BY MR. ALFORD:

Yes sir.

BY THE COURT:

Yes sir, and it stands overruled.

## BY MR. OWEN:

- Q. Now, will you tell the Court and Jury just what you did?
  - On the morning of August the 4th, 1964, approximately ten miles southwest of Philadelphia,

    Mississippi on Mississippi Highway 21, I was stationed there at approximately 7:40 in the morning for the purpose of meeting a convoy of heavy earth moving equipment which was proceeding from Jackson, Mississippi. The convoy arrived and I proceeded then to the point where this photograph, a point immediately adjacent to this photograph in the area of this photograph and at 8:15 thatmorning, I ordered and directed this convoy on this property which is reflected in this photograph.
- Q. Now, what did you do on that property?
- A. I proceeded to the dam site which was located back off of Highway 21 and caused the dam to be opened up with the use of this equipment and located three bodies

•	1		Q.	Is that which you described in that picture the
2	2			dam which you located?
,	3		A.	Yes.
	4		Q.	Did you take the picture?
	5		A.	I did.
	6	BY THE	COUF	CT:
	7		Dic	you take that picture?
	8	BY THE	WIT	1ESS:
	9		I	did, Your Honor.
1	10	BY THE		
	11		Is	it an accurate representation of the subject matter
	12		of	the picture?
	13	BY THE	: WIT	ness:
	14		It	: is.
	15	BY MR.		
	16			our Honor please we would interpose an objection
	17		t1	the introduction of this photograph.
	18	BY TH		
	19		I	'll overrule your objection and let it be entered and
	20			arked.
	21		(	Whereupon exhibit entered into evidence and marked
	22			P-30)
	23	BY MI	R. OV	
	24		(	Q. If you would look at this exhibit 9 over here
	25			can you identify on that exhibit where that

1 location is? 2 Yes I can. Staying where you are, describe to us where it is. 3 Q. Coming west out of Philadelphia, Mississippi on 4 the highway leading out which I believe is Highway 5 19, I can't read it from here, there are two 6 green house like markers up there, immediately to 7 the left of the left most green marker on the map 8 is a road that turns south which is highway 21, 9 immediately below where it turns south there is 10 a brown road leading to the right. In the area 11 of the left of the intersection of that brown 12 road and about a half mile into the area to the 13 left or west of highway 21 is the general area 14 where the dam is located. 15 16 May the witness put a marker on the map? Q. 17 BY THE COURT: 18 Yes sir. 19 BY MR. OWEN: May the witness write the word dam on the marker? 20 21 BY THE COURT: All right. Are all of the jurors staying here in 22 Meridian at night? How many of you who go home live 23 24 further than fifty miles?

25

BY A JUROR:

```
Eight-five miles.
1
    BY THE COURT:
2
            Where is that?
3
    BY THE JUROR:
4
            Brandon.
5
    BY A JUROR:
6
            I live about 160 miles.
7
    BY THE COURT:
8
        Where is that?
    BY THE JUROR:
10
            Moss Boint.
11
    BY THE COURT:
12
            And you go home every night?
13
    BY THE JUROR:
14
            No sir.
15
    BY THE COURT:
16
            That was my question as to the ones who went home
17
            every night. And you do go to Brandon every night?
18
    BY MR. ALFORD:
19
            If it please the Court, we interpose the same objection
20
            that we did this morning as they are not using the
21
            same colored markers that they started out with.
22
    BY THE COURT:
23
            All right, I'll overrule that objection.'
24
    BY MR. OWEN:
```

1	Q. I hand you this photograph and ask you if you
2	recognize it?
3	A. I do.
4	Q. Would you describe it?
5	A. This is a photograph of the actual dam in which
6	the three bodies were located.
7	Q. Do you know whether or not that was taken before
8	or after the bodies were located?
9	A. It was taken before.
10	Q. What day?
11	A. It would have been on the morning of August 4,
12	1964.
13	Q. Is that a fair representation of that dam on
14	the morning of August 4, 1964?
15	A. It is.
16	Q. I would like to have it marked and entered into
17	evidence.
18	BY MR. ALFORD:
19	Your Honor we would interpose an objection.
20	
21	I'll overrule your objection and let it be entered
22	
23	(Whereupon exhibit entered into evidence and marked
24	P-31)

1	Q.	I hand you another photograph and ask if you
2		recognize that one?
3	A.	I do.
4	Q.	Would you describe it?
5	A.	Its a photograph taken at the dam at the onset
6		of the digging operation as it occurred on
7		August 4, 1964.
8	Q.	Is that a fair and accurate representation of the
9		early, uh, when the digging started on that day?
10	A.	It is.
11	Q.	I would like to have it marked and introduced into
12		evidence.
13	BY THE CO	URT:
14	Y	ou may hold these pictures up to the jury if you
15	W	ant them to see them Counsel from time to time.
16	BY MR. AL	FORD:
17	I	f the Court please, we would interpose an objection
18	i	f the Court please.
19	BY THE CC	OURT:
20	I	I'll overrule your objection and let it be entered
21	ε	and marked.
22	. (	(Whereupon exhibit entered into evidence and marked
23		P-32)
24	BY MR. O	WEN:
25		Q. I hand you another photograph and ask if you

1		recognize that?
2		A. I do.
3		Q. And what is that?
4		A. Yes, this is a photograph of a hole we excavated
5		in the dam on the morning of August 4, 1964.
6		Q. And is that a fair and accurate representation of
7		that location on that day?
8		A. Yes, it is.
9		Q. We would offer that into evidence also.
10	BY MR.	ALFORD:
11		If it please the Court, we interpose an objection
12		to that photograph.
13	BY THE	COURT:
14		Allright and I'll overule your objection and let it
15		be entered and marked.
16		(Whereupon exhibit entered into evidence and marked
17	ı	P-33)
18	BY MR.	OWEN:
19		Q. I hand you these other photographs and ask
20		you if you recognize those as to what you did
21		that day in connection with the recovery of thos
22		bodies.
23		A. Yes, this is a series of seven photographs that
24		were taken during the exhumation of the three
	1	

of what we say that day. 1 I would like to offer each one of them and have 2 them introduced and marked and then handed to the 3 witness for questioning. 4 BY THE COURT: 5 Is the order in which you handed them to him of any 6 7 importance? 8 BY MR. OWEN: They are in sequence, Your Honor. 9 BY THE COURT: 10 Keep those in sequence and hand them to Counsel for 11 examination, and then be sure they are like you want 12 13 them. 14 BY MR. ALFORD: If the Court please, we would like to ask that the 15 16 jury be retired. 17 BY MR. BUCKLEY: We have an objection to make, Your Honor, and it 18 would be futile to make it in the presence of the 19 jury in other words the basis of our objection would 20 21 be futile. 22 BY THE COURT: Well, you have one other matter that I am going to 23

let you make a proffer about and I'm going to take

Does Counsel understand

that matter with the Court at that time, so you made an objection to the introduction of each one 2 of those photographs? 3 BY MR. ALFORD: 4 If Your Honor please that's the objection that we 5 would like to raise out of the presence of the jury 6 these seven last pictures before they are passed 7 around. 8 BY THE COURT: 9 Let me see those. You mean a different objection 10 from the ones that you have been previously making? 11 BYMR. ALFORD: 12 13 All, yes sir. BY THE COURT: 14 All right, I'll let the jury go to their room. 15 (Whereupon jury excused from the courtroom at 3:05) 16 FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS HELD IN ABSENCE OF JURY: 17 18 BY THE COURT: I want to say this for the benefit of Counsel for 19 these defendants. Your defendants can move in and 20 out of the courtroom if they wish to do so, I'm not 21 going to try and have the Marshal police them but 22 when they leave this courtroom they are waiving their 23 right and irrepably so, otherwise I'm going to have 24

a different rule about it.

that all right, because I've noticed them going in and out and I haven't said anything about it but I want to be sure there is no point made about them not being present at all times during every stategic thing that happens in this lawsuit.

## BY MR. WATKINS:

Your Honor please, the only comment that I, as Counsel for Mr. Frank Herndon is, Your Honor please, is that my client's leaving the courtroom is not necessarily volunteer, as Your Honor has already listened to his medical evidence.

#### BY THE COURT:

Well, I really wasn't talking about him.

## BY MR. WEIR:

Your Honor please, with reference to the attorneys whenever we have associate counsel representing the same defendants can the other counsel be outside conferring as long as we have a representative inside the courtroom while the trial is going on?

#### BY THE COURT:

I don't believe so because as you will remember the defendants invoked the rule and I don't believe that's proper at all and the rule is invoked for counsel to be shuttling in and out of the courtroom because where there is anything that actually becomes or not

it certainly gives the appearance and certainly creates a possibility if not a probability of passing on something that is being said in this courtroom which would be a violation of the rule.

## BY MR. ALFORD:

Yes, Your Honor, and we understand that. The only question was since there are several defendants in here and when our turn comes to present our defense some Counsel might be out getting witnesses lined up in order to save the time for another defendant other than the one putting on his defenses is what Mr. Weir has reference to.

# BY THE COURT:

I knew what he was talking about. Of course, the Marshal is going to get those lined up and he is going to keep them lined up as he does the government' witness so Counsel is not going to have any responsibility as to that but you gentlemen invoked the rule and I think I should enforce it strictly.

#### BY MR. WEIR:

Your Honor please if we left for some other purpose would that be permissible?

### BY THE COURT:

Yes. All right, you say you want something to go on record?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

BY MR. WATKINS:

are seven in number.

That is correct, Your Honor, this objection is pointed to those photographs that purportedly contain a gruesome representation of allegedly dead bodies. We respectfully submit that these photographs if they have any probovative value tends toward charges not made here and charges on which these parties are not being tried and because of that, such gruesome picture would be so highly inflmanatory that it would be so prejudicial that it would violate the right and due Its not necessary at all process of a fair trial. to introduce those pictures in order to establish the death as alleged, that they are not the best evidence of establishing that point, they are used solely to prejudice the jury against the defendants if they thought they might be connected to an alleged murder of some kind.

I believe it is respect to these photographs there

BY THE COURT:

Well I don't think the Court should tell either side what evidence to put on or how to marshal their evidence and to make their proof in the most convincing fashion, this does present some gruesome details but I don't believe that would necessarily

19

1.00

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

spell out the contentions which you make that 1 it shouldn't be offered into evidence. 2 while I am addressing myself to this point that I 3 think there should be a limit to that. I under-4 stood that the government had some other evidence 5 that I'm not sure about its necessity nor its 6 advisability nor its propriety of being offered, 7 but we'll get to that and I'll overrule your 8 objection on the point. Is that what you had in 9 mind, Mr. Alford? 10 BY MR. ALFORD: 11 Yes sir, on the grounds that it would be pre-12 judicial to the defendants and I would like to 13 interpose an objection to the introduction of 14 these pictures along with the introduction of 15 other pictures that we previously objected to on 16 the grounds that they were obtained from an 17 illegal search which I made a continuing objection 18 BY THE COURT: 19 Yes sir. 20 BY MR. ALFORD: 21 And I move to exclude them on that ground. 22 BY THE COURT: 23 I believe Judge Russell and I have agreed on that 24

without conferring on the point and we reached

the same conclusion that it was not an illegal search and I believe that is correct and I'll overrule your objection and do you want Mr.

Alford at this point to make the proffer that you said you wanted to earlier today? I'll

let you make that now.

# BY MR. PIGFORD:

Your Honor before you do that, would you allow us to make this further motion that if the Court allows these photographs to be introduced, the seven that have been admitted, the government could introduce one of those photographs instead of seven of them and we further suggest that the government can prove what it needs to prove without the proof of the death of these people without this type of evidence.

# BY THE COURT:

Well I don't think I should tell you or the government either about what evidence you should use. I don't believe this is so accumulative, I don't believe it is so inflammatory as to be unfair to show a condition as it actually existed and if its that bad and if anything is wrong with what is shown I'll hear you about that but what is shown is accurate and proper if its that bad I see no propriety in the Court trying to suppress some it, so I'll overrule

your objection.

# BY MR. PIGFORD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have one further ground for our objection. We object to it being introduced unless there is evidence as to who these bodies were, there has been no identification of them they are just showing three bodies there, and we think it is improper because it has not been connected with this case to allow introduction of these bodies.

### BY THE COURT:

Well of course, if the government rested at this point they probably would be in trouble but they haven't rested yet so we will just await that time so I'll overrule your objection and invite your apprehension about what a jury might inter what has been shown by these photographs they will be told very carefully and very distinctly and I hope understandingly what these people are being tried for. Al] of these instructions will be considered together and I'll invite instructions from the defendants and the government too and I do now invite those instructions as soon as you can give them to me, I don't need too many but I would like to have some in your own langua; that you would like to have told the jury, just exact: what von want me to say to the inry in your language

But I would like to have that and I invite that. 1 2 as soon as I can get them so I'll have some opportunit to study them but that will come after the arguments. 3 I now invite you Mr. Alford to make your proffer. BY MR. ALFORD: 5 If the Court please, I would like to ask the Clerk to 6 7 let me have those affidavits and those indictments. BY THE COURT: 8 9 I beliee since we have concluded about these seven 10 photographs that I will overrule these objections and 11 let these seven photographs in the order which they 12 are handed to the Clerk be marked and they may be 13 shown generally to the jury like we have shown them 14 to the rest of them. 15 (Whereupon exhibits entered into evidence and marked P-34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, & 40) 16 17 BY MR. ALFORD: 18 May I proceed now, Your Honor. 19 BY THE COURT. 20 Yes, just state in the record what you would prove 21 if you were allowed to do so. 22 BY MR. ALFORD: 23 Comes now the defendants, Cecil Ray Price, Olen Lavell 24 Burrage, Edgar Ray Killen, Billy Wayne Posey, Jerry

24

25

McGrew Sharpe, and E. G. Hop Barnett, and would show unto the Court if allowed to prove evidence on the 4th day of December, 1964 Mr. John Proctor, Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation did make a sworn complaint in violation of United States Code 18, Section 241 wherein among others the names Jimmy Lee Townsend, Otha Neal Burkes and Oliver Richard Warren, Jr., chæ ging them substantially with the same offense to which these defendants are now standing indicted and being tried, less and for the difference that Mr. Otha Neal Burkes, Mr. Jimmy Lee Townsend, and Mr. Oliver Richard Warren, Jr. are not now charged with this offense and further in addition to these parties named here Mr. E. G. Barnett is now charged and also Mr. Richard Andrew Willis is also now charged, which is an inconsistency on the part of Mr. John Proctor, the Special Agent in charge. Further that there appears two indictments being number 5215 and 5216 in the Southern District Court of the Southern District of Mississippi Eastern Division, the indictment charges a violation of 18 United States Code, Section 241 and 18 United States Code, Section 2242 and 271 wherein these defendants are charged with an offense and indicted thereunder which does not include Mr. Otha Neal

つに

Burkes or Mr. Oliver Richard Warren, but does include
Mr. Richard Andrew Willis showing an inconsistency
and said indictments having later been dismissed and
another indictment brought which is numbered 5291
wherein Jimmy Lee Townsend has been omitted and E. G.
Barnett has been added thereto which is an inconsistence

BY THE COURT:

Are you claiming that the government is inconsistent or the witness would have been consistent or both?

BY MR. ALFORD:

Both.

BY MR. PIGFORD:

The defendant Akins joins in with this proffer, Your Honor.

# BY THE COURT:

Well all of you join in under the rule that I have stated in the record unless you disassociate yourself. Gentlemen, I believe my original ruling is correct. See no inconsistency there, I see certainly nothing that a witness could be impeached by by what he said in making a complaint and by what a grand jury did, he wouldn't have much control over that, and now having understood your objection and understanding your proffer, my decision is the same that this testimony would be incompentent and is rejected.

1	Anything further in the absence of the july.
2	BY MR. ALFORD:
3	Your Honor please, I understand this witness is still
4	not finished under direct examination, therfore, we
5	still reserve our right for a continuing objection
6	to all of this.
7	BY THE COURT:
8	Oh yes, I thought since the jury was out if you had
9	anything else?
10	BY COUNSEL:
11	Oh, no sir.
12	BY THE COURT:
13	All right, we'll take about a ten minute recess and
14	reconvene in here at 3:30.
15	AFTER RECESS:
16	BY MR. OWEN:
17	Q. Mr. Cochran, if you will identify those by
18	exhibit number and hold them up and explain
19	briefly the recovery of those bodies?
20	BY MR. PAGFORD:
21	May it please the Court we would renew our objection
22	to these photographs in the presence of the jury.
23	DY MIE COIDT.
2	All might have they been entered into evidence and
2	

BY MR. OWEN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, Your Honor.

BY THE COURT:

I'll overrule your objection and I don't think you need to explain too much, I believe the pictures are pretty clear it seems to me.

BY MR. OWEN:

Just briefly, Your Honor.

BY THE COURT:

All right, you may proceed.

BY THE WITNESS:

Exhibit 32 is a photograph of the dam site looking on the south side of the dam showing the heavy equipment in place prior to the beginning of the Exhibit 33 shows the pit that was opened digging. up in connection with the exhuming of these bodies and it was taken at a time just following the using of the heavy equipment where we began to dig by the use of hand instruments. Exhibit 34 is another view in the pit from the top showing the agents removing the soil in the area of the Exhibit 35 is a photograph of the bodies. boots of the first body that we uncovered, this is the point at which we began to utilize hand equipment. Exhibit 36 is a photograph of the

first body that was uncovered. Exhibit 37 is a photograph of all three bodies after they had been 2 uncovered and prior to moving them. Exhibit 38 3 is a photograph of the first body as it was placed 4 in the body bag prior to transporting it to 5 Jackson, Mississippi. 6 BY MR. WATKINS: 7 Now if Your Honor please for the record on behalf 8 of the defendants we respectfully move the Court for a mistrial in this case for the reasons that 10 the gruesome evidence exhibited to the jury has 11 not been connected in this case as relating to 12 any party in he indictment and for the further 13 reasons that were assigned to Your Honor in the 14 absence of the jury. 15 BY THE COURT: 16 All right, your objection is overruled. 17 BY MR. OWEN: 18 In connection with that exhibit, with reference 19 to the first body, did you make any effort to 20 determine whether there was any identification 21 on that body? 22 I did. 23 What did you do? Q. 24 When the body was uncovered it was obvious 25

1	according to the body's position that is it was
2	lying on its stomach and in his left hip pocket
3	there was a billfold.
4	Q. What did you do?
5	A. I removed the billfold and examined the Selective
6	Service Card therein.
7	Q. Do you have that with you now?
8	A. I do.
9	Q. Would you describe that?
10	A. Its the Selective Service card of Michael Henry
11	Schwerner, Selective Service Number 30-10-39-1172
12	Q. Is that the card that you removed from the first
13	body?
14	A. It is.
15	Q. I would like to have that marked and entered
16	into evidence.
17	BY MR. ALFORD:
18	If the Court please we would interpose an objection
19	to the introduction of that exhibit, that card, on
20	the grounds of the illegal search and for the furthe
21	grounds there has been no connection.
22	BY THE COURT:
23	I'll overruled the objection and let it be entered
24	andmarked.
25	(Whereupon exhibit entered into evidence and marked

_			
1	,	P-4	L)
2	BY MR.	OWEN	•
3		Q.	Take the next photograph.
4		A.	Exhibit 39 is a photograph of the second body
5			as it was placed on the body bag for sealing
6			and transporting the body to Jackson, Mississippi
7		Q.	Did you make any effort in connection with that
8			body to establish anyidentification for it?
9		A.	Yes.
10		Q.	What did you do?
11		· A.	Again this body was lying face down adjacent to
12			the body identified as Michael Henry Schwerner
13			and in the right hip pocket of the trousers on
14			this body was a billfold containing a Selective
15			Service Card.
16		Q.	Where is that card?
17		A.	I have it right here.
18		Q.	Would you describe that?
19		Α.	It is a Selective Service Card of Andrew Goodman
20	)		Selective Service number 50-13-43-568.
21		Q.	Is that the card that you examined that you
22	2		found in the billfold of the second body?
23	3	A.	Yes, it is.
2	4	Q.	I would like to makk it and introduce it into

1	BY MR.	ALFORD:
2		Defendants would like to raise the same objection.
3	BY THE	COURT:
4		Overruled. Let it be entered and be marked.
5		(Whereupon exhibit entered into evidence and marked
6		P-42)
7	BY MR.	OWEN:
8		Q. I would like for those to be shown to thejury.
9	BY THE	COURT:
10		Well they are just Selective Service cards, just
11		hold them up they are like all other cards. All
12		right.
13	BY MR.	OWEN:
14		Q. You may go on.
15		A. Exhibit 40 is the photograph of the third body
16		as it was placed on the body bag prior to sealing
17		and removal to Jackson, Mississippi.
18		Q. Now, after you completed the recovery, what did
19		you do?
20		A. I notified Inspector Sullivan that we had com-
21		pleted the exhumation and had gone as far as we
22		could go, at that time.
23		Q. Were the bodies removed?
24		A. The bodies were undisturbed.
25		Q. What did you do then?

_			
1		A.	I waited the arrival of the Neshoba County
2			Coroner.
3		Q.	Did he arrive?
4		A.	He did.
5		Q.	Then what happened?
6		A.	He arrived and was there for approximately thirty
7			minutes arriving at sometime around 8:00 P. M.
8			that evening and left around 8:30 or 8:35, he
9			returned with a hearse and we proceeded then to
10			get persons to move the bodies loading them into
11			body bags, sealing them placing them in the back
12			of the hearseand to transport them to the
13			University of Mississippi Medical School Hospital
14			at Jackson.
15	,	Q.	Were you present during the autopsy of these
16			bodies?
17		A.	I was.
18		Q.	Who conducted the autopsy?
19		A.	The autopsy was conducted by Dr. William Feather
20			stone, a private practicing pathologist in
21			Jackson.
22		Q.	Who else was present, if you recall?
23		A.	Sheriff Rainey was present, Deputy Sheriff Price
24			was present, four additional agents with the

Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Neshoba

1		County Coroner was present, Dr. Featherstone,
2		Dr. Ruell May, an oral surgeon in Jackson, the
3		professor of the pathology department of the
4		University of Mississippi Medical School and
5		two of his assistance, and in addition there was
6		a photographer from the Mississippi Highway
7		Safety Patrol.
8		Q. Now in connection with the autopdy, did the
9		autopsy physician furnish you anything?
10		A. He did.
11		Q. What did he furnish you?
12		A. He furnished me with five bullets removed from
13		the three bodies, one from the body of Schwerner,
14		one from the body of Goodman, and three from the
15		third body.
16	BY MR.	HENDRICKS:
17		We object to that, Your Honor, he's only identified
18		two bodies.
19	BY THE	COURT:
20		Do you know who the third body was?
21	BY THE	WITNESS:
22		I do now, Your Honor.
23	ву тне	COURT:
24		Can you describe the third body?

1	- Image governo	The	third body was that of a negro male which was	
2		sub	sequently identified as James Chaney.	
3	BY MR.	WEIR		
4		We	object to him testifying unless he, himself knows	
5		tha	t was the body of that person or not.	
6	BY THE	COURT:		
7		I'l	l overrule your objection.	
8	BY MR.	OWEN		
9		Q.	Did he furnish you anything else?	
10		A.	Yes, he furnished the samples of skin tissue,	
11			from the chest area of each of the three decedents	
12			He furnished me with the clothing they were	
13			wearing, each of the three bodies.	
14		Q.	Was any of their clothing cut?	
15		A.	Yes.	
16		Q.	What?	
17		A.	The T-shirt that was on the third body, identified removed	
18			as Chaney was cut up the back, this was/prior to	
19			the autopsy. He also furnished me with the first	
20			joint of each of the three fingers of the bodies.	
21		Q.	For what purpose?	
22		A.	For the purpose of laboratory examination to	
23			identify possibly on the basis of fingerprints.	
24		Q.	What did you do with this material?	
0.5		Α.	This material was all maintained in the autppsy	

1	room until I could transport it upon the com-
2	pletion of the autopsy to the Jackson Office of
3	the Federal Bureau of Investigation where it was
4	sealed in packages and turned bver to Special
5	Agent Frederick Cook of the F. B. I.
6	Q. And when was that turned over to him?
7	A. Early on the morning of August 5, 1964.
8	Q. Now did you have any conversation with theoral
9	surgeon, Dr. May, who you mentioned was present?
10	A. I did.
11	Q. What was that conversation?
12	BY MR. WEIR:
13	I object to that.
14	BY MR. OWEN:
15	I'll withdraw it.
16	Q. Did you show him anything?
17	A I did.
18	Q. What did you show him?
19	A. I showed him two sets of dental charts.
20	Q. Do you have those with you?
21	A. I do.
22	Q. Would you identify those please?
23	A. The two items that I have in front of me are
24	the dental charts furnished me for Andrew Goodman
25	and Michael Schwerner.

What did you do with those charts? 1 Q. 2 BY MR. WEIR: 3 We object. 4 BY THE COURT: 5 Overruled. BY MR. OWEN: 6 7 What did you do with those charts? 8 I allowed Dr. May to examine them following the 9 completion of the charting of the dentention of the mouths of Schwerner and Goodman. 10 11 I would like to have those marked just for 12 identification at this time. 13 BY THE COURT: 14 Well, let's go along I don't think we need to 15 mark them for identification then offer them into 16 evidence. 17 BY MR. OWEN: 18 I'll offer them into evidence then Your Honor. 19 BY MR. PIGFORD: 20 Now Your Honor, we object this is a very serious 21 thing unless this witness can say they are accurate 22 as to the mouths of these particular people we don't 23 think it would be competent. 24 BY THE COURT:

I think you had better ask him a little bit more

1	about where he got them and what he knows about
2	them.
3	BY MR. OWEN:
4	Q. Where did you get those charts?
5	A. I got them from the New Work Office of the
6	Federal Bureau of Investigation.
7	Q. Do you know where they got them?
8	A. I do.
9	Q. Couldyou tell us where they got them?
10	BY MR. HENDRICKS:
11	We object to that as being hearsay.
12	BY THE COURT:
13	Do you know where they got them?
14	BY THE WITNESS:
15	Yes sir.
16	BY THE COURT:
17	Overruled.
18	BY THE WITNESS:
19	A. From the two dentists who prepared them. The
20	dentists of Schwerner and Goodman.
21	BY MR. HENDRICKS:
22	We still say that's hearsay Your Honor.
2,3	BY THE COURT:
24	Overrule the objection. He says he knows and I'm
25	accepting that.

# By MR. ALFORD;

Your Honor please, with reference to these charts this gentlemen says they were turned over to him by the New York office, which he says they secured from some dentist, and it was the office there, and this goes far afield in establishing the authencity of these two purported charts when the dentist who made these are not here for cross examination for us to find out, whose teeth they are and whose marks are on them, and this gentlemen here, even though he may be from the Federal Bureau of Investigation he says that he knows but all he knowsis the fact that he has these and we submit that these should not be in evidence as Mr. Schwerner has been in Mississippi since February.

#### BY THE COURT:

Do you agree with Counsel on the characterization of your source of knowledge?

## BY THE WITNESS:

I'm not sure, Your Honor, I know where they came from and I have other knowledge which convinces me of it.

#### BY THE COURT:

Is it your personal knowledge or is it knowledge that you got from some other source?

BY THE WITNESS:

```
Its knowledge from having met the two doctors.
BY THE COURT:
                               Did you talk with those two doctors about those charts
      BY THE WITNESS:
                                                  I did not but I was present during an interview with
                                        % care a them.
            THE COURT:
                              8 see a Lill overrule your objection.
                               9 ABY MR. WEIR: A STATE THE CONTROL OF THE CONTROL 
                                     10 Your Honor, may we have a continuing objection?
                                                   BY THE COURT:
                                                  Res Sir. Mes Sir.
 High that wein:
                                                  We would also like to move the gourt to grant us
                                                                                a mistrial.
                                  BY THE COURT:
                                                    All right, I'll overrule that.
                                                    BY MR. WEIR:
                                                                                Thank you.
                                  19
                                                       BY MR. OWEN:
                                           20
                                                                                              Did Dr. May give you anything?
                                           21
                                                                              A He did.
                                                                                              Do you have that here?
                                            23
                                                                                            I do.
                                                                                 Α.
                                            24
                                                                                               Excuse me, Your Honor, I would like to have those
                                                                                  Q.
                                            25
```

Then they would be the best evidence, Your Honor to introduce these matters. 2 BY THE COURT: 3 I think if he heard the doctor telling someone else 4 in his presence about the accuracy of these dental 5 charts, then I'll let him testify about them. 6 BY MR. ALFORD: 7 Your Honor, Mr. Owen hasn't asked him that question 8 yet. 9 BY THE COURT: 10 Well, he was trying to get the chart into evidence and 11 you objected to it, and I overruled your objection 12 and now I'm going to let them get in and he can start 13 asking him something, he can't ask but one question at 14 the time, but he can't do that very well when he gets 15 a lot of static. 16 BY THE COURT: 17 Those charts may be entered and be marked. 18 (Whereupon exhibits entered into evidence and 19 marked P-45 & P-46) 20 BY MR. OWEN: 21 Can you identify those documents? 22 These are the -----23 BY THE COURT: 24 First let Counsel see those charts for what they may

1	be worth. I doubt if any of us would know too much			
2	about dental charts.			
3	BY MR. OWEN:			
4	Q. Would you identify that document for us?			
5	A Yes, these are the original notes covering Dr.			
6	May's examination of the mouths of each of the			
7	three bodies.			
8	Q And did he furnish those to you?			
9	A. He gave these notes to me following the			
10	completion of the autppsy.			
11	Q. Your Honor I would like to have those marked			
12	for identification and then await Dr. May's			
13	testimony.			
14	BY THE COURT:			
15	All right, that may be marked for identification.			
16	How many pages are there?			
17	BY THE WITNESS:			
18	Three pages, Your Honor.			
19	BY THE COURT:			
20	All right, those three pages may be marked as one			
21	exhibit for identification.			
22	(Whereupon exhibit marked for identification as			
23	P-48)(P-46) P-47)			
24	BY MR. PIGFORD:			

Your Honor, is that for identification?

1	ВҮ	THE	COURT:
2			Yes, only for identification.
3	BY	MR.	OWEN:
4			Q. Now this document being handed to you is what?
5			A. In addition to those three sets of original notes
6			with a card attached to them which records the
7			identity of the assistant of Dr. May who trans-
8			cribed what Dr. May said to him at that time is
9			that pf this assistant and Dr. May then affixed
10			his initials or name to this card which showed
11			the identity and this individual was the one who
12			transcribed his notes.
13			Q. I would like to have that marked for identificati
14	BY	THE	COURT:
15			All right that may be marked for identification.
16			(Whereupon exhibit marked P-48 for identification)
17	ВУ	THE	COURT:
18			All right, you may cross examine the witness.
19	ВУ	MR.	PIGFORD:
20			May it please the Court.
21			CROSS EXAMINATION
22			Q. Mr. Cochran, or Agent Cochran, I believe you say
23			you were a Special Agent in service with the
24			Federal Bureau of Investigation?
25			A. That's correct.

1	A.	Inspector Jpseph Sullivan.
2	Q.	What date was it that you first went there to
3		look at this automobile.
4	<b>A.</b>	June 24th, 1964.
5	Q.	What time did you arrive there on June 24th, 1964?
6	<b>A.</b>	Approximately one or two o'clock in the afternoon.
7	Q.	Where was the station wagon when you arrived?
8	A.	It was locked inside a shed that was attached
9		or rather a part of Sokes Body Shop at Philadelph:
10		Mississippi.
11	Q.	Do you know how long it had been in that garage?
12	A.	Approximately, yes.
13	Ό.	Were you then when it was put in that garage?
14	A.	I wasn't.
15	Q.	Were you present when it was transported from
16		where it was found?
17	A.	I was not.
18	Q.	Do you happen to know whather it contained all
19		of the items that you saw in it when it was
20		found?
21	A.	I know it did not contain all the materials in
22		it at the time it was found.
23	Q.	Did you remove any of the materials from it befo:
24		this date?
25	A.	I couldn't have done that.

William A. Davis, Official Court Reporter, Jackson, Miss.

ſ		
1		consumed?
2	A.	I would have to know what you mean by consumed?
3	Q.	Well I'll ask you what you mean by consumed as I
4		believe you said they were consumed except other
5		than iron material.
6	A.	By that I mean they were burned into unrecognizabl
7		shapes.
8	Q.	All right sir. What shape was the plastic over
9		the speedometer when you first saw it?
10	A.	I don't recall identifying the plastic in the
11		speedometer in the debris.
12	Q.	What other plastics were in an identifiable shape.
13	Ø.	Well if they were in an unidentifiable shape I
14		couldn't tell, I wouldn't know.
15	Q.	Now, you took this colored photo on what date
16		please sir?
17	A.	Which photo are you referring to sir?
18	Q.	The colored photo.
19	A.	They were taken on the 10th of July, 1964.
20	Q.	Now the photograph that you took of the front
21		seat and the back seat area, they were taken when
22		you first saw the automobile?
23	A.	That's correct.
24	Q.	And was it that you waited some fourteen or fiftee
25	5	days to take the colored photograph?

William A. Davis, Official Court Reporter, Jockson, Miss.

1	A.	I was referred to some responsibility at the time
2		and the fact that the light in that particular
3		garage was not the best for colored photography.
4	Q.	Did you try to make it the best for colored
5		photography before you took this picture?
6	A.	No.
7	Q.	Then if you had taken it in the best light that
8		you could it would not show as it now shows in
9		thiscolored photograph?
10	A.	In taking colored photography light doesn't have
11		anything to do with the production of color to
12		change colors from what they really are.
13	Q.	But the light does have an affect on what color
14		is developed on the photograph does it not?
15	A.	You are absolutely right.
16	Q.	Now this was taken inside of a garage was it not
17	A.	Correct.
18	Q.	Now, you took the colored photograph yourself?
19	Α.	I did.
20	Q.	Are you a expert photographer?
21	A.	If you mean that by a legal definition if I have
22		ever qualified as an expert phogographer?
23	Q.	Yes sir.
24	A.	Never. I have had considerable training in the
25		making of photographs.

1	Q.	Then your testimony then as to the fair and
2		representation of this automobile in this colored
3		photograph is not as an expert in photography is
4		it?
5	A.	No, its given as one who saw the car on any
6		occasion forming a visual picture of the blue
7		color and by transferring it to a colored photo-
8		graph.
9	Q.	That's your best recollection?
10	A.	Yes sir.
11	Q.	And you saw it there many times?
12	A.	Many many times.
13	Q.	Before you took the picture?
14	, A.	That's correct.
15	Q.	Now is there anything in this picture, this
16		color photograph exhibit number 29 indicating
17		any fire patterns on this automobile?
18	A.	May I see the exhibit please? (Exhibit handed
19		to witness) Yes there is on exhibit 29.
20	Q.	What is it please sir?
21	A.	The complete charring of the paint in areas of
22		the left fender front fender, the right side of
23		the hood.
24	Q.	Would you take, uh, when you say charring do you
25		mean blackening of it in the photograph?

1	A.	No not by charring because all things don't turn
2		black when they are charred.
3	Q.	Yes sir.
4	A.	And the charred areas and discolored areas from
5		heat appearing on the hood, on the left front
6		door?
7	Q.	Now, is that shown there on that photograph?
8	A.	It is.
9	Q.	It would be far more plainer if you were looking
10		at the car though would it not?
11	A.	No I don't know that it would be anymore plainer
12	Q.	What is the color of the charred area you are
13		referring there?
14	A.	Its a gray action area,
15	Q.	What color is it on the actual true object?
16	A.	Very close to the same.
17	Q.	But not the same?
18	A.	Not identical but it is a fair and accurate
19		representation of the color of the charred areas
20	Q.	And what does that indicate to you, the charred
21		areas there on that photograph?
22	Á.	It indicates to me that something foreign was
23		there which burned, but did not partially burn
24		the blue paint immediately adjacent to it, which
25	·	had an accelerant.

1	Q.	Now Mr. Cochran, I want to ask you this please
2		sir, when was it that you investigated these
3		aircraft crashes or fires, that is in the period
4		of time?
5	A.	Beginning from 1959 through May of 1964 in-
6		volving as I said seven major airline crashes.
7	Q.	All of these investigations that you made before
8		this investigation?
9	A.	Yes sir.
10	Q.	Now, I believe you also testified that you had
11		no formal training with reference to fire patterns
12	A.	Yes, I believe I did, that's correct.
13	Q.	That's the truth isn't it?
14	A.	Yes sir.
15	Q.	And you are testifting about any fire patterns
16		that you may testify about on this automobile
17		except from and alone from your earlier experience
18		in investigating aircraft fires?
19	A.	Would you repeat the question please?
20	Q.	The testimony that you have given as to fire
21		patterns that you observed on this automobile is
22		based on your experience alone in your investi-
23		gating aircraft accidents in which there was fire
24	A.	Insofar as on the scene examinations this is
25		correct.

1	Q.	Is there any difference in the paint used on
2		automobiles than on aircraft which you investigate
3	A.	I would have to say I don't know.
4	Q.	You do not know, do you? Did you prior to this
5		incident investigate fire patterns on an ayto-
6		mobile?
7	A.	I can not recall having investigated a case identi
8		cal, involving an automobile subjected to identica
9		treatment as that in this case.
10	Q.	Then this is the first investigation that you've
11		made on an automobile about which you observed
12		a pattern that you had made up until this time?
13	A.	I had an occasion to examine burned automobiles,
14		but not of this exact nature involved in this case
15	Q.	Is that prior to this case?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	Now, Agent Cochran, you took the back and front
18		seat area photograph on the same date?
19	A.	The black and white, yes, exhibits 26 & 27.
20	Q.	I believe you say you took those on the 26th of
21		June, 1964, is that correct?
22	A.	No, I don't believe I said the 26th of June.
23	Q.	When was it taken?
24	A.	It was taken on the 24th of June, just incidental
25		to my original examination of the car.
2.0		

1	Q.	Were you present when this car was physicially
2		removed that is did you accompany this automobile
3		from the location in which it was found when it
4		wasphysically removed to this garage?
5	A.	I have already answered that question in the
6		negative, I did not, I never saw it until the
7		afternoon of the 24th?
8	Q.	I'm sorry, I thought you just said you had never
9		examind it. Now, the government's exhibit number
10		28, would you hand that to the witness please?
11		Would you open it so you can examine it as I
12		ask you a question please sir? Is there any
13		significance to this watch other than the fact
14		that the hands are set at 12:30?
15	A.	The hands are at approximately 12:45 that the
16		significance of it was that it was found in the
17		debris from the car, now any further significance
18		I don't believe I have testified to.
19	Q.	You wouldn't know who owned the watch?
20	A.	Of my own knowledge I would not.
21	Q.	All right, can you stell us of what material
22		it is made from, the face of it?
23	A.	No, not for certain.
24	Q.	Did it burn?
25	A.	The surface material of the watch burned I would

say it was a steel but I'm not sure. But you do not know that? Q. 2 No, I don't know it for a fact. 3 BY THE COURT: 4 What kind of watch is that? 5 BY THE WITNESS: 6 7 Its a seventeen jewel watch made the Ronan Manufact-8 uring Company in Switzerland, distributed by 9 a company in New York City. BY THE COURT: 10 Is it a pocket watch or a wrist watch? 11 12 BY THE WITNESS: 13 No sir, its a wrist watch. 14 BY MR. PIGRORD: 15 Q. Can you tell us Mr. Cochran whether or not the 16 heat that this watch withstood is comparable 17 or not comparable to the heat that you in your 18 opinion the inside of the automobile withstood? 19 I think that this watch was in that car, yes sir. 20 Do you have any opinion about it or are you Q. 21 competent to testify about whether or what----22 Á. Yes, I have an opinion on it, yes. When we dis-23 covered this watch it was encrusted with other material that were consumed during the course of 24 25 the fire to such an extent that in my opinion

1			it could not have been burned outside and thrown
2			into the car, it had to occur within the automo-
3			bile.
4		Q.	Can you tell us please sir what material this
5			watch was encrusted in when you say you found it?
6	•	A	It was encrusted in fabric material which had
7			solidified around it.
8		Q.	And where in the car did you find this watch?
9		A.	In the area under beneath the front seat, the debr
10			that was removed from underneath the front seat.
11		Q.	Where had this watch been since you recovered it
12			from the station wagon?
13		A.	In the F. B. I. Laboratory in Washington, D. C.
14		Q.	It has not been under your care?
15		A.	No sir.
16		Q.	Do you know whether anybody has handled the watch
17		•	other than yourself?
18		A.	Yes sir.
19		Q.	But you did not have its custody?
20		A.	I made an examination of the watch on the 25th
21			of June and forwarded it to the F. B. I. Laborator
22			in the custody of Special Agent Coyle who turned
23			it over to a laboratory examiner and it has been
24			in his custody ever since.
25		Ο.	You would not know if anyone has tried or attempte

1		to remove any of its evidence.
2	A.	I don't know whether anybody has tried or attempt
3		to do that, the hands are approximately in the
4		general condition as they were when I scraped off
5		the debris and made the reading of the hand
6		condition.
7	Q.	Was there debris on top of the hands?
8	A.	Yes sir.
9	Q.	You do not know whether anybody else has attempte
10		to scrape it off one way or another since you
11		turned it over to Agent Coyle?
12	A.	No sir.
13	Q.	You couldn't testify about that?
14	A.	No sir, except the hands are in the same position
15		they were in when I first discovered the watch.
16	Q.	Now the hands that are left there are very short
17		that is that portion left there is very short are
18		they not?
19	A.	True.
20	Q.	How did you determine that the hands indicated
21		12:45?
22	A.	Gained upon my knowledge gained while serving in
23		the United States Army it is a mechanical
24	•	peculiarity of the watch, but the minute hand is
25		always on top, so the up position of the top