### Not reviewed for confidentiality

Not reviewed for confidentiality

Not reviewed for confidentiality

Not reviewed for confidentiality

Ex. No.
UPX2114

1:20-cv-03010-APM

Not reviewed for confidentiality

### Not reviewed for confidentiality

From: Ning Li < Redacted com >

**Date:** Monday, April 12, 2021 at 1:52 AM

**To:** Jon Eide < Redacted Of B.com >, Dan Levy < Redacted Of B.com >, Amee Kamdar < Redacted Of B.com >, David Sasaki < Redacted Of B.com >, Sam Mincer < Redacted Of B.com >, Mary Ku < Redacted Of B.com >, Graham

Mudd < Redacted @fb.com >, Marc Bourget < Redacted @fb.com >

Cc: McKenzie Thomas < Redacted @fb.com>

Subject: Re: Follow up (not urgent) re: innovator's dilemma

### Not reviewed for confidentiality

# Redacted

4. In my view, AI was/is the disruptive technology for our ads product with a belief / assumption that ultimately, performance drives spend (we wrote a lot about this in a long note to Mark that explained an auction change in 2018).

# Redacted

c. Since people don't come to core FB products with intent (unlike search), from the beginning, we knew we were about demand generation, not demand fulfilment (we can talk about retargeting another day). But in the early days, this meant brand advertising. We were not believed that our ads could be performance ads.

## Redacted