

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

U.S. Department of Justice
Executive Office for Immigration Review
Board of Immigration Appeals

MATTER OF:

Ronald S. SALOMON, D2018-0261, D2024-0025

Respondent

FILED

FEB 03 2025

ON BEHALF OF EOIR: Paul A. Rodrigues, Disciplinary Counsel

ON BEHALF OF DHS: Amy S. Paulick, Disciplinary Counsel

IN PRACTITIONER DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS
On Motion Before the Board of Immigration Appeals

Before: Malphrus, Deputy Chief Appellate Immigration Judge; Clark, Appellate Immigration Judge; Liebowitz, Appellate Immigration Judge

Opinion by Liebowitz, Appellate Immigration Judge

LIEBOWITZ, Appellate Immigration Judge

In a decision dated January 12, 2024, we dismissed the respondent's appeal from an Adjudicating Official's decision and suspended the respondent from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security ("DHS") for 6 months, effective 15 days after the issuance of the order. On September 3, 2024, in a second disciplinary matter brought against the respondent, an Adjudicating Official issued an order approving the settlement agreement between the parties and suspended the respondent from practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS for an additional 6 months, effective July 27, 2024. The respondent now has filed a motion for reinstatement, which will be granted.¹

The respondent states that he has completed his periods of suspension and that he meets the requirements for reinstatement set forth in 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107(a). The Disciplinary Counsels for the Executive Office for Immigration Review and DHS do not dispute that the respondent meets the definition of attorney at 8 C.F.R. § 1001.1(f) and further note that they are not aware of any evidence indicating that he failed to comply with the terms of his suspensions. The Disciplinary Counsels do not oppose the respondent's motion for reinstatement. We therefore will grant the respondent's motion for reinstatement. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.107(a)(3).

¹ The heading of the respondent's motion only references D2018-0261, but the respondent references the settlement agreement in D2024-0025 in the body of his motion. We therefore construe the respondent's motion as a request for reinstatement after suspension in both matters.

ORDER: The respondent is reinstated to practice before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and DHS, as of the date of this order.

FURTHER ORDER: This reinstatement should be reflected in any public notices maintained and disseminated by the Executive Office for Immigration Review regarding attorney discipline.

FURTHER ORDER: If the respondent wishes to represent a party before DHS, the Immigration Courts, or the Board, he must file a Notice of Appearance (Form G-28, Form EOIR-26, or Form EOIR-27) even in cases in which he was counsel prior to his suspension.