

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

U.S. Department of Justice
Executive Office for Immigration Review
Board of Immigration Appeals

MATTER OF:

Alejandro J. SOLORIO, D2025-0009

Respondent

FILED
APR 03 2025

ON BEHALF OF RESPONDENT: Pro se

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Amy S. Paulick, Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Brett D. Burton, Associate General Counsel

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS

Notice of Intent to Discipline from a Decision of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Before: Malphrus, Deputy Chief Appellate Immigration Judge; Creppy, Appellate Immigration Judge; Liebowitz, Appellate Immigration Judge

Opinion by Creppy, Appellate Immigration Judge

CREPPY, Appellate Immigration Judge

The respondent will be suspended from the practice of law before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Court, and the Department of Homeland Security (“DHS”) for 90 days, effective February 21, 2025.

On December 27, 2024, the Supreme Court of the State of Kansas issued an order suspending the respondent from the practice of law in Kansas for 90 days, effective immediately. On February 3, 2025, the Disciplinary Counsel for the Executive Office for Immigration Review and the Disciplinary Counsel for DHS jointly petitioned for the respondent’s immediate suspension from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS. We granted the petition on February 21, 2025.

On March 5, 2025, the respondent filed an answer to the Notice of Intent to Discipline, admitting the allegations 1 through 3 against him in the Notice of Intent to Discipline, but denying allegation 4, stating he sent notice of his suspension to the Assistant Chief Immigration Judge in Kansas City, Missouri. However, the respondent does not contend that he provided timely notice of his suspension to the Disciplinary Counsels for EOIR and DHS. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.103(c). The respondent also requests that his period of suspension run concurrent with his State of Kansas bar suspension and that we allow for “automatic reinstatement” in these proceedings (Respondent’s Answer) (unpaginated).

The respondent does not ask for a hearing before the Office of the Chief Immigration Judge, and we discern no basis for a hearing. 8 C.F.R. § 1003.106(a)(1). Specifically, the respondent has

admitted that he has been suspended in Kansas, and this fact is sufficient to establish that summary proceedings are appropriate. *See* 8 C.F.R § 1003.103(b)(2); *see also* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.102(e). We therefore will proceed in summary disciplinary proceedings.

The Notice of Intent to Discipline includes the same proposed discipline, namely a 90-day suspension from practicing before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS. The proposed sanction is appropriate in light of the respondent's suspension in Kansas. We therefore will honor the proposed discipline and will order the respondent suspended from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS for 90 days. As the respondent did not comply with the notice requirements of 8 C.F.R. § 1003.103(c), we will deem his suspension to have commenced on February 21, 2025, the date of our immediate suspension order. The respondent does not cite to any authority to seek "automatic reinstatement" to practice in these proceedings. The respondent may petition for reinstatement in accordance with 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107.

ORDER: The Board hereby suspends the respondent from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS for 90 days, effective February 21, 2025.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent must maintain compliance with the directives set forth in our prior order. The respondent must notify the Board of any further disciplinary action against him.

FURTHER ORDER: The contents of the order shall be made available to the public, including at the Immigration Courts and appropriate offices of DHS.

FURTHER ORDER: The respondent may petition this Board for reinstatement to practice before the Board, the Immigration Courts, and DHS under 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107.