

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

January 2024 Grand Jury

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
ANDREW LEFT,  
  
Defendant.

CR No. 2:24-cr-00456-TJH

I N D I C T M E N T

[18 U.S.C. § 1348(1): Securities Fraud; 15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78ff and 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5: Fraud in Connection with Purchase and Sale of Securities; 18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2): Making False Statements; 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c): Criminal Forfeiture]

The Grand Jury charges:

///  
///  
///

COUNT ONE

[18 U.S.C. § 1348(1)]

A. INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS

At times relevant to this Indictment:

The Defendant and Relevant Entities

1. Defendant ANDREW LEFT, then a resident of Beverly Hills, California, was a securities analyst, trader, and frequent guest commentator on business cable news channels such as CNBC, Fox Business, and Bloomberg TV.

2. Defendant LEFT did business as Citron Research ("Citron"), an online moniker he created as a vehicle for publishing investment recommendations. Citron's online presence included a website, CitronResearch.com, and an account on the social media platform formerly known as Twitter with the handle @CitronResearch (the "Citron Twitter Account").

3. In or around October 2018, defendant LEFT formed Citron Capital, LP, a pooled investment vehicle (hedge fund) incorporated in Delaware and registered as an investment adviser in California. Defendant LEFT owned 85 percent of Citron Capital, LLC, which was the general partner of Citron Capital, LP (collectively, "Citron Capital").

4. Individual A was the minority partner in Citron Capital. Individual A was a securities analyst and trader who conducted research on publicly traded securities and executed trades at the direction of defendant LEFT.

5. Using Citron's online platform, defendant LEFT disseminated commentary about publicly traded companies in which he asserted that the market incorrectly valued a company's stock (the "Targeted

1 Security"), advocating that the current price was too high or too  
2 low. Defendant LEFT's recommendations often included an explicit or  
3 implicit representation about Citron's trading position and a "target  
4 price," which defendant LEFT represented as his own view of the  
5 Targeted Security's true value.

6 6. Defendant LEFT published his recommendations in Citron-  
7 branded reports, articles, and social media content and promoted them  
8 through media campaigns, including outreach to members of the news  
9 media, appearances on cable news programs, and interviews published  
10 in news articles online. In connection with his media appearances  
11 and interviews, defendant LEFT was routinely required to disclose the  
12 positions that he and Citron held in a Targeted Security.

13 7. Defendant LEFT knew that his recommendations influenced  
14 investors' decisions to buy or sell stock and thereby empowered him  
15 to manipulate the price of a Targeted Security. By using the Citron  
16 Twitter Account to generate "catalysts" -- events with the ability to  
17 move stock prices -- defendant LEFT profited from his advance  
18 knowledge that he was about to trigger such movements in the market.  
19 But for the scheme to work, defendant LEFT knew that investors needed  
20 to believe that the recommendations and positions he set forth were  
21 sincerely maintained, and not merely vehicles for defendant LEFT to  
22 personally profit. To maintain the false pretense that Citron's  
23 recommendations and positions were sincerely held, defendant LEFT  
24 made false and misleading representations and half-truths about his  
25 economic incentives, conviction in Citron's analyses, and valuations  
26 of Targeted Securities. Because disclosing the truth would diminish  
27 his credibility, defendant LEFT made false and misleading statements  
28 and half-truths to deceive investors to believe that he held a

1 position when, in fact, after using his influence on the market to  
2 manipulate stock prices in a particular direction, defendant LEFT  
3 closed his positions to capitalize on the temporary price movement  
4 caused by his public statements. Defendant LEFT used this deception  
5 and concealment to manipulate the market for his own financial gain.

6 Stock Trading

7 8. An investor held a "long" position in the stock of a  
8 publicly traded company if the investor stood to gain financially  
9 from an increase in the price of that company's shares. For example,  
10 the investor could own the security with the expectation that the  
11 shares would rise in price in the future. An investor could also  
12 have a "long" position by buying "call" options that entitled the  
13 option-holder to purchase shares at a future date at a prearranged  
14 price (referred to as the "strike price") that the investor believed  
15 would be lower than the market price the security would have reached  
16 by that date.

17 9. An investor held a "short" position in the stock of a  
18 publicly traded company if the investor stood to gain financially  
19 from a decrease in the price of that company's shares. An investor  
20 could achieve a short position in various ways, including "short  
21 selling" shares of the company's stock or through the purchase of  
22 "put options."

23 a. Short selling involved borrowing shares of a company's  
24 stock from a broker for a fee and then selling the borrowed shares.  
25 If the price of the shares decreased, the short seller could then  
26 return the borrowed shares to the broker by purchasing them at a  
27 lower price on the open market than the short seller originally sold  
28 them, thereby capturing the decline in the stock's price as a profit.

1           b.     When buying put options, the option-holder could force  
2 the counterparty to buy shares of a particular stock at a future date  
3 at a prearranged price. If the price of the shares decreased by that  
4 future date, the option-holder could sell the counterparty those  
5 shares at a higher price than the option-holder could acquire them  
6 for on the open market, thereby profiting from the fall in the  
7 stock's price.

8           10. "Short-dated options" expired and became worthless after a  
9 set duration, which could be as soon as the same day they are  
10 purchased. Buying short-dated options could reflect a trader's bet  
11 that the price of the underlying security would move in a short  
12 timeframe before the contract expires.

13           11. An investor could open or close trading positions by  
14 placing "limit" orders or "market" orders. A limit order was an  
15 order to buy or sell a security if its price was available above or  
16 below a certain price, whereas a market order was an order to buy or  
17 sell at whatever price the security was then trading. Placing a  
18 limit order could indicate the price at which a trader intended to  
19 buy or sell a security in the future.

20           12. A "retail investor" was a non-professional, individual  
21 investor who purchased securities for their own personal accounts and  
22 generally traded and invested in dramatically smaller amounts and  
23 volumes as compared to institutional investors (i.e. mutual funds,  
24 hedge funds, or professional traders).

25           13. Interactive Brokers LLC ("IB") and E\*TRADE Securities Inc.  
26 ("E\*TRADE") were online brokerage platforms that enabled individuals  
27 and institutions to trade stock shares, options, and other securities  
28 and financial instruments. Defendant LEFT held accounts in his name

1 and in Citron Capital's name at IB (the "Citron IB Account") and at  
2 E\*TRADE (the "LEFT E\*TRADE Account") (collectively, the "LEFT Trading  
3 Accounts").

4 14. The New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and the National  
5 Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotations Stock Market  
6 ("NASDAQ") were "national securities exchanges" within the meaning of  
7 the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

8 15. The common stock and options to trade the common stock of  
9 American Airlines Group Inc. ("AAL"); Beyond Meat Inc. ("BYND");  
10 Cronos Group Inc. ("CRON"); Facebook Inc. ("FB"); General Electric  
11 Company ("GE"); Luckin Coffee Inc. ("LK"); Namaste Technologies Inc.  
12 ("NXTTF"); Novavax Inc. ("NVAX"); Nvidia Corp. ("NVDA"); India  
13 Globalization Capital Inc. ("IGC"); Invitae Corp. ("NVTA"); Palantir  
14 Technologies Inc. ("PLTR"); PolarityTE Inc. ("PTE"); Roku Inc.  
15 ("ROKU"); Tesla Inc. ("TSLA") Twitter Inc. ("TWTR"); and XL Fleet  
16 Corp. ("XL") were securities. AAL, BYND, CRON, FB, GE, LK, NVAX,  
17 NVDA, IGC, NVTA, PLTR, PTE, ROKU, TSLA, TWTR, and XL were issuers  
18 with a class of securities registered under section 12 of the  
19 Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. § 78l) and that were  
20 required to file reports under section 15(d) of the Securities  
21 Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78o(d)).

22 The Investigation into Illegal Market Manipulation

23 16. The Federal Bureau of Investigation ("FBI"), the United  
24 States Postal Inspection Service ("USPIS"), the United States  
25 Attorney's Office for the Central District of California ("USAO"),  
26 and the Fraud Section of the United States Department of Justice  
27 ("DOJ") were conducting a federal criminal investigation of defendant  
28 LEFT for federal crimes, including illegal market manipulation in

1 violation of the federal securities laws (the "Federal  
2 Investigation").

3 17. The United States Securities and Exchange Commission  
4 ("SEC") was an agency of the United States conducting a civil  
5 investigation of defendant LEFT for violations of the federal  
6 securities laws (the "SEC Investigation").

7 B. THE SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

8 18. Beginning no later than in or about March 2018, and  
9 continuing through at least in or about October 2023, in Los Angeles  
10 County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere,  
11 defendant LEFT, knowingly and with intent to defraud, devised,  
12 participated in, and executed a scheme to defraud investors and  
13 potential investors in connection with securities of issuers with a  
14 class of securities registered under Section 12 of the Securities  
15 Exchange Act of 1934 and that were required to file reports under  
16 section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, in violation of  
17 Title 18, United States Code, Section 1348(1).

18 C. THE OPERATION OF THE SCHEME

19 19. The scheme to defraud operated, in substance, as follows:

20 a. Knowing that Citron's reputation with investors had  
21 the power to move markets, defendant LEFT selected a Targeted  
22 Security about which he intended to publish commentary with the  
23 intention of manipulating the Targeted Security's price.

24 b. Defendant LEFT prepared commentary about the Targeted  
25 Security for dissemination through Citron. In some instances, the  
26 commentary represented defendant LEFT's own work. In other  
27 instances, defendant LEFT disseminated as his own the commentary of  
28 third parties, such as analysts at hedge funds.

1           c. In the leadup to publication of Citron's commentary,  
2 defendant LEFT established long or short positions in a Targeted  
3 Security in one or both of his accounts, the LEFT Trading Accounts,  
4 such that defendant LEFT profited by taking advantage of the intended  
5 short-term movement in the Targeted Security's price caused by his  
6 commentary.

7           d. To exploit his advance knowledge of the timing and  
8 subject of the forthcoming commentary on the Targeted Security,  
9 defendant LEFT often built his positions using inexpensive, short-  
10 dated options contracts that would expire within zero to five trading  
11 days and submitted limit orders to close his positions as soon as the  
12 Targeted Security reached a certain price.

13           e. To maximize the impact of Citron's commentary on the  
14 price of the Targeted Security, and thus defendant LEFT's ability to  
15 profit from his advance knowledge that a price movement was about to  
16 be triggered, defendant LEFT caused Citron's commentary to be  
17 deceptive in numerous respects, including the following:

18           i. Knowing that Citron's influence on the market was  
19 largely based on his personal reputation, defendant LEFT concealed  
20 the contributions of third parties, including hedge funds, in the  
21 preparation of Citron's commentary. Defendant LEFT also maintained  
22 the false pretense that the content of the Citron's commentary was  
23 not tainted by any conflict of interest by concealing that he often  
24 provided these and other third parties, including in return for  
25 compensation, advance notice of the anticipated publication of Citron  
26 Reports to enable the third parties to profit by trading around the  
27 Citron Reports and/or mitigate their losses by adjusting their  
28 positions.



1           ii. Knowing that Citron's influence on the market was  
2 also based in large part on the public's perception that he was  
3 confident in the content and conclusions of Citron's commentary,  
4 defendant LEFT concealed his intention to trade a Targeted Security  
5 in a manner inconsistent with the content and conclusions of Citron's  
6 commentary by covering all or the majority of the positions he held  
7 in the Targeted Security shortly after the Citron commentary on the  
8 Targeted Security was published.

9           iii. To maximize the impact of Citron's commentary on  
10 the price of a Targeted Security, defendant LEFT bolstered Citron's  
11 credibility through false and misleading statements about its  
12 research staff, process, independence, external investors, and  
13 economic incentives.

14           iv. To enhance the perception that he was confident  
15 in the Citron commentary's conclusions and create the perception that  
16 his analyses were rigorous, defendant LEFT often included a "target  
17 price" for a Targeted Security which was purportedly derived from his  
18 analyses and reflected his sincere projection of the Targeted  
19 Security's future price. In reality -- with the hope of triggering  
20 not a pistol shot but a cannon blast -- defendant LEFT selected  
21 extreme target prices to attract media attention and provoke an  
22 immediate change to the prices of the Targeted Security while  
23 concealing his intention to exit all or the majority of the positions  
24 he held in the Targeted Security well before they reached -- if they  
25 ever did -- the target price selected.

26           v. To draw the attention of news-based trading  
27 algorithms and to alarm investors, defendant LEFT included  
28 sensationalized headlines and inflammatory language in the Citron

1 reports, such as "fraud" and "smoking gun." In employing this  
2 rhetoric, defendant LEFT generally sought to maximize the impact on  
3 the price of the Targeted Security as quickly as possible and create  
4 the opportunity for defendant LEFT to profitably exit his position as  
5 quickly as possible.

6 f. Knowing that Citron's influence on the market was  
7 largely based on the public's perception that he was confident in the  
8 content and conclusions in Citron's commentary, defendant LEFT made  
9 false and misleading representations about his and Citron's trading  
10 and concealed the fact that he intended to and did trade in a manner  
11 designed to exploit the temporary price volatility that Citron's  
12 commentary created. To profit from the intended price movement  
13 triggered by Citron's reports and tweets, defendant LEFT covered all  
14 or substantially all of the positions he held in a Targeted Security,  
15 often within hours -- and sometimes minutes -- after publication.

16 g. To buttress the public perception that defendant LEFT  
17 was committed to the views expressed in Citron's commentary,  
18 regardless of the immediate impact on the price of a Targeted  
19 Security, defendant LEFT engaged in media campaigns, appearing in  
20 podcast and television interviews and in print and online media, to  
21 promote his investment commentary, often including false and  
22 misleading statements. These campaigns had the intended effect of  
23 amplifying defendant LEFT's ability to manipulate the price of the  
24 Target Securities.

25 h. To create the false impression that he managed outside  
26 investors' money, in order to bolster his influence with the  
27 investing public and ability to artificially move securities prices,  
28 defendant LEFT made false and misleading statements -- including

1 publicly issuing "investor letters" -- to advance the false pretense  
2 that he successfully managed third-party investors' funds and was a  
3 credible investment adviser. In fact, Citron Capital never had  
4 outside investors.

5 i. Knowing that Citron's influence on the market was  
6 largely based on defendant LEFT's personal reputation and market-  
7 moving capacity, defendant LEFT also falsely represented that he did  
8 not share Citron's commentary with hedge funds before the reports  
9 were published. In truth, defendant LEFT often provided third  
10 parties, including hedge funds, with advance notice of the  
11 anticipated publication of Citron's commentary to enable the third  
12 parties to profit by trading around the commentary and, in other  
13 instances, mitigate their losses by adjusting their positions before  
14 publication. For example:

15 i. Defendant LEFT coordinated with hedge funds to  
16 disseminate short reports and information to be posted on Twitter,  
17 coordinated with hedge funds regarding the timing of publication, and  
18 enabled the hedge funds to trade in the Targeted Securities before  
19 the reports were disseminated. In exchange for sharing his planned  
20 announcements with the hedge funds in advance of posting them  
21 publicly, the hedge funds paid defendant LEFT a portion of their  
22 trading profits.

23 ii. To maintain the illusion of Citron's  
24 independence, and thus the credibility of Citron's commentary,  
25 defendant LEFT concealed Citron's financial relationships with hedge  
26 funds, and falsely represented to law enforcement that Citron "never"  
27 exchanged compensation with a hedge fund or coordinated trading with  
28 a hedge fund in advance of the issuance of its commentary.

1           iii. To conceal his coordination with and compensation  
2 from one hedge fund, defendant LEFT directed a third party to create  
3 false invoices requesting payments for research services even though  
4 no research services had been provided. In truth, the payments were  
5 defendant LEFT's share of profits from the hedge fund's trading  
6 around Citron's publications and media campaigns.

7           20. To maintain his scheme to manipulate the market and prevent  
8 his deceptive practices from being discovered, defendant LEFT:

- 9           a. Communicated using encrypted messaging apps;  
10           b. Deleted electronic communications even when directed  
11 by the SEC to maintain those communications for use in its  
12 investigation into defendant LEFT and Citron's practices; and  
13           c. Made false statements when interviewed by federal law  
14 enforcement in connection with the Federal Investigation.

15                   Defendant LEFT's Use of Deceptive Reports

16                           Cronos Group Inc. (CRON)

17           21. On or about August 27 and August 28, 2018, in electronic  
18 communications, defendant LEFT wrote Individual B, a portfolio  
19 manager for Hedge Fund A: "I have a hot voice in cannibas[;] Let's  
20 take a vantage of it"[;] and "what stock is alll retail . . . the  
21 best shorts are retail shorts no doubt[;] we can make money in weed  
22 [;] i have good weed track record . . . do we go long [other  
23 company] or short CRON . . . we can DESTROY CRON," and "cron short  
24 we could get 2 bucks."

25           22. At the open of the market on the morning of on or about  
26 August 29, 2018, defendant LEFT had no position in CRON. At  
27 approximately 9:41 a.m., defendant LEFT began building a short  
28 position in CRON. Prior to 10:07 a.m. on or about August 30, 2018,

1 defendant LEFT had built a short position of approximately 883,900  
2 shares of short exposure to CRON (including a short equity position,  
3 put options purchased, and call options sold).

4 23. On or about August 30, 2018, at approximately 10:07 a.m.,  
5 defendant LEFT posted on the Citron Twitter Account, including a link  
6 to a Citron short report with the headline, "CRON: The Dark Side of  
7 The Cannabis Space" and a tweet stating "\$CRON tgt price \$3.5.  
8 Everything that is contaminated about the Cannabis space. ALL HYPE  
9 with possible securities fraud . . . ." At the time of the tweet,  
10 CRON was trading at approximately \$11.50 per share.

11 24. On or about August 30, 2018, at approximately 11:08 a.m.,  
12 defendant LEFT posted again on the Citron Twitter Account, promoting  
13 his short position on CRON, that, "Andrew Left from Citron on CNBC  
14 Fast Money 5:25pm ET to discuss why \$CRON is the most overhyped of  
15 all the 'pot stocks' with a target price of \$3.5[.]"

16 25. Defendant LEFT placed orders to begin closing out his short  
17 CRON position approximately 24 minutes after posting the first tweet.  
18 By the close of the trading day, defendant LEFT had a net short  
19 exposure of approximately 348,900 shares -- a reduction of  
20 approximately 61% of defendant LEFT's total pre-CRON tweet position.

21 26. Later on August 30, 2018, after the close of market,  
22 defendant LEFT appeared on CNBC's "Fast Money" and defended his  
23 characterization of CRON as a fraud. During the telecast, defendant  
24 LEFT was directly asked -- twice -- about his own position in CRON.  
25 The host followed up and asked, "Are you just as short the stock  
26 right now as you were at the beginning of the day?" Defendant LEFT  
27 falsely stated that he only covered a "small size" of his short  
28 position in CRON earlier that day when, as defendant LEFT then knew,

1 at the time of his appearance on CNBC, he had already closed more  
2 than 60% of his pre-tweet positions.

3 27. On or about August 31, 2018, in an electronic communication  
4 to Individual B, defendant LEFT wrote that once he realized that it  
5 was retail investors who owned CRON stock, his trades around Citron's  
6 commentary were like taking "candy from a baby."

7 28. On or about October 4, 2023, and October 5, 2023, in an  
8 effort to conceal his market manipulation scheme, defendant LEFT  
9 falsely represented in testimony given under oath before the SEC that  
10 he did not target stocks that had large retail bases.

11 Tesla, Inc. (TSLA)

12 29. On or about October 22, 2018, in the LEFT E\*TRADE Account,  
13 defendant LEFT purchased short-dated call options, representing more  
14 than approximately 235,000 shares of TSLA stock, that expired three  
15 days later, on October 26, 2018.

16 30. Or about October 23, 2018, defendant LEFT posted the  
17 following on the Citron Twitter Account to promote his long position  
18 on TSLA: "\$TSLA dropping earnings on top of \$F tomorrow might be a  
19 bad sign for shorts. After reviewing all recent info on \$TSLA  
20 dominating its categories, Citron is LONG Telsa for this quarter."  
21 Defendant LEFT also included a link to a Citron report on TSLA. At  
22 the time, TSLA's stock was trading at approximately \$266.

23 31. Within one minute of announcing, "Citron is LONG Tesla for  
24 the quarter," defendant LEFT placed an order to sell call options  
25 representing approximately 120,000 shares of TSLA -- more than half  
26 of his pre-tweet position -- for a profit of at least \$1 million. By  
27 the close of the next trading day, on or about October 24, 2018,  
28 defendant LEFT sold approximately 81 percent of his pre-tweet

1 position for a profit of at least \$6.6 million. This trading all  
2 occurred prior to TSLA's earnings announcement on or about October  
3 24, 2018.

4 32. Expecting that Citron's commentary on TSLA would invite  
5 others to question Citron's integrity and to advance the false  
6 pretense that defendant LEFT did not opportunistically trade around  
7 Citron's reports, defendant LEFT stated in the report:

8 We know this note is going to have many critics, most being  
9 our fellow short sellers who might categorize us as  
10 opportunist. To anyone who challenges the integrity of  
11 Citron or our constant monitoring of the Tesla story all  
12 you have to do is look at the class action lawsuit recently  
13 filed against Tesla. In it you will see the principal of  
14 Citron was actively trading tens of millions of dollars of  
15 Tesla and the infamous "\$420" tweet resulted in a loss of  
16 almost \$2 million. By no means does Citron only trade on  
17 publishing stories. We actively manage a book that has  
18 been trading Tesla for five years.

19 33. On or about October 23, 2018, defendant LEFT appeared on  
20 CNBC to promote Citron's long report on TSLA.

21 Nvidia Corp. (NVDA)

22 34. On or about November 20, 2018, at approximately 8:40 a.m.,  
23 in an electronic communication with Individual C, a portfolio  
24 manager, defendant LEFT wrote: "Do you want to make some fast  
25 money[.] Put together a thesis why nvda is oversold . . . We can  
26 destroy it . . . Just read the analyst notes from this past quarter  
27 and assemble the best of the ideas."

28 35. Later that morning, between approximately 9:40 a.m. and  
10:17 a.m., defendant LEFT, through the LEFT Trading Accounts, opened  
long positions in NVDA, including short-dated call options that  
expired three days later.

36. At approximately 10:17 a.m., defendant LEFT promoted NVDA  
as a favorable investment on the Citron Twitter Account, stating,

1 "Citron buys \$NVDA. This is the first time in 2 years stock offers  
2 an appealing risk-reward to investors . . . We see \$165 before we see  
3 \$120." At the time, NVDA's stock was trading at approximately \$144.

4 37. Despite his representation that he expected NVDA's share  
5 price to rise to \$165, less than two hours after announcing "Citron  
6 buys \$NVDA," defendant LEFT sold 100 percent of his pre-tweet  
7 positions in the LEFT Trading Accounts when the NVDA was trading  
8 within a range of approximately \$150 - \$151, for a profit of at least  
9 \$930,000.

10 Twitter, Inc. (TWTR)

11 38. On or about December 20, 2018, defendant LEFT held  
12 approximately 145,000 share short equity position in TWTR stock in  
13 the LEFT Trading Accounts. In the Citron IB Account, defendant LEFT  
14 bought short-dated put options, representing more than 1.2 million  
15 shares of TWTR stock, that expired on December 21, 2018.

16 39. Prior to publishing a tweet concerning TWTR, at  
17 approximately 9:41 and 9:43 a.m., defendant LEFT entered limit orders  
18 to sell his put options in the Citron IB Account.

19 40. At approximately 9:52 a.m., defendant LEFT posted on the  
20 Citron Twitter Account with a link to a Citron report about TWTR:  
21 "\$TWTR has become Harvey Weinstein of social media. Price tgt \$20  
22 Amnesty Intl study cannot be ignored by Wall St or Madison Ave.  
23 \$TWTR will be forced to clean up the site and will have a fast impact  
24 on MAU[.]". At the time of the tweet, TWTR's stock was trading at  
25 approximately \$31.

26 41. Within one trading day, defendant LEFT closed 100 percent  
27 of his short positions in TWTR in the LEFT Trading Accounts when TWTR  
28



1 was trading within a range of \$28 - \$30 for a profit of at least \$2  
2 million.

3 42. On or about December 20, 2018, in private electronic  
4 communications, defendant LEFT and Individual A had the following  
5 conversation about their trading around Citron's tweet and report on  
6 TWTR:

7 Defendant LEFT: I feel like I want blood  
8 Made some money back today on  
the short side

9 Individual A: \$2m trade Twtr

10 Defendant LEFT: Gives power for long

11 Individual A: Not bad

12 Defendant LEFT: Whew  
13 If we bounce

14 Individual A: Huge fucking day

15 Defendant LEFT: Every news outlet is calling me

16 Individual A: Love the title. Harvey Weinstein of  
17 social media  
18 Love twtr bounce

19 Defendant LEFT: We will get another shot at it

20 43. During the same conversation, defendant LEFT and Individual  
21 A discussed being "a tweet away" from meeting Citron's end of year  
22 target of \$21 million.

23 Facebook, Inc. (FB)

24 44. On or about December 26, 2018, in the morning, defendant  
25 LEFT opened a long position in FB in the LEFT Trading Accounts,  
26 including approximately 20,000 shares of stock and short-dated call  
27 options representing approximately 340,000 shares of FB stock that  
28 expired in two days on December 28, 2018.

1           45. On or about December 26, 2018, at approximately 9:52 a.m.,  
2 defendant LEFT posted on the Citron Twitter Account, with a link to a  
3 Citron report on FB: "\$FB Backing up the sleigh. \$160 tgt. Citron  
4 presents the only information that counts on \$FB looking past the  
5 rhetoric. Would you rather have your kids addicted to Nicotine or  
6 Instagram? Wall St answer will amaze you[.]" At the time of the  
7 tweet, FB's stock was trading at approximately \$129.

8           46. To cause a temporary and artificial increase in the stock  
9 price of FB by which he could profit, defendant LEFT publicly stated  
10 in the Citron report that FB was Citron's "2019 S&P Stock of the  
11 Year."

12           47. On or about December 26, 2018, in a private electronic  
13 communication, defendant LEFT explained his strategy to artificially  
14 manipulate the price of FB stock: "As crazy as it sounds when I put  
15 out reports and big names like this in the middle of the day what I'm  
16 really doing is switching algorithms" and "sometimes it works in a  
17 big way."

18           48. Within six hours of posting Citron's tweet and report  
19 promoting his long position in FB, defendant LEFT sold the equivalent  
20 of approximately 320,000 shares, approximately 89 percent of his pre-  
21 tweet position in the LEFT Trading Accounts, at a time when FB was  
22 trading within a range of approximately \$130 - \$134. Within 48  
23 hours, defendant LEFT sold 100 percent of his pre-tweet long  
24 positions in FB for a profit of at least \$680,000.

25                           Defendant LEFT's Use of Deceptive Tweets

26   Roku, Inc. (ROKU)

27           49. On or about January 8, 2019, between market open and  
28 approximately 9:40 a.m., defendant LEFT opened short positions in

1 ROKU including short-dated put options that expired within three  
2 trading days (on January 11, 2019) in the LEFT Trading Accounts.

3 50. At approximately 9:41 a.m., defendant LEFT posted to the  
4 Citron Twitter Account that ROKU was "uninvestable." At the time,  
5 ROKU's stock was trading at approximately \$41. Within two minutes of  
6 posting the tweet, defendant LEFT placed orders to exit his pre-tweet  
7 positions. In the Citron IB Account, defendant LEFT placed a limit  
8 order to exit his short equity position at \$40.87, and by  
9 approximately 11:03 a.m. -- approximately one hour and twenty-two  
10 minutes after the tweet -- defendant LEFT exited his entire pre-tweet  
11 positions in the LEFT Trading Accounts.

12 51. On or about January 8, 2019, at approximately 12:58 p.m.,  
13 in an electronic communication, a ROKU investor told defendant LEFT,  
14 "One of these days you'll see the light on Roku." In response,  
15 defendant LEFT stated: "Lol. Was a great set up for Trade this  
16 morning."

17 52. By on or about January 8, 2019, at approximately 1:20 p.m.,  
18 defendant LEFT deleted the earlier tweet on ROKU from the Citron  
19 Twitter Account and falsely and misleadingly posted: "To clarify, we  
20 are watching ROKU from the side," when, in fact, defendant LEFT made  
21 at least \$700,000 in profits from his manipulative statement and  
22 trading in ROKU that morning.

23 Beyond Meat (BYND)

24 53. On or about May 17, 2019, defendant LEFT began to build a  
25 short position in BYND in the LEFT Trading Accounts. Just prior to  
26 approximately 1:50 p.m. -- when Citron published a tweet on BYND --  
27 defendant LEFT held a net short exposure of approximately 496,000  
28 shares of BYND. Included in defendant LEFT's position were put

1 options with a strike price of \$90 that he purchased on or about May  
2 17, 2019, which expired that same day. Just prior to the publication  
3 of Citron's tweet, BYND was trading at approximately \$88.

4 54. At approximately 1:50 p.m., defendant LEFT tweeted on the  
5 Citron Twitter Account: "\$BYND has become Beyond Stupid. Most heavily  
6 traded retail stock on Robinhood, market cap now bigger than  
7 industry, and superior competitor coming to market soon. We expect  
8 \$BYND to go back to \$65 on earnings On retail exhaustion. [Look]"

9 55. Within approximately three minutes of the tweet, defendant  
10 LEFT began closing his position in the Citron IB Account in BYND.  
11 Between approximately 1:52 and 2:02 p.m., within twelve minutes of  
12 posting the tweet, defendant LEFT sold the \$90 put options that  
13 expired the same day. At approximately 2:03 p.m., defendant LEFT had  
14 reduced his net short exposure (in options and equities) in the LEFT  
15 Trading Accounts to approximately 6,500 shares -- an approximately  
16 98% reduction in his position.

17 56. At approximately 2:08 p.m., defendant LEFT responded to an  
18 inquiry from CNBC concerning his position. Defendant LEFT wrote back  
19 to CNBC: "Yes I shorted some today Seems to be all retail driven  
20 without any fundamental basis." Defendant LEFT's statement was  
21 materially misleading because he had covered nearly his entire short  
22 position by this time.

23 57. Defendant LEFT purchased additional \$90 put options upon  
24 learning that CNBC would be publishing an article concerning BYND.  
25 By approximately 9:41 a.m. the next morning, on or about May 20,  
26 2019, defendant LEFT had completely closed his entire position in  
27 BYND despite the price remaining above approximately \$84 per share --  
28 substantially above the \$65 target price included in Citron's tweet.

American Airlines (AAL)

1  
2 58. On or about June 5, 2020, defendant LEFT opened short  
3 positions in AAL in the LEFT Trading Accounts that would generate a  
4 profit if AAL's stock price declined. In addition to his equity  
5 short positions, between approximately 11:48 a.m. and 11:49 a.m.,  
6 defendant LEFT purchased short-dated \$19 and \$20 put options, in the  
7 Left Trading Accounts, that expired the same day. At the time of the  
8 trades, AAL was trading at approximately \$20.

9 59. At approximately 11:54 a.m., defendant LEFT posted on the  
10 Citron Twitter Account: "\$AAL Back to \$10 Robinhood traders have 0  
11 idea what they [sic] buying. Balance sheet is upside down.  
12 Unencumbered assets worth far less than current price. The reason  
13 why Buffett fully exited lower. They don't teach finance in the  
14 Sherwood Forest."

15 60. Within ten minutes of posting the tweet announcing a target  
16 price of \$10, defendant LEFT exited his short-dated options positions  
17 and placed limit orders to close his short equity positions in the  
18 LEFT Trading Accounts at prices ranging from \$18 to \$19.50.

19 61. At approximately 12:08 p.m., defendant LEFT posted a second  
20 tweet on the Citron Twitter Account: "\$AAL. To clarify previous  
21 tweet the 25k new users on Robin Hood who bough [sic] stock at \$19  
22 must know more about airlines than Buffet who sold the stock at \$11.  
23 Send your resumes to Omaha. Expect stock to trade back to \$10."

24 62. By approximately 12:37 p.m., defendant LEFT completely  
25 closed his pre-tweet trades in the LEFT Trading Accounts for a profit  
26 of at least \$429,000.

27  
28

1 Palantir (PLTR)

2 63. On or about November 25, 2020, between approximately 9:04  
3 and 9:58 a.m., defendant LEFT opened short positions in PLTR in the  
4 LEFT Trading Accounts that would generate a profit if PLTR's stock  
5 price declined. In addition to his equity short positions, defendant  
6 LEFT purchased, through the Citron IB Account, short-dated put  
7 options that expired the same day.

8 64. On or about November 27, 2020, at approximately 10:08 a.m.,  
9 defendant LEFT posted on the Citron Twitter Account: "What a run the  
10 past month for all. But as traders looking for short exposure, \$PLTR  
11 is no longer a stock but a full casino. Does not take a ball of  
12 crystal to know this will fall back to Arda [sic]. Shorting with a  
13 \$20 2020 target." At the time, PLTR's stock was trading at  
14 approximately \$31.

15 65. Approximately eight minutes after calling PLTR a "casino"  
16 stock and stating that Citron was "shorting with a \$20 2020 target,"  
17 defendant LEFT began closing his short positions in the Citron IB  
18 Account. By market close, defendant LEFT completely closed the  
19 equity positions that he opened in the Citron IB Account immediately  
20 prior to posting the tweet. At market close on or about November 27,  
21 2020, PLTR was trading at approximately \$28.

22 66. The next trading day following the Thanksgiving holiday, on  
23 or about November 30, 2020, by approximately 10:54 a.m., defendant  
24 LEFT completely closed his short positions in the LEFT Trading  
25 Accounts. Defendant LEFT's trading around the PLTR tweet resulted in  
26 profits of at least \$820,000.

27

28

XL Fleet Corp. (XL)

1  
2 67. On or about December 23, 2020, between approximately 11:10  
3 a.m. and 1:40 p.m., defendant LEFT purchased approximately 345,000  
4 shares of XL stock in the LEFT Trading Accounts.

5 68. At 1:37 p.m., approximately four minutes before posting a  
6 tweet promoting his long position in XL, defendant LEFT placed a  
7 limit order to sell his shares in the LEFT E\*TRADE Account if XL rose  
8 in price to \$27.50 per share. XL was trading at approximately \$25  
9 per share at this time.

10 69. At approximately 1:41 p.m., defendant LEFT posted to the  
11 Citron Twitter Account: "Citron long \$XL tgt \$60." Approximately one  
12 minute later, defendant LEFT placed a limit order to sell his shares  
13 in the Citron IB Account if XL rose in price to \$28.

14 70. Within one trading day, defendant LEFT sold all of the XL  
15 shares he purchased on or about December 23, 2020, in the LEFT  
16 Trading Accounts for a profit of at least \$1.7 million.

17 Defendant LEFT's Deceptive Use of Investor Letters

18 71. In or around October 2018, defendant LEFT established  
19 Citron Capital, a purported hedge fund, to advance the false pretense  
20 that he successfully managed third-party investors' funds and was a  
21 credible investment adviser.

22 72. On or about October 30, 2018, defendant LEFT provided the  
23 news outlet Reuters with a Citron Capital investor presentation that  
24 indicated that defendant LEFT earned an average annualized return of  
25 89.98 percent from his trading between 2007 and 2017. Defendant LEFT  
26 informed Reuters that, after investing only his own wealth for  
27 roughly two decades, he had launched a hedge fund, that he planned to  
28

1 raise "a few hundred million dollars" from investors, and that he  
2 would begin trading through the hedge fund in weeks.

3 73. On or about July 17, 2019, defendant LEFT disseminated an  
4 "investor letter" issued by Citron Capital asserting that, in the  
5 first half of 2019, "the Fund generated a gross return of 36.6% and  
6 net return of 24.7% after fees and expenses," and stating that, "the  
7 managers of Citron would like to reassure our investors that our  
8 focus remains on finding and trading around asymmetric opportunities  
9 on both the long and short side with processes and insights that have  
10 been developed over the past 20 years."

11 74. On or about December 23, 2020, defendant LEFT falsely  
12 represented that Citron had third-party investors in an interview in  
13 which he stated, "My investors don't pay me to be right. My  
14 investors pay me to make money. I'm not a professor. I'm not  
15 supposed to have some erudite answer about the Fed and its effect on  
16 monetary flow. Make money. That's my directive from my investors."

17 75. On the following occasions, defendant LEFT disseminated  
18 "investor letters" to members of the news media to bolster his public  
19 reputation by advancing the false pretense that he managed a hedge  
20 fund with third-party investors:

21 a. On or about January 6, 2020, defendant LEFT  
22 disseminated an "investor letter" issued by Citron Capital, stating  
23 that in 2019, the hedge fund generated "a gross return of 56.4% and  
24 net return of 43.3%."

25 b. On or about January 4, 2021, defendant LEFT  
26 disseminated an "investor letter" issued by Citron Capital, stating  
27 that in 2020, the hedge fund generated "a gross return of 202% and  
28 net return of 155%."



1 76. At all times, Citron Capital did not have any third-party  
2 investors; it was entirely comprised of defendant LEFT's funds.

3 Invitae Corp. (NVTA)

4 77. Defendant LEFT also used Citron's purported investor  
5 letters as catalysts, that is, as vehicles for manipulating the  
6 prices of Targeted Securities to benefit defendant LEFT's trading  
7 positions.

8 78. On July 17, 2019, defendant LEFT sent Citron's investor  
9 letter for the first-half ("1H") of 2019 to members of the news media  
10 and stock commentators, who disseminated the content of the letter to  
11 the public. In the letter, defendant LEFT stated, "Going into the  
12 second half of 2019, on the long side we're most excited about our  
13 position in Invitae (NVTA). While Invitae was a contributor to fund  
14 performance during 1H 2019, we continue to add to our position at  
15 current levels" and "we see Invitae as the clear winner within the  
16 mega trend of the genetic testing market and expect the stock to  
17 trade to \$100 in the next 24 months."

18 79. Beginning on or about the next day and continuing through  
19 the next week, while defendant LEFT was promoting Citron Capital's  
20 position in NVTA, he did not add to the fund's position. Instead,  
21 defendant LEFT reduced the fund's position in the Citron IB Account  
22 in NVTA by:

- 23 a. 20,000 shares on or about July 18;  
24 b. 30,000 shares on or about July 23; and  
25 c. 40,000 shares on or about July 25.

26 80. From on or about July 25 through on or about July 30, 2019,  
27 in private electronic communications with Individual D, defendant  
28 LEFT acknowledged his ability to artificially increase the price of

1 NVTA stating: "I can get stock [referring to NVTA's price] to 30" and  
2 "what can I put in a tweet to juice it[?]".

3 81. On July 26, 2019, prior to publishing a positive Citron  
4 tweet and report about NVTA, defendant LEFT bought call options in  
5 the Citron IB Account, that positioned the fund to profit if NVTA's  
6 stock price rose.

7 82. On July 30, 2019, in electronic communications, defendant  
8 LEFT privately messaged Individual E, a portfolio manager at Hedge  
9 Fund C, "NVTA you need to [own]."

10 83. On July 31, 2019, defendant LEFT posted on the Citron  
11 Twitter Account with a link to a Citron report about NVTA. The  
12 report stated:

13 As noted in Citron Capital's 1H '19 investor letter, the  
14 fund has been long shares of Invitae (\$NVTA) and will  
15 continue to stay long until the stock hits at least \$65 as  
16 we believe it is on its way to \$100.

17 Citron's conviction on Invitae was strengthened by this  
18 week's purchase of Genomic Health (\$GHDX) by Exact Sciences  
19 (\$EXAS) in a \$2.8 billion deal.

20 We are not concerned about next week's earnings as we would  
21 happily buy more on any dip - we have seen this movie  
22 before and have learned from our mistakes. Our only  
23 question is who will acquire \$NVTA?

24 84. That same day, on or about July 31, 2019, defendant LEFT  
25 reduced the fund's position in NVTA by selling 130,000 shares in the  
26 Citron IB Account.

27 85. During the following week, despite his statements on or  
28 about July 31, 2019, defendant LEFT sold the remaining shares and  
calls in the Citron IB Account to close the fund's existing position  
in NVTA. From on or about July 17, 2019 to on or about August 6,  
2019, the price of NVTA was within a range of approximately \$21 to  
\$28.

1                   Defendant LEFT's Attempts To Conceal His Scheme

2                                   General Electric (GE)

3           86. On or about August 16, 2019, defendant LEFT initiated a  
4 media campaign to discredit another activist short seller's short  
5 report on GE and artificially inflate the price of GE stock to  
6 benefit defendant LEFT's trading positions.

7           87. On August 16, 2019, at approximately 8:12 a.m., defendant  
8 LEFT placed a limit order to buy 200,000 shares of GE stock at a  
9 price of \$8.34, which was filled by approximately 8:14 a.m. At  
10 approximately 9:38 a.m., defendant LEFT placed a limit order to sell  
11 the same shares if GE's stock price increased to \$8.63.

12           88. At approximately 10:44 a.m., defendant LEFT posted to the  
13 Citron Twitter Account: "Markopolos report on \$GE was the worst that  
14 activist short selling has to offer. Aggressive accounting is not  
15 fraud. Disingenuous all the way through . . . ." The post included  
16 a link that directed viewers to Citron's own GE report published on  
17 Citron's website.

18           89. Defendant LEFT made false and misleading representations in  
19 Citron's GE report to manipulate the price of GE's stock and benefit  
20 his own trading positions. Specifically, defendant LEFT represented  
21 that, "Citron took the opportunity to buy stock as well." In truth,  
22 at the time defendant LEFT made the statement, he had already placed  
23 an order to sell his shares, and within approximately one hour of  
24 posting Citron's tweet and report on GE, defendant LEFT had sold all  
25 of his shares of GE for a profit of at least \$70,000.

26           90. Defendant LEFT also emailed a link to Citron's GE  
27 commentary to members of the news media, including CNBC, in an effort  
28 to fraudulently bolster defendant LEFT's credibility by promoting the

1 false pretense that defendant LEFT was independent, and free from  
2 financial conflicts of interest, and therefore, more credible than  
3 other activist investors. Specifically, Citron's commentary stated:

4 a. Markopolos "is being paid a % of profits from an  
5 unnamed hedge fund that is short GE," which had been the subject of a  
6 recent short report by Harry Markopolos. "No credible hedge fund or  
7 short seller would ever do this."

8 b. "[I]n 18 years of publishing, we [Citron] have never  
9 been compensated by a third party to publish research. More  
10 important, compensation tied to the 'success of a trade' would not  
11 pass internal compliance nor would it pass compliance of any fund  
12 that Citron would collaborate with on ideas."

13 c. "[U]sing full disclosures, short sellers have become  
14 an important facet of self-regulation of the markets. Unfortunately,  
15 what we have just witnessed with Mr. Markopolos is reckless,  
16 dishonest, and most importantly secretive -- all which gives activist  
17 short selling a bad name."

18 91. In reality, defendant LEFT had, in fact, been compensated  
19 by unnamed hedge funds that held short positions in the securities  
20 targeted in Citron's commentary, and Citron had no "internal  
21 compliance" limiting defendant LEFT's collaboration with hedge funds.

22 92. Indeed, between in or about September 2018 and December  
23 2018, defendant LEFT received more than \$1 million from Hedge Fund A,  
24 and between in or about January 2019 and August 2019, defendant LEFT  
25 and Individual A received more than \$1 million from Hedge Fund B.

26 93. Defendant LEFT concealed his coordination with and  
27 compensation from Hedge Fund A and Hedge Fund B from Citron's  
28 followers, members of the media, regulators, and law enforcement,

1 among others, to further his scheme to manipulate the market and  
2 defraud the investing public by perpetuating the false pretense that  
3 his investment recommendations were credible and unbiased.

4 Namaste Technologies (NXTTF) & India Globalization Capital (IGC)

5 94. In or around September 2018, defendant LEFT entered into an  
6 agreement with Hedge Fund A to execute a short campaign targeting  
7 Namaste Technologies, Inc (NXTTF), a company whose securities were  
8 traded over-the-counter. At the time, Hedge Fund A was participating  
9 in a bought offering -- where an investment bank commits to  
10 purchasing an entire offering from a company and then apportions it  
11 to other interested clients -- and stood to benefit if Citron's short  
12 campaign caused NXTTF's stock price to decline.

13 95. On or about September 11, 2018, in exchange for defendant  
14 LEFT's dissemination of negative information on NXTTF, Hedge Fund A  
15 agreed to pay defendant LEFT a portion of Hedge Fund A's profits from  
16 shorting NXTTF.

17 96. On or about September 14, 2018, on the Citron Twitter  
18 Account, defendant LEFT called NXTTF a "total joke," and advised  
19 investors to "drop it like its hot" -- a reference to a pledge party  
20 hosted by NXTTF and iconic rapper Snoop Dogg that employees of Hedge  
21 Fund A attended on September 11, 2018.

22 97. On or about September 25, 2018, in an interview for BNN  
23 (Bloomberg TV's Canadian network), defendant LEFT discussed his  
24 negative tweets about NXTTF and falsely stated, "I am going to keep  
25 shorting NXTTF until it goes to zero." Notwithstanding this  
26 representation, the next day, in an electronic communication to an  
27 executive of Hedge Fund A, defendant LEFT asked, "Should we cover all  
28

1 Namaste and wait or keep some?" The Hedge Fund A executive replied,  
2 "We should cover a bunch."

3 98. In or about October 2018, defendant LEFT and Hedge Fund A  
4 worked together to execute a short campaign targeting India  
5 Globalization Capital Inc. ("IGC"), a company whose securities were  
6 traded on the NYSE under the ticker IGC.

7 99. On or about October 2, 2018, on the Citron Twitter Account,  
8 defendant LEFT posted:

9 a. "\$IGC. If you are able to short, it is a gift. No  
10 product. All hype. Raised Money 2 weeks ago at \$1.15 Finger  
11 traders will get burned. This hype stock is the poster child of a  
12 cannabis bubble. Always cautious but nothing but air. Could write  
13 pages about this scheme."

14 b. "Correction. \$IGC has raised money 3 times in 3 weeks  
15 at an average price of \$3.31. At least the company is honest about  
16 the absurd move The stock should have a skull and crossbones at  
17 Fidelity. Just praying for more borrow to open up. Target price -  
18 \$6 fast[.]"

19 100. On or about October 4, 2018, on the Citron Twitter Account,  
20 defendant LEFT posted concerning NXTTF: "Citron proves without a  
21 doubt the fraud being committed at Namaste Tech \$n \$nxttf. This \$700  
22 mil company will be a 0 one regulators and accountants read." He  
23 also included a link to a Citron short report on NXTTF.

24 101. Hedge Fund A's trading around defendant LEFT's short  
25 campaigns targeting NXTTF and IGC generated profits of more than \$3.8  
26 million. Defendant LEFT's share of these profits was more than \$1.1  
27 million.

28

1           102. Defendant LEFT directed Hedge Fund A to send defendant  
 2 LEFT's share of the trading profits through a third-party  
 3 intermediary to conceal the true beneficiary and purpose of the  
 4 payments from Hedge Fund A to defendant LEFT. Specifically, at  
 5 defendant LEFT's direction, Individual F provided Hedge Fund A with  
 6 false invoices on or about the following dates and in the following  
 7 approximate amounts:

Date	Description	Amount
10/08/18	Research Services	\$840,000
10/08/18	Research Services	\$390,000
10/08/18	Research Services - Canopy Acquisitions & Slang	\$100,000
10/15/18	Research Services - Shopify	\$250,000
10/22/18	Research Services - Exchange Income	\$210,000
10/26/18	Research Services - Trupanion	\$290,000
11/01/18	Research Services - Arlo Netgear	\$240,000
11/13/18	Research Services - ACHC	\$197,000

18           103. Upon receipt of payment of the false invoices by Hedge Fund  
 19 A, Individual F sent wire transfers to a Citibank Account ending  
 20 x7508, controlled by defendant LEFT, on or about the following dates  
 21 and in the following approximate amounts:

22 ///

Date	Description	Amount
11/26/18	Consulting Services from Jan 1 to April 30, 2018	\$250,000
12/05/18	Consulting Services from May 1 to June 31, 2018	\$250,000
12/17/18	Consulting Services from July 1 to Sept 31, 2018	\$250,000
12/24/18	Consulting Services from Sept 31 to Dec 31, 2018	\$250,000
12/31/18	Consulting Services from Nov 1 to Dec 31, 2018	\$137,000

104. On or about January 29, 2021, defendant LEFT knowingly and intentionally made the following materially false statements to a Postal Inspector from the USPIS while the Postal Inspector was in the Central District of California:

a. When asked, "When you check with other hedge funds that specialize in the industry that you are looking at, is there compensation between the two of you?" defendant LEFT falsely stated that there was "[n]ever . . . never, never, never" compensation between himself and hedge funds. In fact, as defendant LEFT then knew, from at least September 2018 through December 2018, defendant LEFT received compensation from Hedge Fund A after consulting with Hedge Fund A in advance of the issuance of Citron's commentary.

b. When further asked, "Do you share your report before it goes public with [hedge funds]?" defendant LEFT falsely stated, "No, no, no, the only thing I might do . . . I might double check a spreadsheet . . . . [I]t's always done to make sure it's factually right." In fact, defendant LEFT routinely shared the content of Citron's commentary with hedge fund managers in advance of publication to give them advanced notice and time to establish or adjust their trading positions and thereby profit or minimize losses from price volatility caused by Citron's publication.



1           105. On a date prior to January 30, 2021, electronic  
2 communications between defendant LEFT and individual A were cleared  
3 from the encrypted messaging app Telegram.

4           106. On or about February 1, 2021, the SEC provided defendant  
5 LEFT and Citron Capital with subpoenas for documents and notice that  
6 evidence, including, but not limited to, all communications through  
7 and content on the Citron Twitter Account, should be preserved and  
8 retained until further notice. On or about March 14, 2023, despite  
9 the SEC's directive to retain such records for an ongoing  
10 investigation, defendant LEFT caused the deletion of electronic  
11 communications contained in the Citron Twitter Account.

12                   Defendant LEFT's Gains from the Fraudulent Scheme

13           107. In furtherance of the scheme to defraud investors,  
14 defendant LEFT manipulated the market for at least 15 Targeted  
15 Securities and thereby fraudulently obtained profits of at least \$16  
16 million through transactions in the LEFT Trading Accounts.

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

COUNTS TWO THROUGH EIGHTEEN

[15 U.S.C. §§ 78j(b), 78ff; 17 C.F.R. § 240.10b-5]

108. The Grand Jury realleges paragraphs 1 through 17 and 19 through 107 of this Indictment here.

A. THE SCHEME TO DEFRAUD

109. Beginning no later than in or about March 2018, and continuing through at least in or about October 2023, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant LEFT, knowingly and willfully, directly and indirectly, by the use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce and the mails and facilities of NYSE and NASDAQ, in connection with the purchase and sale of securities, used and employed manipulative and deceptive devices and contrivances by: (1) employing a scheme to defraud; (2) making untrue statements of material facts and omitting to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (3) engaging in acts, practices, and courses of business which operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon the market, including investors and potential investors in the Targeted Securities identified below, among others.

110. The scheme to defraud operated, in substance, as described in paragraphs 19 through 107 of this Indictment.

B. EXECUTIONS OF THE FRAUDULENT SCHEME

111. On or about the following dates, within the Central District of California, and elsewhere, for the purpose of executing the scheme to defraud described above, defendant LEFT directly and indirectly caused the use of a means and instrumentality of interstate commerce and the facilities of NYSE and NASDAQ in

1 connection with the purchase and sale of securities by issuing Citron  
2 reports and tweets concerning the following issuers:

COUNT	DATE	ISSUER IN CITRON PUBLIC STATEMENT
TWO	08/30/2018	CRON
THREE	10/02/2018	IGC
FOUR	10/04/2018	NXTTF
FIVE	10/18/2018	PTE
SIX	10/23/2018	TSLA
SEVEN	11/20/2018	NVDA
EIGHT	12/20/2018	TWTR
NINE	12/26/2018	FB
TEN	01/08/2019	ROKU
ELEVEN	05/17/2019	BYND
TWELVE	07/31/2019	NVTA
THIRTEEN	08/16/2019	GE
FOURTEEN	01/31/2020	LK
FIFTEEN	04/20/2020	NVAX
SIXTEEN	06/05/2020	AAL
SEVENTEEN	11/27/2020	PLTR
EIGHTEEN	12/23/2020	XL

COUNT NINETEEN

[18 U.S.C. § 1001(a)(2)]

112. The Grand Jury realleges paragraphs 1 through 17 and 86 through 107 of this Indictment here.

113. On or about January 29, 2021, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, and affecting the Federal Investigation in the Central District of California, and in a matter within the jurisdiction of the executive branch of the government of the United States, namely, the FBI, USPIS, the USAO, and DOJ, defendant LEFT knowingly and willfully made the following materially false statements and representations to USPIS knowing that these statements and representations were untrue:

a. Defendant LEFT falsely stated that he did not share Citron's reports with hedge funds before those reports became public except to check the factual accuracy of the report. In truth, defendant LEFT routinely shared the content of Citron reports with hedge fund managers in advance of publication to afford them time to establish or adjust their trading positions and thereby profit or minimize losses from price volatility caused by Citron's publication.

b. Defendant LEFT falsely stated that he "never, never, never" exchanged compensation with a hedge fund after consulting with that hedge fund in advance of the issuance of a Citron report. In truth, as defendant LEFT then knew, from at least September 2018 through December 2018, defendant LEFT received compensation from Hedge Fund A after consulting with Hedge Fund A in advance of the issuance of Citron's commentary.

FORFEITURE ALLEGATION

[18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C); 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)]

114. Pursuant to Rule 32.2 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, notice is hereby given that the United States of America will seek forfeiture as part of any sentence, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), in the event of defendant ANDREW LEFT's conviction of any of the offenses set forth in Counts One through Eighteen of this Indictment.

115. Defendant LEFT, if so convicted, shall forfeit to the United States of America the following:

a. All right, title, and interest in any and all property, real or personal, constituting, or derived from, any proceeds traceable to the offense; and

b. To the extent such property is not available for forfeiture, a sum of money equal to the total value of the property described in subparagraph (a).

116. Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(c), as incorporated by Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), defendant LEFT, if so convicted, shall forfeit substitute property, up to the total value of the property described in the preceding paragraph if, as the result of any act or omission of that defendant, the property described in the preceding paragraph, or any portion thereof: (a) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; (b) has been transferred, sold to or deposited with a third party; (c) has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court; (d) has been substantially diminished in value; or (e) has


1 been commingled with other property that cannot be divided without  
2 difficulty.

3  
4 A TRUE BILL

5  
6 /s/  
7 \_\_\_\_\_  
Foreperson

8 E. MARTIN ESTRADA  
9 United States Attorney

GLENN S. LEON  
Chief, Fraud Section  
Criminal Division  
U.S. Department of Justice

10   
11 MACK E. JENKINS  
Assistant United States Attorney  
12 Chief, Criminal Division

LAUREN ARCHER  
MATTHEW REILLY  
Trial Attorneys  
Fraud Section  
Criminal Division  
U.S. Department of Justice

13 BRETT A. SAGEL  
Assistant United States Attorney  
14 Chief, Corporate and Securities  
Fraud Strike Force

15 ALEXANDER B. SCHWAB  
Assistant United States Attorney  
16 Deputy Chief, Corporate and  
17 Securities Fraud Strike Force

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28