PAGE COUNT OF 50 PAGES

		۱ ـ
1	MR. NIXON: Yes, sir,	5
2	MR. STROUD: And who are the two in the audience	
3	you know?	
4	MR. NIXON: I don't know their names.	
5	MR. STROUD: You just recognize them?	
6	MR. NIXON: Yes.	
7	MR. STROUD: Is there anyone else? Yes, ma'am?	
8	MRS. BLAND: Mr. Pearly Lee.	
9	MR. STROUD: This gentleman right here, Mr. Pearly	
10	Lee Marshall? Pardon me; Mr. Marshall Pearly Lee. Anyone	
11	else?	
12	MRS. BLAND: And Mrs. Lena Mae McAllister.	
13	MR. STROUD: Mrs. McAllister?	
14	MRS. BLAND: Yes.	
15	MR. STROUD: All right. Is there anyone else on the	
16	jury? Yes, sir?	
17	MR. KENNEDY: I know Mr. Nixon.	
18	THE COURT: Gentlemen, I don't know what I'm going	
19	to do about it, but this soft conversational tone that is being between two people	
20	used, I'm not hearing you; and I do not believe that anyone	
21	in the court room is not quiet or not being still, but I'm not	
22	hearing it. If all of you will imagine that you are talking	
23	to someone maybe fifty feet away and raise your voice to that	
24	extent, maybe we can hear you, but this soft conversational	
25	tone, we can't hear it. It is necessary that the court reporte	r

1	hear it and that the Court hear it and that the attorneys hear
2	it. Please try to remember that.
3	MRS. REDD: I know Mrs. Dannie Jones, and Mr. Ledell
4	Shepard, Mrs. Joyce Ann Fuller, and I have seen him before but
5	I don't definitely know him.
6	MR. STROUD: That's Mr. Kennedy?
7	MRS. REDD: That's right.
. 8	MR. STROUD: All right. Mrs. Jones, Mr. Shepard,
. 9	and this gentleman, and who else?
10	MRS. REDD: And Mrs. Olith Ruth Batts.
11	THE COURT: We can hear you; you are setting a good
12	example. Keep it like that.
13	MR. STROUD: Yes, sir, Mr. Kennedy?
14	MR. KENNEDY: Starting back over here, I know Mr.
15	Nixon; Rev. Elijah Hall; Mr. Casha; and then some more faces
. 16	out there, I know of their faces.
.17	MR. STROUD: Some more faces of those who have been
18	called to serve on the jury?
19	MR. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.
20	MR. STROUD: Do you know Is there anyone else
21	that you can think of, now?
22	MR. KENNEDY: Well, there's a lot of people. I just
23	know them by their face. I have known them for years, but I
24	just know their face.
25 .	MR. STROUD: Is there anyone else on the panel of
	- 11

twelve now who knows someone else either on the panel with you 1 or among the prospective jurors? 2 (NO RESPONSE.) 3 MR. STROUD: Thank you. Now, how many of you have served on jury duty previously? Please raise your hand. 5 sure some of you have indicated this, but I'm not sure all of 6 you have. 7 (RESPONSES.) 8 MR. STROUD: All right. There's just three of you. 9 Anyone else? 10 (NO RESPONSE.) 11 MR. STROUD: All right. Mrs. Walker, I will start 12 with asking you some questions, personal questions, directed 13 towards you as opposed to the other jurors at this time. 14 Mrs. Walker, you do understand, do you not, the necessity for 15 being open and frank with your answers with me, is that correct? 16 Yes, sir. MRS. WALKER: 17 And you will be patient with me as I MR. STROUD: 18 ask you these questions, won't you? 19 MRS. WALKER: Yes, sir. 20 MR. STROUD: Now, Mrs. Walker, as I understand it, 21 you have three children, is that correct? 22 That's right. MRS. WALKER: 23 MR. STROUD: And they are 25, 21, and 13 in ages? 24 That's right. MRS. WALKER: 25

1	MR. STROUD: Now, of these three children how many
2	are living in the home with you?
3	MRS. WALKER: Two.
4	MR. STROUD: That would be which of those two?
5	MRS. WALKER: Twenty-one and thirteen.
6	MR. STROUD: Now, the 21 year old, is that a male or
7	a female?
8	MRS. WALKER: A male.
9	MR. STROUD: And the 13 year old is a female, I believe
10	you said?
11	MRS. WALKER: That's right.
12	MR. STROUD: Now, the 25 year old son that you have,
13	where does he live?
14	MRS. WALKER: San Antonio.
15	MR. STROUD: Beg pardon?
16	MRS. WALKER: San Antonio.
17	MR. STROUD: And the 21 year old son that you have,
18	is he employed?
19	MRS. WALKER: Yes, he is.
20	MR. STROUD: Where is he employed?
21	MRS. WALKER: Timme Corporation.
22	MR. STROUD: And the 25 year old son, where is he
23	employed?
24	MRS. WALKER: He's a school teacher.
25	MR. STROUD: And, of course, the 13 year old is a
	- H

j		1
1	student?	
2	MRS. WALKER: That's right.	
3	MR. STROUD: Now, Mrs. Walker, are you familiar with	
4	the area in Wilmington in the viminity of Sixth and Nun Street	
5	and Sixth and Ann Street, that general neighborhood around	
6	there?	
7	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
8	MR. STROUD: Have you ever been to the Gregory Con-	
9	gregational Church on Nun Street?	
10	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
11	MR. STROUD: So Do you recall ever having seen	
12	Mike's Grocery Store?	
13	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
14	MR. STROUD: Now, the fact that the defendant Chavis	
15	is called Reverend Chavis and wears a clerical vest and collar,	
16	would that have - what influence, if any, would that have on	
17	your attitude as a juror?	
18	MR. FERGUSON: Objection to the form of that question	,
19	your Honor.	
20	THE COURT: Approach the bench just a minute, gentle-	
21	men.	
22	(COUNSEL CONFER WITH THE COURT AT THE BENCH.)	
23	MR. STROUD: We have agreed that I should rephrase	
24	the question. Let me ask it to you in this manner: Would the	
25	fact that the defendant Chavis bears the title Reverend, is	

1	called Reverend, and the fact that he wears a clerical collar
2	and vest, would that have any influence on your decision or
3	verdict in this case?
4	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.
5	MR. STROUD: No influence at all?
6	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.
7	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mr. Kennedy?
8	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.
9	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mrs. Redd?
10	MRS. REDD: No. I think all can wear what you want.
11	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mrs. Bland?
12	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
13	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Watkins, would that have any influ-
14	ence on your decision in this case?
. 15	MRS. WATKINS: No, it would not.
16	MR. STROUD: Mr. Devane?
17	MR. DEVANE: No, sir, it wouldn't have any influence.
18	MR. STROUD: Mr. Marshall Lee?
19	MR LEE: Not a bit.
20	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Jones?
21	MRS. JONES: No, sir.
22	MR. STROUD: Would it affect your attitude, Mr.
23	Nixon?
24	MR. NIXON: No.
25	MR. STROUD: Pardon me. Would it influence your

1	verdict?	
2	MR. NIXON: No.	
3	MR. STROUD: What about you, Mr. Murray?	
4	MR. FERGUSON: Objection.	
5	THE COURT: Ibelieve you have already passed him.	
6	MR. STROUD: Oh, excuse me; I'm sorry; I forgot I	
7	have already passed him. Now, Mrs. Walker, do you know any	
8	of the defendants?	
9	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
10	MR. STROUD: Do you recognize any of them; have you	
11	seen any of them anywhere before?	
12	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
13	MR. STROUD: Is it possible that you might know a	
14	member of one of their families?	
15	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
16	MR. STROUD: Do you know or recognize the attorneys	
17	for the defendants?	
18	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
19	MR. STROUD: Do you recognize me?	
20		
21		
22	witnesses for the defendants. Now, you did not know any of	
23		
· 24		
25	MR. STROUD: Is it possible Did you recognize any	

11-42	558
MR. STROUD: Is it possible that you might know some-	
one who is in any way related or connected to them?	
MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
MR. STROUD: The names that were called?	
MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
MR. STROUD: Let me ask you the same with regard to	
the list of witnesses who will appear for the state; you knew	
none of them, is that correct?	
MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
MR. STROUD: Is it possible that you might know any	
of the members of their family or friends?	
MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
MR. STROUD: Do you know any persons that are personal	.1у
acquainted with any of the defendants?	iž.
MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
MR. STROUD: Do you know any persons that are per-	
sonally acquainted with the lawyers?	
MRS. WALKER: I didn't understand that.	
MR. STROUD: Do you know any persons that are per-	
sonally acquainted with the lawyers?	
MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
MR. STROUD: Do you know any persons that are per-	
sonally acquainted with any of the possible witnesses for the	
	MRS. WALKER: No, sir. MR. STROUD: The names that were called? MRS. WALKER: No, sir. MR. STROUD: Let me ask you the same with regard to the list of witnesses who will appear for the state; you knew none of them, is that correct? MRS. WALKER: No, sir. MR. STROUD: Is it possible that you might know any of the members of their family or friends? MRS. WALKER: No, sir. MR. STROUD: Do you know any persons that are personal acquainted with any of the defendants? MRS. WALKER: No, sir. MR. STROUD: Do you know any persons that are personally acquainted with the lawyers? MRS. WALKER: I didn't understand that. MR. STROUD: Do you know any persons that are personally acquainted with the lawyers? MRS. WALKER: No, sir. MRS. WALKER: No, sir. MRS. WALKER: No, sir.

MR. KENNEDY: No, sir. They live in one town, and

24

25

I live in another up here.

1	MR. STROUD: Is it possible that you might know any
2	of their family or friends?
3	MR. KENNEDY: Well, when you say possible, it's possi-
4	ble that you might know them if you know who they was connected
5	with, but just right off-hand I would say no.
6	MR. STROUD: Now, Mrs. Redd, do you recognize any of
7	the defendants or know them?
8	MRS. REDD: No, sir.
9	MR. STROUD: You have never seen any of them before?
10	MRS. REDD: No, sir.
11	MR. STROUD: Is it possible that you might know, from
12	their name or resemblances, is it possible that you might
13	know members of their family?
14	MRS. REDD: No, sir.
15	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mrs. Bland?
16	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
17	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Watkins, do you recognize any of
18	them? Have you seen any of them before?
19	MRS. WATKINS: No, sir.
20	MR. STROUD: Is it possible that you might, either by
21	closely resemble or name, know some of their family?
22	MRS. WATKINS: No, I don't know them. This is my
23	first time in seeing them when I came to court.
24	MR. STROUD: All right. Now, Mr. Devane, how about
25	you, sir?

1	MR. DEVANE: Well, if I have ever seen any of them	56
2	before, I don't off-hand recognize them.	
3	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mr. Lee? don't	
4	MR. LEE: I/know any of them.	
5	MR. STROUD: Have you ever seen any of them before?	
6	MR. LEE: Maybe I have, yes, plenty of them, several	
7	of them (COURT REPORTER UNABLE TO UNDERSTAND THE REST OF HIS	
8	ANSWER.)	
9	MR. STROUD: Well, I, you know, all I need really to	
10	know is can you recall having seen them before?	
11	MR. LEE: No. I don't recall having seen any of	
12	•	
13		
14	cause of their name or resemblance any of the members of their	
15	family?	
16	!!	
17	MR. FERGUSON: May we approach the bench just one	
``18	minute, Mr. Stroud?	
19	\$ {	
20		
2	any of the defendants? Have you ever seen any of them before?	
2	MRS. JONES: No, sir.	
2	MR. STROUD: Do you know either by name or resemblan	ice,
2	do you know members of their families?	
2	MRS. JONES: No, sir.	

1	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mr. Nixon?
2	MR. NIXON: No, sir.
3	MR. STROUD: You have never seen any of them before?
4	MR. NIXON: No, sir.
5	MR. STROUD: Mr. Kennedy, have you seen before this
6	week any of the attorneys before?
. 7	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.
8	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Redd?
. 9	MRS. REDD: No, sir.
10	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Bland?
11	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
12	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Watkins?
13	MRS. WATKINS: No, sir.
14	MR. STROUD: Have you, sir, Mr. Lee?
15	MR. LEE: No, sir.
16	MR. STROUD: Mr. Devane?
17	MR. DEVANE: No, sir.
18	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Jones?
19	MRS. JONES: No, sir.
20	MR. STROUD: Mr. Nixon?
21	MR. NIXON: No, sir.
22	MR. STROUD: Now, Mr. Kennedy, the names of possible
23	witnesses for the defendants, did any of those names ring a
24	bell with you?
25	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.

1	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mrs. Redd?
2	MRS. REDD: No, sir.
3	MR. STROUD: You heard those names called out late
4	yesterday afternoon?
5	MRS. REDD: I heard them, yes.
6	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mrs. Bland?
7	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
8	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Watkins, did any of those names of
9	potential defense witnesses ring a bell with you?
10	MRS. WATKINS: No, sir.
11	MR. STROUD: Mr. Devane?
12	MR. DEVANE: No, sir. Like I say, I can hear people's
13	names, but when they bring them in it may be some of them that
14	I have been around or seen quite frequently, but the names, I
15	just don't recall any of them.
16	MR. STROUD: Mr. Lee, did any of those names ring a
17	bell with you?
18	MR. LEE: Not that I know of. I haven't seen them
19	before.
20	MR. STROUD: Have you seen me before, or have any
21	of the rest of you recognized me?
22	MR.LEE: Yes, sir.
23	MR. STROUD: Mr. Lee, would the fact that you recog-
24	nize me, would that in any way influence your decision in this
25	case?

1	MR. LEE: I believe I have seen you in Wilmington.
2	MR. STROUD: Would that in any way affect your deci-
3	sion in this case?
4	MR. LEE: No, it wouldn't.
5	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Jones, did any of those names of
6	potential defense witnesses ring a bell with you?
7	MRS. JONES: No, sir.
8	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mr. Nixon?
. 9	MR. NIXON: No.
10	MR. STROUD: I failed to mention this earlier and
11	some of you may be curious and/to satisfy your curosity, the
12	gentleman seated next to me is Detective Fredlaw of the Wilming-
13	ton Police Department who will appear as one of the witness in
14	the case for the state. Do any of you know Mr. Fredlaw?
15	(NO RESPONSE HEARD.)
16	MR. STROUD: Did the names of any of the prospective
17	witnesses for the state ring a bell with you or do you know any
. 18	of those people?
19	(RESPONSES.)
20	MR. STROUD: Pardon me, Mr. Devane?
21	MR. DEVANE: I don't know their name.
22	MR. STROUD: You don't recognize any by their names?
23	MR. DEVANE: No, but it might be that I have possibly
24	seen them.
25	MR. STROUD: You all recall the names that I called

	11 . d . o.u.h2	5
1	out or were called out?	
2	(AFFIRMATIVE RESPONSES.)	
3	MR. STROUD: Now, Mr. Kennedy, do you know any persons	
4	that are personally acquainted with the defendants or their	
. 5	attorneys or their prospective witnesses?	
6	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.	
7	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mrs. Redd? Do any of the	
8	people you know, do they know any of the defendants or the	
9	attorneys or the prospective witnesses for the defendants?	
10	MRS. REDD: No, not as I knows of.	
11	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mrs. Bland; do any of	
12	your acquaintances know any of these people?	
13	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.	
14	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mrs. Watkins?	
15	MRS. WATKINS: Not that I know of.	
16	MR. STROUD: Mr. Devane?	
17	MR. DEVANE: Not as I know of.	
18	MR. STROUD: Mr. Lee?	
19	~	
20		
./ ₹. 21	MR. STROUD: Mr. Nixon?	
22	MR. NIXON: No.	
23	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Walker, back to you, please, ma'am	•
24	have you talked with anyone about	
25	the cases against them?	

1	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	5
2	MR. STROUD: Has anyone talked to you about any of	
3	the defendants or the cases against them?	
4	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
5	MR. STROUD: And during this week have you communicated	đ
6	with anyone who is presently or who has in the past been in the	
7	court room?	
8	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
. 9	MR. STROUD: You haven't had any contact with anyone	\cdot
10	who is in the court room or has been in the court room this	
11	week?	
12	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
13	MR. STROUD: Do you know anyone who has been in the	
14	court room this week or who is in the court room now?	
15	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
16	MR. STROUD: Anyone at all?	
17	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
18	MR. STROUD: Have you attended any meeting or been	
19	any place where the defendants were present or their lawyers or	
20	their witnesses?	
21	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
22	MR. STROUD: Have any of your acquaintances?	
23	MRS. WALKER: Beg your pardon?	
24	MR. STROUD: Have any of your acquaintances or friend	s
25	been to any place where any of the defendants in the past have	

1	been present?	5
2	MRS. WALKER: Not that I know of.	
3	MR. STROUD: Or their lawyers?	
4	MRS. WALKER: No, sir, not that I know of.	
5	MR. STROUD: Or any of the witnesses that might appear	
6	for the defense?	
7	MRS. WALKER: Not that I know of.	
8	MR. STROUD: Are you What Refresh my memory,	
9	if you will: What organization - I know that you said you were	
10	a member of a holiness church?	
11	MRS. WALKER: That's right; I am.	
12	MR. STROUD: Is there any other organization that	
13	you are a member of?	
14	MRS. WALKER: I work for the 4-H, a Girl Scout Leader	•
15	and I'm a choir director.	
16	MR. STROUD: Is there any other organization that you	
17	are a member of or in the past have been a member of?	
18	MRS. WALKER: No, nothing except secretary of the	Ì
19	church.	
20	MR. STROUD: Now, Mrs. Watkins, during this trial	
21	there may be certain forces at work which may create some	
22	tension and pressure. Now, is there anything that you can	
23	think of that might occur during this trial that would in any	
24	way influence you or prevent you from being a fair and impartia	1
25	juror?	

		í
1	the television of Mr. Chavis and that was all, because I don't too	5
2	do/much reading.	
3	MR. STROUD: How about personal conversations about	
4	these cases or these defendants; have you had any of those?	
5	MRS. WALKER: No, I have not.	
6	MR. STROUD: Do you feel like, you know, in all	
7	honesty and fairness, do you feel that you could lay aside any-	
8	thing that you heard or read and decide the cases solely upon	
9	the evidence that's presented and the charge of the Court as	
10	it is presented to you?	
11	MRS. WALKER: Yes, sir.	
12	THE COURT: Mr. Stroud, I believe we will have to	
13	take a recess at this time. We will be in recess for a few	
14	minutes.	
. 15	(MORNING BREAK. THE DEFENDANTS IN CUSTODY TAKE THEIR	
16	SEATS IN OPEN COURT.)	
17	THE COURT: You may proceed.	
18	MR. STROUD: Thank you, your Honor. Mrs. Walker, have	=
19	you ever been associated or connected in any way with anyone	
20	who has been involved in the defense of a criminal action?	
21	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
22	MR. STROUD: Either as a witness or where that person	
23	was involved as a defendant or a lawyer?	
24	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
25	MR. STROUD: Are you aware and do you have any	

1	emotional or physical impairment which would prevent you from
2	serving on this jury for an extended period of time?
3	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.
4	MR. STROUD: Do you have any relatives or friends or
5	associates who would criticize you for any verdict that you
6	might return in this case?
7	MRS. WALKER: Not that I know of.
8	MR. STROUD: Are you concerned with the feelings of
9	your friends and relatives and associates and would your con-
10	cern affect your verdict in this case as to any of their feel-
11	ings about the case?
12	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.
13	MR. STROUD: You do have the courage of your convic-
14	tions, then?
15	MRS. WALKER: Yes, sir.
16	MR. STROUD: Do you have any personal knowledge about
17	the facts and circumstances surrounding these cases?
18	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.
19	MR. STROUD: Or as to the events and incidents which
20	took place in Wilmington, North Carolina, in February, of 1971?
21	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.
22	MR. STROUD: Now, as the result of anything that you
23	have heard or read about these cases or the defendants or the existing
24	circumstances/in Wilmington in February, 1971, as a result of
25	hearing or reading anything about any of these items that I
	II

		i
1	mentioned to you, do you have an attitude or a feeling that	!
2	would tend to be - to work for or against the defendants in	
3	this case?	
4	MRS. WALKER: No, I don't.	
5	MR. STROUD: Without stating what it is - and I worlt	
6	ask you about it - are you aware of any factor that would influ-	
7	ence your decision in this case other than the evidence?	
8	MRS. WALKER: Nothing but the evidence.	
. 9	, MR. STROUD: You would base your decision of guilt or	
10	innocence alone upon the evidence that you hear?	
11	MRS. WALKER: Yes, sir.	
12	MR. STROUD: And no other outside factor would tend	
13	to influence that decision?	
14	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
15	MR. STROUD: Without stating what it is - and again	
16	I won't ask you about it - is there any reason that you could	
17	not serve as an impartial juror, being fair both to the State	
18	of North Carolina and to the defendants?	
19	MRS. WALKER: No, sir. There is no reason.	
20	MR. STROUD: Thank you, ma'am. You know none of the	
21	other jurors, is that correct, or prospective jurors?	
22	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
23	MR. STROUD: All right. Mr. Kennedy, as I recall you	
24	stated you were a mechanic?	
25	MR. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.	

	MR. STROUD: And that you have a daughter at home
1	
2	with you?
3	MR. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.
4	MR. STROUD: What is her age, please, sir, if you
5	don't mind my asking?
6	MR. KENNEDY: She's about 23.
7	MR. STROUD: She's not married?
8	MR. KENNEDY: She's married.
9	MR. STROUD: She is. Is she employed anywhere?
10	MR. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.
11	MR. STROUD: Where is she employed?
12	MR. KENNEDY: She's a Home Ec teacher. She's working
13	with the Board of Education in Wilmington with the summer
14	session for the children and people that don't have time to
15	go to school in the day time and maybe have a job and incon-
16	venient for them to go to school and maybe some drop out and
17	just maybe want an education. She works with the New Hanover
18	County Board of Education, in Wilmington.
19	MR. STROUD: And you have four other children, I
20	believe, is that correct?
21	·
22	MR. STROUD: And all of them are away from home; I
23	mean, they don't live in the home?
24	MR. KENNEDY: They don't live in the home.
25	MR. STROUD: The other four children that you have,

		1
1	what are their ages, please, sir?	٤
2	MR. KENNEDY: I have one daughter that's - I don't	
3	know exactly, but I'll give the age as close as I can - she's	
4	roughly about 31. She's married and in New York. I have a	
5	daughter next to her; she's 29; she's been teaching in New York	
6	for seven years. The one next to her is my son, LeRoy, Jr.;	
7	he's an electronic engineer in New York. And the one next to	
8	him is my baby boy, Clarence, and he's in Greensboro at A and T.	
9	MR. STROUD: Now, the daughter who is married - the	
10	oldest daughter, I believe you said, that lived in New York -	
11	what is her husband's occupation?	
12	MR. KENNEDY: He's a mechanic, I think. I think he	
13	works at a garage as a mechanic.	
14	MR. STROUD: And the daughter teaching in New York;	
15	what	
16	MR. KENNEDY: She's not married. And my son's not	
17	married.	
18	MR. STROUD: The one that's an engineer?	
19	MR. KENNEDY: The one that's in New York.	
20	MR. STROUD: Of course, you understand the necessity	
21	for being open and frank with me in your answers, don't you?	
22	MR. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.	
	- 11	

MR. STROUD: Now, are you familiar with the neighbor-hood in Wilmington in the area of Sixth and Nun and Sixth and Ann Streets?

23

25

1	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.
2	MR. STROUD: Do you ever recall having been in that
3	area at all?
4	MR. KENNEDY: I know I've been through there, but
5	I've been all over Wilmington, but, you know, I don't know
6	nothing about what's located in certain places.
7	MR. STROUD: Have you ever been in the Gregory
8	Congregational Church over there on Nun Street?
9	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.
10	MR. STROUD: Do you know where Mike's Grocery was
11	located on Sixth Street?
12	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir. I've been trying to picture
13	that place every since you all have been talking about it, but
14	I just can't recall seeing it.
15	MR. STROUD: Now, during this week or prior to this
16	week have you talked to anyone about these defendants or the
17	incidents which occurred in February, of 1971, in Wilmington?
18	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.
19	MR. STROUD: Now, has anyone talked to you about any
20	of these defendants or the events which occurred in Wilmington?
21	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.
22	MR. STROUD: Now, during this week have you communi-
23	cated with anyone who is presently sitting in the court room
24	or during the week has been in the court room; anyone at all
25	who has been in the court room this week or is presently in the

1	court room?	57 5
2	MR. KENNEDY: Not that I remember.	
3	MR. STROUD: Do you know anyone who has been in the	
4	court room this week or is in the court room now other than the	
5	jurors that you have pointed out?	
6	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir. No, sir, I don't know anybody	
7	in the court room.	
8	MR. STROUD: Have you ever attended a meeting or been	
9	at any place where the defendants or their lawyers or prospec-	
10	tive witnesses were present?	
11	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.	
12	MR. STROUD: Have any of your acquaintances that you	
13	know of been in any area where any of these defendants were	
14	present?	
15	MR. KENNEDY: Not that I know of.	
16	MR. STROUD: Now, I can't recall this information,	
17	so I may be repetitious to this extent: What organizations or	
18	associations or clubs are you presently a member of or have	
19	been a member of in the past?	
20	MR. KENNEDY: I'm a member of the Baptist church.	
21	MR. STROUD: And is there any other organization or	
22	association that you are presently a member of?	
23	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir. To tell the truth, I don't	
24	even go to church much.	
25	MR. STROUD: Is there any organizations or association	1115

1	that you have in the past been connected with that you are not	
2	connected with presently?	
3	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.	
4	MR. STROUD: Did you serve in the armed forces, sir?	
5	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir, but they got up with my two	
6	boys.	
7	MR. STROUD: Your two boys; what branch did they	
8	serve in?	
9	MR. KENNEDY: One of them served four years in the	
10	Navy, and the other one served three years in the Army. He	
11	spent the biggest of that in Viet Nam in what they call the	
12	"Feets Corps" there.	
13	MR. STROUD: Now, are you at I expressed this also	
14	to Mrs. Walker, and I'll express it to other jurors. You know,	
15	as this trial progresses there may be certain forces at work	
16	which may create some tensions or some pressures. Now, are you	
17	at this time aware of any such tension or pressure that exists	
18	presently or might exist during this trial?	
19	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.	
20	MR. STROUD: That would in any way influence your	
21	decision?	
22	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.	
23	MR. STROUD: Now, I recall, I believe, that you stated	ī
24	that you heard and read certain things about the incidents in	
25	February, of '71, is that right?	

10

11

9

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

MR. KENNEDY: You didn't recall me saying I read; you recall me saying I heard, because I do very little reading, because if I'm at home if something was on the T.V. while I'm eating my supper, I sit there and eat and watch T.V.; and I work too hard, when I leave out of there I go to bed. So, whenever at the shop, I turn the radio on. Now, I might have heard something on the radio or seen something on the T.V.. When I read, I'm looking in my repair manual, and that's about the only reading I do.

Do you recall at this time - without MR. STROUD: repeating what it was - but do you recall what you heard or saw about it on television?

It's been so long I couldn't remem-MR. KENNEDY: No. ber nothing only it just flashed up there on the news scene and then the next one come up and knock that one out, and the last one you see that's the one you remember, I guess.

MR. STROUD: Do you recall hearing or seeing anything about the incident in regard to the burning of Mike's Grocery Store, in Wilmington, in February, 1971?

No. /I knowed there was some burning; MR. KENNEDY: I didn't know whose store it was or where it was located or nothing.

MR. STROUD: Now, as the result of what you heard and saw on television, do you at this time have or have you at any time had any attitude or feeling about these defendants as the

1	result of what you heard and saw?
2	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.
3	MR. STROUD: Doyou honestly believe that you can lay
4	aside what you heard and saw without it having any bearing
5	whatsoever in your decision in the case?
6	MR. KENNEDY: Yes, sir.
7	MR. STROUD: Now, have you personally ever been asso-
8	ciated with anyone who was involved in the defense of a
9	criminal case in any capacity?
10	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.
11	MR. STROUD: Do you have any emotional or physical
12	infirmity which might make it difficult for you to sit on the
13	jury for an extended period of time?
14	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir, unless you are talking about
15	my pocketbook.
16	MR. STROUD: Do any of your friends or relatives or
17	associates that you are aware of have any paticular attitude -
18	without stating what the attitude is - but do any of them that
19	you are aware of have any particular attitude about these cases
20	or these defendants?
21	MR. KENNEDY: Well, if they have, they haven't said
22	anything to me about it.
23	MR. STROUD: Have any of your friends Well, let
24	me rephrase that. Strike that, please, ma'am. Do you feel
25	that any of your friends or relatives or associates Do you

have any feelings that any of your relatives or friends or 1 associates would criticize you for any verdict that you might 2 return in this case? 3 MR. KENNEDY: No, sir. 4 MR. STROUD: As the result of what you heard or read 5 - pardon me - as the result of what you heard or saw on tele-6 vision with regard to the incidents of February, 1971, in 7 Wilmington, would that cause you to have any attitude or feeling 8 that would work for or against the defendants in these cases? 9 MR. KENNEDY: No, sir. 10 MR. STROUD: Without stating what it is - and I won't 11 ask you about it - are you aware of any factor that in any small 12 way might influence your decision other than the evidence which 13 you will hear in the case? 14 MR. KENNEDY: No, sir. 15 MR. STROUD: Are you aware of any reason, without 16 stating what that reason is..... 17 MR. KENNEDY: No, sir. 18 MR. STROUD:that would prevent you from sitting 19 as a fair and impartial juror? 20 MR. KENNEDY: No, sir. 21 MR. STROUD: Fair both to the state and to the defen-22 23 dants? MR. KENNEDY: No, sir. 24 MR. STROUD: Do you have any particular attitude or 25

1	feeling now as to how you want these cases to come out?	580
2	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.	
3	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mrs. Walker?	
4	MRS. WALKER: I didn't understand you.	
5	MR. STROUD: Do you have any particular feeling or	
6	attitude now about how you want these cases to come out?	
	MRS. WALKER: No, sir.	
7 8	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mrs. Redd?	
9	MRS. REDD: No, sir.	
10	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Bland?	
11	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.	
	MR. STROUD: "Ar. Nixon?	
12	MR. NIXON: No, sir.	
13	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Jones?	
14		
15	MRS. JONES: No, sir.	
. 16	MR. STROUD: Mr. Lee?	.}.
17	MR. LEE: No, sir.	
18	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mr. Devane; do you have	
19	any particular attitude or feeling now as to how you wish these	
20	cases come out?	
21	MR. DEVANE: No, sir.	
22	MR. STROUD: How about you, ma'am?	
23	MRS. WATKINS: No, sir.	
24	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Bland, do you - you, of course,	
25	understand the reason for the asking of the questions, do you	10=?

MRS. BLAND: Yes, sir.
MR. STROUD: And you understand the necessity for your
being open and frank, do you not?
MRS. BLAND: Yes, sir.
MR. STROUD: Now, are you familiar at all with the
general neighborhood in Wilmington in the area of Sixth and
Ann and Sixth and Nun Streets?
MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
MR. STROUD: Do you ever recall having been in that
neighborhood at all?
MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
MR. STROUD: Now, during or prior to this week have
you talked to anyone about any of these defendants or cases or
charges against them?
MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
MR. STROUD: During or prior to this week has anyone
talked to you about them?
MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
MR. STROUD: During this week have you communicated
or had any contact with anyone who is now in the court room or
has been in the court room?
MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
MR. STROUD: Do you know anyone who has been in the
court room this week or is in the court room now other than the
jurors that you might recognize?

1	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
2	MR. STROUD: Have you ever attended any meeting or
3	been at any place where the defendants or their lawyers were
4	present?
5	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
6	MR. STROUD: To your knowledge have any of your per-
7	sonal acquaintances or friends or associates been at any meeting
8	or place where the defendants or their lawyers were present?
9	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
10	MR. STROUD: How long has your husband worked at
11	Timme?
12	MRS. BLAND: About eleven years.
13	MR. STROUD: Now, are you a member of any club,
14	organization, or association presently?
15	MRS. BLAND: Nothing but the church.
16	MR. STROUD: Have you in the past been a member of
17	any club, organization, or association other than the church?
18	MRS. BLAND: No, sir, not since I got out of school.
19	MR. STROUD: Not since you got out of school. How
20	about your husband; is your husband presently a member of any
21	club, organization, or association?
22	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
23	MR. STROUD: How about in the past; has he been a
24	member of any such organization or anything?
25	MRS. BLAND: Not that I know of.

1	MR. STROUD: Now, during this week certain forces may
2	be at play to cause there to be some tension and pressure dur-
3	ing the trial. Are you aware of any such tension or pressure
4	that might occur during the trial that might in any way influ-
5	ence your decision in the case?
6	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
7	MR. STROUD: Have you ever been associated or con-
8	nected with anyone who has been involved in the defense of a
9	criminal case in some capacity?
10	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
11	MR. STROUD: Do you have any emotional or physical
12	infirmity that would prevent you from serving on the jury
13	for an extended period of time?
14	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
15	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mr. Nixon; are you aware
16	of any physical or emotional infirmity that would prevent you
17	from serving on the jury for any extended period of time?
18	MR. NIXON: No, sir.
19	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mrs. Jones?
20	MRS. JONES: No, sir.
21	MR. STROUD: You, sir?
22	MR. LEE: No, sir.
23	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mr. Devane?
24	
25	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mrs. Watkins?

1	MRS. WATKINS: No, sir.
2	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Bland, do you have any That you
3	are aware of, do you have any friends or relatives or associates
4	who have any particular feeling or attitude about these cases
5	that they have expressed to you?
6	MRS. BLAND: How is that?
7	MR. STROUD: Have any ofyour friends or relatives
8	expressed any particular attitude about these cases or defen-
9	dants to you?
10	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
11	MR. STROUD: Do you feel that any of your friends or
12	relatives would criticize you or might criticize you for any
13	verdict that you might return in these cases?
14	MRS. BLAND: No, sir.
15	MR. STROUD: How about you, Mr. Nixon; have any of
16	your friends or associates or relatives expressed any attitude
17	or feeling about this case to you?
18	!
19	N VOII
2	suitable might hold/up to criticism for any
2	verdict that you might return in this case?
2	MR. NIXON: No, sir.
2	MR. STROUD: Mrs. Jones, how about you?
2	MRS. JONES: No, sir.
2	MR. STROUD: None of your friends have expressed an
	11

1	attitude or feeling about the charges or the defendants to you?	5
2	MRS. JONES: No, sir.	
3	MR. STROUD: And you have - you don't have any feeling	
4	they might in any way criticize you	
5	MRS. JONES: No, sir.	
6	MR. STROUD:for the verdict that you might	
7	return?	
8	MRS. JONES: No, sir.	
. 9	MR. STROUD: Mr. Lee, how about you, sir?	.
10	MR. LEE: No, sir.	
11	MR. STROUD: None of your friends have expressed an	
12	attitude or feeling about the cases or the defendants to you?	
13	MR. LEE: No, sir.	
14	MR. STROUD: Do you at this time have such an Do	
15	you have an attitude or feeling about the defendants or cases?	
16	MR. LEE: No, sir.	
17	MR. STROUD: Do you feel that your relatives or friend	₫₽
18	or associates or that any of them might in any way hold you up	
19	to criticism for any verdict that you might return in these	
20	cases?	
21	MR. LEE: No, sir.	
22	MR. STROUD: Mr. Devane, have any of your friends or	
23	associates or relatives expressed an attitude or a feeling abou	ıt
24	these particular defendants or these cases to you?	
25	MR. DEVANE: No, they haven't expressed it to me, but	:

graduation and the second seco

you know Mr. Nixon back here have any effect upon your deliberation

		150.
1	or decision in this case?	
2	MR. KENNEDY: No, sir.	_
	MR. STROUD: Do you feel that any feeling or attitude	
4	after you have heard the evidence and you go into the jury room	
5	liberations, do you feel like any attitude of	
	have at that time may influence nim of any are	1
. 6	that he might have at that time could initiate the	
7	or feeling that he may MR. KENNEDY: Well, I can tell you whether his atti-	
. 8	tude would influence me, but I don't know whether my attitude	
. 9		
10	would influence him.	
11	MR. STROUD: Okay. How about his attitude?	
12	MR. KENNEDY: Well, his wouldn't influence me at all. MR. STROUD: In other words, you would reach a person	nal
13	MR. STROUD: In other words, you would be	
14	decision?	
15	MR. KENNEDY: That's right; a personal decision.	
16	MR. STROUD: Would anything that any of the jurors	٠ اد
17	said to you during the deliberations, would that in any way	
18	a-gigion?	
1	MP KENNEDY: No, sir.	
	THE COURT: Objection sustained to that. Approach	
	the bench a minute.	
	ampoun. Ves. sir.	-
	(COUNSEL CONFER WITH THE COURT AT THE BENCH.)	
	mur court. Members of the jury panel, we are about	: to
	24 THE COOKI. Header 25 recess for the noon-day period. Please observe the admonition	ons
	25 recess for the noon-day period.	
	D CDWARDS	

I have told you about in this case. Take a recess until two-fifteen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(LUNCHEON RECESS. THE DEFENDANTS IN CUSTODY TAKE THEIR SEATS IN OPEN COURT. MR. ALLEN COBB IS AT STATE'S TABLE.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, the assistant solicitor, Mr. Stroud, has been under some health difficulties both yesterday and today, so he advised the Court. His temperature has risen this afternoon, and he is feeling much worse. And he and Mr. Cobb, who is the district solicitor, have requested - and counsel for the defendants have agreed - that it would be proper to recess this court until Monday morning to afford him an opportunity to recover. The Court has decided that such would be in order, so we are going to take a recess until Monday morning at ten o'clock. Now, those who have been - I don't know whether there are any remaining in the court room of the jury panel who have been called into the box and examined and excused - probably there are none - they need not return The others of you, of the group that came in yesterday, at all. must return Monday at ten o'clock, together with those who are presently in the box. Now, I have previously admonished you concerning any attempts on your part to inform yourselves about these cases. You should make every attempt to remain - or, let your knowledge of the cases be confined to that which you already have and to avoid reading newspaper accounts or listening to other news media, radio or television, concerning these

You should also avoid talking to people about these cases. cases or permitting other people to talk to you about it until it be determined whether you will in fact be selected on the This one point I have not previously mentioned, and that is your communication with any of the lawyers involved in the case. Under their code of ethics they are not permitted to talk with jurors or prospective jurors outside of the regular questioning in the court, so that if you attempt to talk to them, most - and I believe all - would decline to do so. You might misunderstand that, and you are to understand that it is their duty not to talk to you and it is your duty not to seek to hold communications with them, and otherwise hold yourself in a position where you can be fair and unprejudiced and unbiased with an open mind in the event that you should be selected on this jury. Please observe those admonitions scrupulously between now and Monday morning. Is there anything further you think I should say at this point?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FERGUSON: May I approach the bench one moment?

(MR. FERGUSON CONFERS WITH THE COURT AT THE BENCH.)

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sheriff, take a recess
until Monday morning at ten o'clock.

(AFTER COURT RECESSED AT THE CONCLUSION OF THESE PROCEEDINGS ON MONDAY, JUNE 12, 1972, THE COURT DICTATED THE FOLLOWING TO THE COURT REPORTER FOR INCLUSION IN THE RECORD AT THIS POINT:)

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Let the record show Mr. Ferguson made a motion on Friday afternoon for reduction of bond; motion denied. JUNE 12, 1972:

(THE COURT CONFERS WITH COUNSEL IN CHAMBERS PRIOR TO THE OPENING OF COURT. THE DEFENDANTS IN CUSTODY TAKE THEIR SEATS IN OPEN COURT. JURORS SUMMONED FOR THIS DAY CALLED TO DETERMINE IF PRESENT, BUT THE COURT DID NOT HAVE ANY OF THEM SWORN IN AT THIS TIME.)

MR. COBB: If it please the court and your Honor, we were here Friday afternoon and as your Honor and other people here know, Mr. Stroud, who was working this case, at that time had fever of 103 and had some intestinal - or some virus or I requested your Honor at that time to recess, other infection. and with the consent of Mr. Ferguson and the other lawyers here, this was done until today in the hope that Mr. Stroud would be able to get the rest and medication over the weekend to get himself in shape to be back here today. Now, during the weekend, on Saturday and Sunday, I talked with your Honor and I also talked with Mr. Stroud at length on both days and last night and this morning also. Mr. Stroud has been to the hospital twice over the weekend to get some kind of glucose or other intravenous injections in hopes that he might - the doctors hope might correct the situation which existed at that time. I have been advised by his wife, and your Honor, I checked with the doctor, and it appears that his condition has worsened; and

he was reluctant and refused to go to the hospital and - not refused, but wanted to hold off going to the hospital if at all possible that he could get over this illness and by this morning I have been advised this morning by him and it has be here. been verified from the medical - Dr. Shupin, in Wilmington, who is treating him for this, his condition has not improved but if anything it has worsened, and at this time Dr. Shupin has told him last night that if his condition did not improve itself by this morning that it would be necessary for him to/admitted to the hospital for at least three or four days or more to run tests or other treatment that might indicate or find out what the cause of his illness is; and at this time I take it he is in the hospital or if not he will be admitted shortly. Honor please, as you well know this office which we have has four people in it. We have New Hanover and Pender Counties, and we have a lot of double sessions of court just about all the time. As cases come into the office they are assigned to various people to investigate it with the idea of trying them later; if we didn't do this we never would make any progress If all four people in the office investigated every whatsoever. case, then we would investigate a fourth as many cases as we Operating on this procedure, and I presume this is the procedure operated by other solicitors and also by people who defend cases, they assign various cases to various members of their firm. When this case developed Mr. Stroud was assigned

1

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this case, and he has made an exhaustive and extensive investigation and research into this matter for the last three or four months. The other members or the people in our office have a vague familiarity with it and have talked to him from time to time in general terms, your Honor, but as far as the details and the facts of the case, we do not have them. And based on his illness at this time and his admission to the hospital, as much as I regret to have to do so, I respectfully request and make a motion that this case — a mistrial be declared due to his illness and that a mistrial be ordered and the case set for trial at a later special or regular term of court here.

THE COURT: Gentlemen for the defendants?

MR. HUNOVAL: Your Honor, for the record, on behalf of my client, Mrs. Ann Shepard, I would like to state that I was and am -- Strike that last part -- was -- Strike that sentence. Your Honor, I would just like to state that I hope that Mr. Stroud has a full and speedy recovery. I am saddened to hear that he was and is ill. I would like to formally, on behalf of my client, object to the granting of the motion for mistrial if it is granted, and if it is granted I would like to state for the record and address a remark to the people of Pender County who have demonstrated a willingness to come into court and to afford my client her constitutional right to assure her that the people of Pender County who have been examined that they will guarantee her her constitutional right of a presumption

I would like to further state that I thank the of innocence. people of Pender County for all the courtesies afford me and my client during this past week. Thank you, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: All right. Gentlemen? 4 Your Honor, I would like to be heard. MR. FERGUSON: 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

24

25

4.18

If your Honor pleases, it goes without MR. BALLANCE: saying that the incident that brings us to this point is, of course, regretable on everyone's part. We would not, of course, object to any situation with reference to the illness of Mr. Stroud. We do feel, however, on behalf of the interest of the people we represent that it would be appropriate that we enter an objection for the record to the motion made by Mr. Cobb. To reiterate very briefly the remarks made by Mr. Hunoval, I came into/Pender County Court House for the first time - I 14 believe I had been through this county on one occasion prior to 15 last week but I had not stopped - and I can say personally I've 16 been afforded a great degree of hospitality since I've been 17 We have hoped and we have seen as we proceeded along 18 with the trial of these cases especially as far as the jury is 19 concerned, and on behalf of our clients we would certainly feel 20 it is necessary to interpose an objection to the motion as made, 21 although I say again we are very concerned about the condition 22 of Mr. Stroud, and we know that Mr. Cobb would not have made 23 this motion had he not felt that it was necessary.

MR. FERGUSON: If your Honor please, I would just like

to take a moment to second the remarks made by Mr. Ballance and to state that on behalf of the ten defendants represented by Mr. Harmon, Mr. Ballance, and myself, we do object to a mistrial being declared at this time. We understand, of course, the position of the state and that the state might have some difficulty in going forward in the light of Mr. Stroud's illness. We certainly don't want to be misunderstood as trying to take unfair advantage of the state by objecting to a mistrial, but we do represent ten persons here and our responsibility is primarily to them. Just by way of background, when these cases were initially scheduled for trial on the 1st of May of this year, the defendants sought to obtain a delay in the trial in an effort to prepare for trial because of prior involvements of counsel; we were successful in that effort. Since that time we have devoted most considerable time to preparing ourselves for this trial although we've had to operate under harship circumstances due to the fact that the defendants have been incarcerated in jail and prison in various places in the state. We feel that at this point with the defendants having invested a considerable degree of time in the preparation of the case and having invested a week of trial time in this case, that the defendants will be prejudiced by a mistrial both in terms of defraying the costs of this trial. They have had considerable expense in securing counsel for the week that has passed, and of course, who knows but at some point later this case may be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. COBB: No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Of course, these facts were brought to THE COURT: the attention of the Court early this morning. The Court has been in the process of concerning itself with these facts and attempting to inform itself as to the validity in all respects. I felt it necessary to speak directly to the physicians involved in the treatment of Mr. Stroud, and have talked personally with one of them, who communicated with the other physicians and thereafter he talked with me again concerning what the physicians in Wilmington say about Mr. Stroud's condition which is in substance that as related by Mr. Cobb. As has been stated, I felt that this trial was proceeding in an orderly, lawful way in an atmosphere of judicial calm that should prevail in all cases. It is regrettable, very much so, that any situation has arisen which would interfer with the continuance under those conditions. I have been extremely desirous in the interest of the administration of justice and in the interest of cost and expense to the defendants and the expense and cost to the taxpayers that this trial should proceed, because we have devoted a full week in court, to say nothing of the countless hours expended by the attorneys for the defendants and by Mr. Stroud and others of the solicitor's office. All of those things add to the feeling that the trial should proceed to conclusion if at all possible, and all of those things make it more regrettable if the trial should not proceed.

But I have sought to weigh the considerations on both sides in the interest of the public and in the interest of the defendants and have come to the conclusion that I have no reasonable alternative to declaring a mistrial and consequent postponement at this time, unfortunate as I regard it. Therefore, I shall allow the motion, and an appropriate order will be entered in the record allowing the motion and indicating that counsel for all defendants desire that the record should show an objection.

MR. FERGUSON: Yes, sir.

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want to at this time express my appre-THE COURT: ciation, personal appreciation, as well as on behalf of the office I represent for the conduct of court officials, including all attorneys involved; they are all officers of the court. Likewise, for the conduct and courtesies of all people who have been within the court room and within the vicinity during the I believe it reflects credit upon all of those past week. named, and it reflects credit upon the people of Pender County for the attitude and general conduct which they have exhibited. It is to be hoped that if and when this trial is recessed that those conditions can prevail at that time as they have these past few days. I believe that you have set an example worthy of emulation by other people, other people in other places. want also to express my appreciation to all of you who have been present in the jury panel. You have come when called, ready and willing to render service in this respect and indicated

25

an ability to render this service. I speak to those who came last week and also to the new people who came upon call this morning. Of course, had this been foreseen, you people who came this morning would not have been called, but you are here and although it is apparent that your services will not be needed, you may return to your usual activities and it will not be necessary for the Court to hear those of you who may or may not have had adequate reason why you should be excused. want to express also the Court's appreciation for what the Court regards as proper and efficient service of the law enforcement agencies from the Pender County Sheriff's Office, and also those who were asked to come im connection with this trial from New Hanover County, and also for the presence of members of the North Carolina Highway Patrol. It is always regrettable that security measures make it desirable that all possible eventualities be provided for. I do not believe that there was any -- I do not believe now that there was any necessity for the additional steps for security in view of the conduct that has been exhibited. I felt that that would be the case, but I did not know it; I hope that all understand that the situation was such that all of these people are entitled to commendation for their conduct, for the efficient and courteous way that they have conducted themselves in the performance of their duties. The decision having been made to grant the motion as stated, there remains now no further

business for the Court. Mr. Sheriff..... 1 MR. COBB: If your Honor please, would you let the 2 record show the jury had not been - at the time the mistrial 3 was granted had not been empanelled; I don't think it is in the 4 5 record. THE COURT: Let the record show that as of this time 6 no jury had been empanelled for the trial of the cases. All 7 Mr. Sheriff, take an adjournment sine die. 8 (COURT IS ADJOURNED SINE DIE. THE COURT DICTATED 9 AN ORDER TO THE COURT REPORTER ALLOWING THE MOTION FOR MISTRIAL 10 AND SHOWING DEFENDANTS' OBJECTION AND EXCEPTION THERETO, WHICH 11 ORDER IS A PART OF THE ORIGINAL COURT FILE. THE FOLLOWING DAY, 12 TUESDAY, JUNE 13, 1972, A HEARING WAS HELD IN THE NEW HANOVER 13 COUNTY COURT HOUSE REGARDING REDUCTION OF BOND AS TO CERTAIN 14 DEFENDANTS, WHICH HEARING WAS NOT REQUESTED TO BE TRANSCRIBED 15 AS A PART OF THIS TRANSCRIPT. AN ORDER APPEARS IN THE COURT 16 FILE AS TO THE COURT'S RULING AT THE CONCLUSION OF THE HEARING.) 17 18 19 20

TAKEN AND TRANSCRIBED:

SYLVIA P. Edwards

Official Superior Court Reporter Route 5, Box 272 Whiteville, North Carolina 28472

25

24

21

22

23