Defendant.	:
	:
	X

#### COUNT ONE

(Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud)

The United States Attorney charges:

1. From at least in or about October 2013 up to and including at least in or about March 2017, in the Southern District of New York and elsewhere, RAYMOND QUILES, the defendant, and others known and unknown, willfully and knowingly, did combine, conspire, confederate, and agree together and with each other to commit wire fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

2. It was a part and object of the conspiracy that RAYMOND QUILES, the defendant, and others known and unknown, willfully and knowingly, having devised and intending to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud and for obtaining money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, would and did transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, and television communication in interstate and

## Case 1:17-cr-00243-SHS Document 252 Filed 08/23/18 Page 2 of 4

foreign commerce, writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

(Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349.)

## FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

3. As the result of committing the offense alleged in Count One of this Superseding Information, RAYMOND QUILES, the defendant, shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), any and all property, real and personal, that constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the commission of said offense, including but not limited to a sum of money in United States currency representing the amount of proceeds traceable to the commission of said offense.

## SUBSTITUTE ASSET PROVISION

4. If any of the above-described forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant:

(a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;

(b) has been transferred or sold to, or depositedwith, a third person;

(c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;

(d) has been substantially diminished in value; or

(e) has been commingled with other property

which cannot be subdivided without difficulty;

it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States Code, Section 853(p) and Title 28 United States Code, Section 2461(c), to seek forfeiture of any other property of the defendant up to the value of the above forfeitable property.

> (Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981 and 492; Title 21, United States Code, Section 853; and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461.)

United Staves Attorney

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

- v. -

RAYMOND QUILES,

Defendant.

SUPERSEDING INFORMATION

S6 17 Cr. 243 (SHS)

(18 U.S.C. § 1349.)

GEOFFREY S. BERMAN

United States Attorney