UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

STATE OF ALABAMA,

and

STATE OF TENNESSEE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

REPUBLIC SERVICES, INC.

and

SANTEK WASTE SERVICES, LLC,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:21-cv-00883-RDM

UNITED STATES' UNOPPOSED MOTION AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF ENTRY OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Pursuant to Section 2(b) of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16(b)-(h) ("APPA"), the United States of America ("United States") moves the Court to enter the proposed Final Judgment filed in this civil antitrust proceeding on March 31, 2021 (Dkt. No. 2-2) (attached as Exhibit A).

The proposed Final Judgment may be entered at this time without further proceedings if the Court determines that entry is in the public interest. 15 U.S.C. § 16(e). The Competitive Impact Statement ("CIS") filed in this matter on April 2, 2021 (Dkt. No. 7) explains why entry of the proposed Final Judgment is in the public interest. The United States is also filing a Certificate

of Compliance (attached as Exhibit B) showing that the parties have complied with all applicable provisions of the APPA and certifying that the 60-day statutory public comment period has expired.

I. BACKGROUND

On March 31, 2021, the United States and the State of Alabama filed a civil antitrust Complaint seeking to enjoin the proposed acquisition of Santek Waste Services, LLC ("Santek") by Republic Services, Inc. ("Republic"). The Complaint alleges that the likely effect of this acquisition would be to substantially lessen competition in the market for small container commercial waste ("SCCW") collection and municipal solid waste ("MSW") disposal in six geographic markets in the southeastern United States in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18. This loss of competition likely would result in higher prices and lower quality and level of service.

The United States also filed a proposed Final Judgment and an Asset Preservation

Stipulation and Order ("Stipulation and Order") on March 31, 2021 and a CIS describing the events giving rise to the alleged violation and the proposed Final Judgment on April 2, 2021. The Stipulation and Order, which was agreed to by the parties and which was entered by the Court on April 9, 2021 (Dkt. No. 8), provides that the proposed Final Judgment may be entered by the Court once the requirements of the APPA have been met.

On June 9, 2021, the United States filed a Consent Motion for Leave to File an Amended Complaint ("Motion") (Dkt. No. 13). The Court granted the Motion on the same day and deemed the Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 13-2) filed. The Amended Complaint added the State of Tennessee as a plaintiff. The State of Tennessee stipulated that it agrees with the settlement filed

in this matter (Dkt. No. 13-1). The State of Tennessee also stipulated that the proposed Final Judgment may be entered once the requirements of the APPA have been met (Dkt. No. 13-1).

The proposed Final Judgment requires Defendants to divest landfills, transfer stations, hauling locations, and waste collection routes in Alabama, Georgia, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Texas. Entry of the proposed Final Judgment will terminate this action, except that the Court will retain jurisdiction to construe, modify, or enforce the provisions of the Final Judgment and to punish violations thereof.

II. COMPLIANCE WITH THE APPA

The Certificate of Compliance filed with this Motion and Memorandum states that all the requirements of the APPA have been satisfied. In particular, the APPA requires a 60-day period for the submission of written comments relating to the proposed Final Judgment. 15 U.S.C. § 16(b). In compliance with the APPA, the United States filed the proposed Final Judgment and the CIS with the Court on March 31, 2021, and April 2, 2021, respectively; published the proposed Final Judgment and CIS in the *Federal Register* on April 8, 2021 (*see* 86 Fed. Reg. 18,300 (2021)); and caused a summary of the terms of the proposed Final Judgment and the CIS, along with directions for the submission of written comments, to be published in *The Washington Post* for seven days during the period April 7, 2021 to April 13, 2021. The public comment period concluded on June 14, 2021, and the United States did not receive any comments.

III. STANDARD OF JUDICIAL REVIEW

Before entering the proposed Final Judgment, the APPA requires the Court to determine whether the proposed Final Judgment "is in the public interest." 15 U.S.C. § 16(e)(1). In making that determination, the Court, in accordance with the statute as amended in 2004, "shall consider":

(A) the competitive impact of such judgment, including termination of alleged violations, provisions for enforcement and modification, duration of relief sought, anticipated effects of alternative remedies actually considered,

- whether its terms are ambiguous, and any other competitive considerations bearing upon the adequacy of such judgment that the court deems necessary to a determination of whether the consent judgment is in the public interest; and
- (B) the impact of entry of such judgment upon competition in the relevant market or markets, upon the public generally and individuals alleging specific injury from the violations set forth in the complaint including consideration of the public benefit, if any, to be derived from a determination of the issues at trial.

15 U.S.C. § 16(e)(1)(A), (B). Section 16(e)(2) of the APPA states that "[n]othing in this section shall be construed to require the court to conduct an evidentiary hearing or to require the court to permit anyone to intervene." 15 U.S.C. § 16(e)(2). In its CIS, the United States explained the meaning and the proper application of the public interest standard under the APPA to this case and now incorporates those statements by reference.

IV. ENTRY OF THE PROPOSED FINAL JUDGEMENT IS IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST

The United States and the States of Alabama and Tennessee alleged in its Complaint that the acquisition of Santek by Republic would substantially lessen competition for SCCW collection or MSW disposal in six geographic markets in the southeastern United States in violation of Section 7 of the Clayton Act, 15 U.S.C. § 18. As explained in the CIS, the proposed Final Judgment is designed to eliminate the likely anticompetitive effects of the acquisition alleged in the Complaint by requiring Defendants to divest landfills, transfer stations, hauling locations, and waste collection routes in Alabama, Georgia, Mississippi, Tennessee, and Texas. The public, including affected competitors and customers, has had the opportunity to comment on the proposed Final Judgment, and no comments were submitted. As explained in the CIS, entry of the proposed Final Judgment is in the public interest.

V. CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth in this Motion and Memorandum and in the CIS, the United States respectfully requests that the Court find that the proposed Final Judgment is in the public interest and enter the proposed Final Judgment.

Dated: June 29, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Gabriella R. Moskowitz

GABRIELLA R. MOSKOWITZ
(D.C. Bar #1044309)
Antitrust Division
U.S. Department of Justice
Defense, Industrials, and Aerospace Section
450 Fifth St. N.W., Suite 8700
Washington, D.C. 20530
gabriella.moskowitz@usdoj.gov

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA