	Case 4:19-cr-00917-JAS-LCK Document 7	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		TES DISTRICT COURT
9 10		
11 12 13 14 15 16	United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. 1. Omar Stephenson (All Counts, Forfeiture),	SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT CR 19-00917-TUC-JAS (LCK) VIOLATIONS: 18 U.S.C. §1349 (Conspiracy to Commit Mail and Wire Fraud) (Count 1)
17 18 19 20 21	 Morgan Forrester (Count 2, Forfeiture), Lionel England (Count 2, Forfeiture), Kemar Robert Warren (Count 2, Forfeiture), 	18 U.S.C.§1956(h) (Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering) (Count 2) 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C), 982(a)(1), 982(a)(8); 21 U.S.C. § 2461(c) (Forfeiture Allegation)
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Defendants. THE GRAND JURY CHARGES: /// ///	

1 2

Count 1 Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud and Wire Fraud [18 U.S.C. §1349]

1. From a time unknown and continuing through on or about March 1, 3 2019, in the District of Arizona, and elsewhere, the defendant, OMAR 4 STEPHENSON (hereinafter "STEPHENSON"), and others known and unknown 5 to the grand jury, knowingly and voluntarily did unlawfully combine, conspire, 6 7 confederate and agree together and with each other to knowingly execute and attempt to execute a scheme or artifice to defraud and a scheme or plan to obtain 8 9 money or property by means of material false or fraudulent representations. promises and the intentional concealment of material facts, in violation of Title 18, 10 11 United States Code, Section 1341 (Mail Fraud) and Title 18, United States Code, 12 Section 1343 (Wire Fraud).

13

Manner/Means of the Conspiracy/Scheme to Defraud

14 2. This scheme targeted the elderly. The primary purpose of the conspiracy 15 and scheme to defraud was for the defendants and other co-conspirators in the 16 conspiracy to fraudulently obtain money from various victims through a fraudulent 17 sweepstakes or lottery scheme. In furtherance of the conspiracy and scheme to 18 defraud, the co-conspirators fraudulently communicated to individuals that they 19 had won a lottery or a prize. These communications also fraudulently represented 20 that in order to obtain these winnings, the victims were required to make 21 arrangements to pay taxes or other fees. These representations were false and 22 fraudulent. In reality, the co-conspirators merely made these false and fraudulent 23 representations with the intent to defraud solely to obtain the victims' money and 24 without any intent to provide any prize winnings. 25

3. As an example of how the conspiracy and scheme to defraud operated,
J.S. at the time was approximately 85 years old when Victim J.S. received the
fraudulent communications from the co-conspirators. J.S. communicated with one

or more of the co-conspirators by phone and made arrangements to provide the 1 "fees" believing that J.S. had won and would receive the prize winnings in return. 2 J.S. was instructed to mail money to STEPHENSON. J.S. received three checks 3 by mail on July 19, 2018 (\$9,000.00 from Virginia), July 25, 2018 (\$11,500.00 4 from Hawaii), and July 30, 2018 (\$45,000.00 from Pennsylvania) from three other 5 victims. The co-conspirators told J.S. the money was to help him pay for fees and 6 7 taxes. J.S. also received a wire transfer on August 3, 2018 for \$950.00 from 8 another victim to help J.S. with expenses. On October 29, 2018 and November 29, 9 2018, J.S. mailed two payments totaling \$1,000 to STEPHENSON. Both 10 STEPHENSON and MORGAN FORRESTER (hereinafter "FORRESTER") live 11 at the residence Victim J.S. sent money to in Snellville, GA.

4. FORRESTER, ENGLAND, and WARREN would cash money orders and take cash from defrauded elderly victims and wire that money to Jamaica.

5. As an essential part of the conspiracy, as indicated above, the coconspirators caused to be issued mailings and interstate wire communications in
furtherance of the conspiracy all in violation of Title 18, United States Code,
Section 1349, enhanced by Title 18, U.S.C. § 2326, telemarketing fraud targeting
persons over the age of 55.

COUNT 2 Conspiracy to Commit Money Laundering [Title 18 U.S.C. § 1956(h)]

6. The factual allegations in paragraphs 2-5 of this indictment are re-alleged
and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

7. From a time unknown through at least March 1, 2019, within the District
of Arizona and elsewhere, defendants STEPHENSON, FORRESTER,
ENGLAND, WARREN, and others known and unknown to the grand jury, did

United States v. Stephenson et al. Superseding Indictment Page 3 of 6

12

13

20

21

22

knowingly and willfully combine conspire and agree together, with each other and
 others to commit the following offense against the United States:

Objects of the Conspiracy

a. Concealment money laundering in violation of Title 18, United States
Code, § 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).

8. The manner and means employed by the defendants STEPHENSON, FORRESTER, ENGLAND, WARREN, and others in the conspiracy, to effect the objects of the conspiracy, were as follows:

9 a. knowing that the property involved in the financial transactions 10 represented the proceeds of some form of unlawful activity, the 11 defendants and others knowingly conducted, knowingly caused to be 12 conducted, and attempted to conduct financial transactions through 13 interstate commerce, which in fact involved the proceeds of specified 14 unlawful activity, that is, Mail and Wire Fraud, in violation of Title 18 15 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343, knowing that the transactions were designed in 16 whole or in part to conceal and disguise the nature, location, source, 17 ownership and the control of the proceeds of some form of unlawful 18 activity in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 19 1956(a)(1)(B)(i).

20

3

4

5

6

7

8

9. The defendants entered into a conspiracy to receive proceeds derived from the lottery fraud scheme to disguise the nature, location, source, ownership and control of the fraudulent proceeds. The defendants entered into a conspiracy to launder the fraudulent proceeds from the lottery fraud scheme from the United States to Jamaica, in part, to disguise the nature, location, source, ownership, and control of such fraudulent proceeds.

27

10. All in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section 1956(h).

Forfeiture Allegation

11. Upon conviction of an offense in violation of Title 18, United States
Code, Section 1349, defendant, STEPHENSON, shall forfeit to the United States
of America, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 981(a)(1)(C) and
Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), any property, real or personal,
which constitutes or is derived from proceeds traceable to the offense.

7 12. Upon conviction of an offense in violation of Title 18, United States
8 Code, Section 1343 and/or 1341, or of a conspiracy to commit such offenses, also
9 in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, the defendant,
10 STEPHENSON shall forfeit to the United States of America, pursuant to Title 18,
11 United States Code, Section 982(a)(8), any real or personal property:

(A) used or intended to be used to commit, to facilitate, or to promote the
 commission of such offenses; and

(B) constituting, derived from, or traceable to the gross proceeds obtained directly or indirectly as a result of the offenses.

16
13. Upon conviction of an offense in violation of Title 18, United States
17
18
18
19
19
19
10
11
11
12
13
14
15
15
16
16
17
18
19
19
10
10
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
15
16
17
16
17
18
19
10
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
18
18
19
10
10
10
10
10
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
17
18
19
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
11
12
12
13
14
14
15
16
17
17
16
17
17
18
18
19
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
10
<l

14. The property to be forfeited includes, but is not limited to, a sum of
money of at least \$100,000 in U.S. currency, equal to the amount of proceeds
obtained as a result of the offenses.

15. If any of the forfeitable property, as a result of any act or omission of
the defendants: (1) cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence; (2) has
been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third party; (3) has been placed
beyond the jurisdiction of the court; (4) has been substantially diminished in value;

1

14

Case 4:19-cr-00917-JAS-LCK Document 79 Filed 11/06/19 Page 6 of 6

1	or (5) has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without
2	difficulty; it is the intent of the United States, pursuant to Title 21, United States
3	Code, Section 853(p), as incorporated by Title 18, United States Code, Section
4	982(b)(1) and Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), to seek forfeiture of
5	any other property of said defendants up to the value of the above forfeitable
6	property, including but not limited to all property, both real and personal, owned
7	by the defendants.

8 16. All pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Sections 981(a)(1)(C),
9 982(a)(1), 982(a)(8), 982(b)(1), Title 28, United States Code, Section 2461(c), and
10 Rule 32.2(a), Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.

A TRUE BILL

13		181
14		Presiding Juror
15		Trostaning Juroi
16	MICHAEL BAILEY United States Attorney	Redacted for
17	District of Arizona	PUBLIC DISCLOSURE
18	181	
19	Assistant U.S. Attorney	
20	Dated: November 6, 2019	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	United States v. Stephenson et al. Superseding Indictment Page 6 of 6	

11