# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CASE NO. 8 - 22679

Plaintiff,

CIV - GOLD

v.

HIALEAH HOUSING AUTHORITY,

Defendant.

## COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

The United States, by and through the undersigned United States Attorney, files this Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial and states:

- This action is brought by the United States on behalf of Miguel Rodriguez, his wife, 1. Lazara Rodriguez, and their children, Dianela Rodriguez and Emmanuel Rodriguez, to enforce the provisions of Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (the Fair Housing Act), as amended by the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601, et seq.
- This Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 2. U.S.C. § 3612(o). Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 42 U.S.C. § 3612(o), as defendants are located in this judicial district and the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial district.
- Defendant Hialeah Housing Authority ("HHA") is a HUD-funded housing provider that 3. manages several public housing developments throughout Hialeah, Florida. HHA

Case 1:08-cv-22679-ASG

- maintains its primary place of business at 75 East 6th Street, Hialeah, Florida.
- HHA is responsible for the general overall management and operation of the multi-family 4. apartment complex located at 6329 W. 24 Street, Hialeah, Florida ("subject property").
- The units at the subject property are "dwellings" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 5. 3602(b).
- Miguel Rodriguez is a person with a disability as defined within the meaning of the Fair 6. Housing Act, 42 U.S.C. § 3602(h). Mr. Rodriguez has physical impairments that substantially limit his ability to climb stairs. He receives Social Security disability benefits.
- The Rodriguez family lived in apartment 202, a second floor unit at the subject property, 7. from 1995 until August 2005, when they were forced to move out.
- On or about October 15, 1997, Miguel Rodriguez was hired part-time by HHA as night 8. manager for the subject property. At that time, Mr. Rodriguez held a full-time position at a lumber supply yard.
- In 2001, Miguel Rodriguez suffered a work-related fall at his full-time place of 9. employment. Due to his physical injuries, his wife, Lazara Rodriguez, assumed his duties and responsibilities as night manager, with HHA's knowledge.
- On or about December 2004, disputes arose at the subject property among three resident 10. families - one between the Rodriguez family and the Amparo family, and another between the Amparo and the Perez family.
- On or about January 3, 2005, HHA served the Rodriguez family with a Notice of Lease 11. Termination due to their conflict with the Amparo family. On information and belief,

- HHA also served the other two families with termination notices.
- On or about January 20, 2005, Miguel and Lazara Rodriguez attended an informal HHA 12. internal grievance hearing to dispute the lease termination. During the hearing, HHA offered to transfer the Rodriguez family to a vacant unit at Hoffman Gardens, another public housing development operated by the defendant, in lieu of eviction.
- At this hearing, Mr. and Mrs. Rodriguez advised HHA that Mr. Rodriguez had difficulty 13. climbing stairs and needed a unit with a bathroom that was accessible without climbing stairs. They agreed to accept the transfer because HHA represented that the unit at Hoffman Gardens had a half-bath on the first floor.
- On January 21, 2005, Lazara Rodriguez sent a letter to HHA rejecting the transfer to 14. Hoffman Gardens because she had learned that there was no half-bath on the first floor of the unit, and Mr. Rodriguez would need to climb stairs to use the bathroom. She stated that she would provide medical documentation upon request.
- On January 24, 2005, HHA issued a decision upholding the termination of the 15. Rodriguez's lease.
- On January 31, 2005, an attorney for the Rodriguez family sent a letter to HHA 16. requesting a formal hearing. Neither the Rodriguez family nor their attorney received notice of the formal hearing, which, they were told, was held in their absence on March 9, 2005.
- On March 11, 2005, HHA sent the Rodriguez family a letter upholding the decision to 17. terminate their lease for failure to attend the formal hearing.
- On May 4, 2005, HHA filed a complaint for eviction against the Rodriguez family in the 18.

- County Court for Miami-Dade County, Florida.
- 19. On May 17, 2005, the Rodriguez family filed an answer and motion to dismiss the complaint, alleging that HHA had failed to provide a reasonable accommodation for Mr. Rodriguez's disability, that he was disabled and had difficulty climbing stairs to the second floor bathroom due to hip and back problems and that he had informed HHA of his reasonable accommodation request on January 21, 2005.
- On June 30, 2005, Miguel and Lazara Rodriguez participated in court-ordered mediation with HHA. During the mediation, Mr. and Mrs. Rodriguez rejected HHA's offer to transfer them to a unit at Hoffman Gardens because the available unit did not have a bathroom that was accessible without climbing stairs. HHA offered to place the Rodriguez family on a "waiting list" for an accessible unit, if adequate medical documentation was submitted, but stated that, in the meantime, they would have to vacate their present unit.
- 21. Because of HHA's refusal to provide him a unit with access to a bathroom that did not require him to climb stairs, Mr. Rodriguez signed a stipulation and order for dismissal, dismissing the eviction action and requiring his family to vacate the subject property by August 31, 2005.
- 22. On or about June 28, 2006, Miguel Rodriguez filed a complaint with the United States

  Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") alleging that HHA denied his
  request for a reasonable accommodation when it refused to give him a unit that was
  suitable for his disability.
- 23. On or about July 23, 2008, Miguel Rodriguez filed an amended complaint with HUD,

- adding his wife, Lazara Rodriguez, and their children as aggrieved persons.
- 24. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 3610(a) and (b), the Secretary of HUD conducted and completed an investigation of the complaint, attempted conciliation without success, and prepared a final investigative report. Based upon the information gathered in the investigation, the Secretary, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3610(g)(1), determined that reasonable cause existed to believe that illegal discriminatory housing practices had occurred because of disability.
- 25. On or about August 6, 2008, the Secretary issued a Determination of Reasonable Cause and Charge of Discrimination pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3610(g)(2)(A), charging that defendant HHA had engaged in discriminatory practices, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(B) of the Fair Housing Act, as amended.
- On or about August 13, 2008, defendant HHA elected to have the claims asserted in HUD's Charge of Discrimination decided in a civil action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3612(a).
- 27. On or about August 20, 2008, the Administrative Law Judge issued a Notice of Election of Judicial Determination and terminated the administrative proceedings on the complaints filed by the Rodriguez family.
- 28. Following this Notice of Election, the Secretary of HUD authorized the Attorney General to commence a civil action, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 3612(o).
- 29. Defendant HHA, through the above-referenced actions, has:
  - a. Discriminated in the rental, or otherwise made unavailable or denied, a dwelling to Miguel, Lazara, Dianela and Emmanuel Rodriguez because of

- Page 6 of 8
- Miguel Rodriguez's disability, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(1);
- b. Discriminated against Miguel, Lazara, Dianela and Emmanuel Rodriguez in the terms, conditions, or privileges of rental of a dwelling, or in the provision of services or facilities in connection with such dwelling, because of Miguel Rodriguez's disability, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(2); and
- c. Refused to make reasonable accommodations in rules, policies, practices, or services when such accommodations may have been necessary to afford Miguel Rodriguez equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling, in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 3604(f)(3)(B).
- 30. Miguel, Lazara, Dianela and Emmanuel Rodriguez are "aggrieved persons" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 3602(i).
- 31. As a result of HHA's discriminatory conduct, Miguel, Lazara, Dianela and Emmanuel Rodriguez have suffered and continue to suffer damages.
- 32. The discriminatory actions of HHA were intentional, willful, and taken in disregard of the federally-protected rights of Miguel, Lazara, Dianela and Emmanuel Rodriguez.

  WHEREFORE, the United States of America prays for relief as follows:
  - A. A declaration that the discriminatory conduct of HHA as set forth above violates the Fair Housing Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 3601, et seq.;
  - B. An injunction against HHA, its agents, employees, successors, and all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them from discriminating because of disability in violation of the Fair Housing Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C.

§§ 3601, et seq.; and

Document 1

Awards of monetary damages to Miguel, Lazara, Dianela and Emmanuel C. Rodriguez, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 3612(o)(3) and 3613(c)(1).

The United States further prays for such additional relief as the interests of justice may require.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States of America demands a jury trial on all issues that are triable to a jury.

DATED: September 26, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By:

Assistant U.S. Attorney 99 N.E. 4th Street, 3rd Floor

Miami, Florida 33132-2111

Fla. Bar No. 644791

Telephone: (305) 961-9327

Fax: (305) 530-7139

#### OF COUNSEL:

Elizabeth A. Singer U.S. Department of Justice Civil Rights Division Housing and Civil Enforcement Section 950 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530 Tel. (202) 514-6164 Fax. (202) 514-1116

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

### **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided

by local rules of court. This for the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN	m, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United STRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	d States in September 1974, is requ	fired for the use of the Clerk of	Court for the purpose of initiating
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS D.C.		DEFENDANTS HIALEAH HOUSEG AUT 22679		
UNITED STATES OF A	AMERIOAED by	HIALEAH I OUS	AUT AUT AREA	79
(b) County of Residence (E.	XCEPT IN U.S. STENSIN CASES CT	County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAIN IFF LASES	DaGOLD ONLY)
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name,	S.D. OF FLA. MIAMI	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
Please see attached.		Attorneys (If Known)		
Dade 108 - 2	2679-CN-Gold MC	Attomeys (If Known)  J. Frost Walker, III, Esquire 100 W. Sunrise Avenue  Gables, Florida 33133		
(d) Check County Where A	ction Arose: DADE	□ PALM REACH □ MARTIN C	ST. LUCIE  INDIAN RIVER	OKEECHOBEE HIGHLANDS
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainting				
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		TF DEF  1 Incorporated or Pr  of Business In Thi	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State	J 2	•
		Citizen or Subject of a  Foreign Country	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  □ 310 Airplane □ 315 Airplane Product Liability □ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander □ 330 Federal Employers' Liability □ 340 Marine □ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle □ 750 Motor Vehicle □ 750 Motor Vehicle □ 750 Motor Vehicle □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 365 Personal Injury □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury PERSONAL PROPER □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability □ 370 Other Fraud □ 371 Truth in Lending □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability □ 365 Mostor Vehicle □ 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: □ 530 General □ 530 Other Personal □ 530 Other Personal □ 530 Other Personal □ 530 Other Fraud □ 530 Other Personal □ 530 Other Fraud □ 530 Other Personal □ 530 Other Personal □ 530 Other Personal □ 530 Other Personal □ 530 Other Fraud □ 530 Other Personal □ 540 Mandamus & Other □ 550 Civil Rights	620 Other Food & Drug     625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881     630 Liquor Laws     640 R.R. & Truck     650 Airline Regs.     660 Occupational Safety/Health     690 Other     LABOR     710 Fair Labor Standards Act     720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations     730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act     740 Railway Labor Act     790 Other Labor Litigation     791 Empl. Ret. Inc.     Security Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   PROPERTY RIGHTS   820 Copyrights 830 Patent 840 Trademark   SOCIAL SECURITY   861 HIA (1395ff)   862 Black Lung (923)   863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))   864 SSID Title XVI   865 RSI (405(g))   FEDERAL TAX SUITS   870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)   871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
V. ORIGIN  (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  (Place an "X" in On				
(Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and Write a Brief Statement of Cause (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  VI. CAUSE OF ACTION  Housing Discrimination on the basis of disability in violation of 42 USC Sections 3601 et.seq.,				
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	LENGTH OF TRIAL via days estimated (for both sides	-	42 OSC Sections 3001	еп. seq.,
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:   Pyres Pyres No
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY  (See instructions): JUDGE  DOCKET NUMBER				
9-26-08  SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF MECONS				
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP				