-1-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

thereby permitting or allowing D.E. and M.E. to be left in a situation where they could suffer physical pain or mental suffering as a result of defendant's failures, a violation of NRS 200.5099(2) and 200.5092(4).

The facts which Affiant, upon personal knowledge and/or information and belief, verily believes to be true and which establishes probable cause are as follows:

- 4. The investigation began on April 20, 2005 after the MFCU arrived at 6215 East Owens Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada, a residential facility. Affiant was allowed entry to the facility by M.E. and noticed immediately that residents, M.E. and D.E., were left alone and unsupervised in contravention of NAC 449.199 and/or 499.15523.
- 5. In connection with the investigation, residents, care providers, regulatory employees and other professionals were interviewed. I have also reviewed documents and items of personal property, including documents that indicate the residents were over the age of 60.

NEGLECT OF AN OLDER PERSON NRS 200.5099(2), 200.5092

- I reviewed sections of the Nevada Administrative Code (NAC), including NAC 6. 449.157 which states:
 - "... "Administrator" defined, (NRS 449.037), "Administrator means a person: ... 3. Who is legally responsible for the care of the residents and the daily operations of the facility. ..."
- 7. I reviewed NAC 449.194 which states:
 - "Responsibilities of administrator. (NRS 449.037) The administrator of a residential facility shall: ...Designate one or more employees to be in charge of the facility during those times when the administrator is absent. Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph, employees designated to be in charge of the facility when the administrator is absent must have access to all areas of and records kept at the facility. information may be removed from the files to which the employees in charge of the facility have access if the confidential information is maintained by the administrator. The administrator or an employee who is designated to be in charge of the facility pursuant to this paragraph must be at the facility at all times. (emphasis added)..."

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- "...The administer of a residential facility shall ensure that a sufficient number of caregivers are present at the facility to conduct activities and provide care and protective supervision for the residents. There must be at least one caregiver on the premises of the facility if one or more residents are present at the facility..."
- 9. On April 20, 2005, I spoke with Theresa Pedrotti, Executive Secretary for the Board of Examiners for Long-Term Care Administrators (BELTCA). Pedrotti advised defendant was a licensed Residential Facility Administrator for another property. 6880 Hathaway Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada. Pedrotti also advised that the Administrator license is property specific; that BELTCA had no licenses listed for defendant at 6215 East Owens; and pursuant to NAC 654.181 defendant had 15 days to notify BELTCA of an address change or change of facility. Ms. Pedrotti also said NAC 654.250 required defendant to notify BELTCA of being an Administrator of multiple facilities and to obtain a "secondary administrators" license for each additional facility.
- 10. Upon arrival at 6215 East Owens Avenue, resident M.E. allowed me to enter and showed me the facility. At no time did I see any licenses displayed noting the facility to be a licensed Residential Facility for Groups per NAC 449.193. I did not observe any licenses displayed listing a long term administrator assigned to the facility, per NAC 654.190 and NRS 449.095. Nor did I observe the posting of the name of the employee in charge of the facility per NAC 449.194.

M.E. showed me identification indicating she was 92 years old. M.E., though ambulatory, appeared somewhat unsteady in her gate and balance and she had difficulty hearing. M.E. stated she used to be a neighbor of defendant and that she now pays defendant \$750.00 for food, meals, rent and some care services.

11. I also observed that resident D.E. was unable to communicate with me and was somewhat non-responsive to my inquiries. D.E. was unable to ambulate on his own and could not get out of a chair without assistance. There was a file folder on a

table next to D.E., and in the file was information indicating D.E. to be 84 years old.

12. On 4/20/05, at approximately 4:45 pm, defendant arrived at the facility. She said she had departed at approximately 2:15 pm to take a third elderly resident, J.G. and the facilities care giver, Lolita Pangan to a doctors appointment. Defendant admitted that M.E., D.E. and J.G. are residents of 6215 East Owens Avenue and that defendant is responsible for providing them food, shelter, limited supervision and assistance with their daily living requirements. Defendant said M.E. pays \$750.00 per month and D.E. pays \$1,700.00 per month. Defendant admitted that D.E. and M.E. needed 24 hour a day supervision. Defendant further admitted that though M.E. likes to cook, M.E. needs close supervision while in the kitchen.

Defendant told Affiant it slipped her mind that she had left the 92 and 84 year old residents alone. Earlier, defendant had the presence of mind to call M.E. at approximately 4:00 pm for the purpose of checking up on D.E. During that call, defendant learned members of the MFCU were present, and she returned to the facility. Upon arriving at the facility, defendant failed to discuss that a third resident, J.G., also resided at the facility. (J.G. was still at a doctors office). Defendant only admitted that J.G. was a resident after Affiant confronted her on this topic. Defendant also stated J.G.'s care includes taking him to doctors appointments two times a week.

- 13. On April 21, 2005, I reviewed several Clark County District Court documents concerning Sambas. Two of those documents are as follows:
 - A) Case No. A476475, Department No. X, Date stamped: 12/24/03, Titled: Stipulation and Order.

This document indicates that during 10/2003, defendant was operating a residential facility for groups containing four residents, without a license. Defendant agreed not to operate an unlicensed facility for groups in the future and consented to a permanent injunction. Defendant agreed to a \$1,000.00 judgment being entered against her. The settlement agreement would not be construed as prohibiting any

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

criminal or civil actions or other claims for relief available to any party other than the State of Nevada, Department of Human Resources, Health Division.

An order confirming the injunction against maintaining and operating a residential facility for groups without a license; and authorizing a \$1,000.00 judgment against defendant was signed on 12/22/2003.

B) Case No. A494639, Department No. XI, Date stamped: 12/7/04, Titled: Stipulation and Order.

This document indicates that during 08/2004, defendant was operating a residential facility for groups containing 3 residents when she was only licensed to care for 2 residents. Defendant agreed not to operate an unlicensed facility for groups in the future and consented to a permanent injunction. Defendant agreed to a \$5,000.00 judgment being entered against her. The settlement agreement would not be construed as prohibiting any criminal or civil actions or other claims for relief available to any party other than the State of Nevada, Department of Human Resources, Health Division.

An order confirming the injunction against maintaining and operating a residential facility for groups without a license; and authorizing a \$5,000.00 judgment against defendant was signed on 12/07/2004.

14. In summary of the above:

Defendant was the responsible party to provide services, including shelter, in a manner necessary to maintain the physical or mental health of older persons (M.E. and D.E.). (See NRS 200.5092(4)). By abandoning residents and neglecting to obtain an appropriate care giver in her absence, defendant permitted or allowed the residents to be placed in a situation where they may suffer physical pain or mental suffering as a result of the neglectful abandonment. (See NRS 200.5099(2).

Defendant was aware of her responsibilities to operate within the requirements of the Nevada Administrative Code and had two prior court orders enjoining her from operating an unlicensed facility.

Based upon the foregoing, there is probable cause to believe that Delfina Sambas did commit the crime of Neglect of an Older Person, a gross misdemeanor violation of NRS 200.5099(2) and 200.5092, two counts.

WHEREFORE, your Affiant requests that a Summons be issued for Delfina Sambas, and that defendant be dealt with according to the law.

Dated this $\underline{5^{11}}$ day of \underline{May} , 2005.

BRIAN SANDOVAL Attorney General

By:

Shaun Bowen Senior Investigator

Subscribed and sworn to before

me this 5 day of 1) auf. , 2005.

Notary Public

Submitted by:

BRIAN SANDOVAL Attorney General

Bv:

Mark Kemberling Sr. Deputy // NV Bar No. 5388

Medicaid Fraud Control Unit

(702) 486-3420