

Falls Church, Virginia 22041

---

---

File: D2000-140

Date: APR 9 2001

In re: VALERIE L. YAEGER, ATTORNEY

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

FINAL ORDER OF DISCIPLINE

ON BEHALF OF GENERAL COUNSEL: Jennifer J. Barnes, Esquire

ON BEHALF OF SERVICE: Javier Balasquide, Appellate Counsel

ORDER:

PER CURIAM. On November 28, 2000, the respondent was suspended from the practice of law for 180 days, commencing December 20, 2000, by the State of Michigan Attorney Discipline Board.

Consequently, on February 23, 2001, the Office of General Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review initiated disciplinary proceedings against the respondent and petitioned for the respondent's immediate suspension from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals and the Immigration Courts. On March 1, 2001, the Immigration and Naturalization Service asked that the respondent be similarly suspended from practice before that agency. Therefore, on March 8, 2001, we suspended the respondent from practicing before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the Service pending final disposition of this proceeding.


The respondent was required to file a timely answer to the allegations contained in the Notice of Intent to Discipline but has failed to do so. See 8 C.F.R. § 3.105(c)(1). The respondent's failure to file a response within the time period prescribed in the Notice constitutes an admission of the allegations therein, and the respondent is now precluded from requesting a hearing on the matter. 8 C.F.R. § 3.105(d)(1), (2).

The Notice recommends that the respondent be suspended from practicing before the Board and the Immigration Courts, for a period of 180 days. The Service asks that we extend that discipline to practice before it as well. Because the respondent has failed to file an answer, the regulations direct us to adopt the recommendation contained in the Notice, unless there are considerations that compel us to digress from that recommendation. 8 C.F.R. § 3.105(d)(2). Since the recommendation is appropriate in light of the sanctions imposed by the State of Michigan Attorney Discipline Board, we will honor that recommendation.

Accordingly, we hereby suspend the respondent from practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the Service for a period of 180 days. As the respondent is currently under our March 8, 2001, order of suspension, we will deem the respondent's suspension to have commenced on that date. The respondent is instructed to maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior

order. The respondent is also instructed to notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against her.

Upon the completion of the respondent's period of suspension, the respondent may be reinstated to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and the Service, provided that she meets the definition of an attorney or representative set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 1.1(f) and (j). *See* 8 C.F.R. § 3.107(a). Accordingly, the respondent is instructed to notify the Board of her bar standing and her ability to practice law in the state of Michigan at the conclusion of her period of suspension.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
FOR THE BOARD