

FILED

03 JUN -2 AM 9:05

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BY:

[Handwritten Signature]

DEPUTY

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

RODERICK A. PRESCOTT, and
TRUST EDUCATIONAL SERVICES, a Trust,

Defendants.

Civil No. 02-CV-0692-L(LAB)

**STIPULATION FOR PERMANENT
INJUNCTION AND OTHER EQUITABLE
RELIEF, AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

The plaintiff, the United States of America, and the defendants, Roderick A. ("Rick") Prescott and Trust Educational Services, a Trust, have stipulated and agreed to waive the findings of fact and conclusions of law required by Rule 65(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and to the entry of a permanent injunction against the defendants as set forth below.

PERMANENT INJUNCTION

1. The defendant, Roderick A. Prescott, individually and doing business as Trust Educational Services (TES), has engaged in conduct subject to penalty under IRC § 6700, and injunctive relief under IRC § 7408 is appropriate to prevent a recurrence of such conduct.

**STIPULATION FOR PERMANENT
INJUNCTION AND OTHER
EQUITABLE RELIEF, AND
PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

United States v. Roderick Prescott, et al.
Case No. 02-CV-0692-L(LAB) (SD Cal.)

1 2. Each of the defendants has engaged in conduct that interferes with the enforcement of the
2 internal revenue laws, and injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of such conduct
3 pursuant to the Court's inherent equity powers and IRC § 7402(a);

4 3. Pursuant to IRC §§ 7408 and 7402, this Court hereby enters a permanent injunction
5 prohibiting the defendants, individually and doing business as Trust Educational Services (TES), or doing
6 business through any other entity, their representatives, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and those
7 persons in active concert or participation with them, from directly or indirectly:

- 8 (1) Organizing, promoting, marketing or selling purported non-grantor trusts,
9 sometimes referred to as business, family or holding trusts; and any other abusive
10 tax shelter, plan or arrangement which advises or encourages customers to attempt
11 to violate the internal revenue laws or unlawfully evade the assessment or
12 collection of their federal tax liabilities;
- 13 (2) Organizing, selling, or assisting in the organization of an entity or otherwise
14 promoting any plan or arrangement based upon:
- 15 (a) the false representation that customers may continue to control and
16 receive the beneficial enjoyment from assets irrevocably transferred
17 to trust without regard to the grantor trust rules provided by IRC §§
18 673 through 677;
- 19 (b) the false representation that equipment or property transferred to a
20 trust by a business can be rented or leased back to the business at
21 inflated rates, thereby transferring income from business to the trust
22 for the purpose of improperly avoiding taxes;
- 23 (c) the false representation that customers' personal residences can be
24 transferred to a trust and then depreciated as a business asset for the
25 purpose of improperly avoiding taxes;
- 26 (d) the false representation that maintenance and upkeep on a personal
27 residence can be fully deducted by a trust for the purpose of
28 improperly avoiding taxes;
- 29 (e) the false representation that other personal expenses incurred by
30 customers can be paid through a trust in order to improperly obtain
31 tax benefits not available to individuals;

32 **STIPULATION FOR PERMANENT
33 INJUNCTION AND OTHER
34 EQUITABLE RELIEF, AND
35 PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

United States v. Roderick Prescott, et al.
Case No. 02-CV-0692-L(LAB) (SD Cal.)

1 (f) the false representation that assets irrevocably transferred to a trust
2 (other than an entity recognized by the IRS as an exempt
3 organization under IRC § 501(c)(3)) by a customer qualify for a
"tax deferred exchange" which precludes any federal income or gift
tax liability as a result of the transfer; and

4 (3) Taking any other actions which interfere with the enforcement of the internal
5 revenue laws, or which are subject to penalty under IRC § 6700.

6 4. This Court, pursuant to IRC §§ 7408 and 7402, hereby enters an injunction requiring
7 defendant Roderick A. Prescott (a former promoter of National Trust Services (NTS) and a promoter of
8 Trust Educational Services (TES)) to turn over to the United States any records in his possession, custody
9 or control (including documents possessed by his accountants, attorneys, agents, and employees) that
10 identify the customers who have previously attended a TES or NTS Basic Trust Academy and/or utilized
11 the TES or NTS Complex Trust System to set up family, business, or holding trusts, or private charitable
12 foundations.

13 5. Within 30 days of the entry of this permanent injunction, defendant Prescott will file a
14 document with this Court certifying that the actions compelled in paragraph 4, above, have been
15 accomplished by him.

16 6. The Court retains jurisdiction over the defendants, Prescott and TES, and this action
17 for the purpose of enforcing the permanent injunction entered against defendants. Plaintiff shall be
18 entitled to conduct all discovery permitted under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the purpose of
19 monitoring defendants' compliance with the terms of this permanent injunction.

20 7. The parties have stipulated to the facts recited herein solely for the purposes of this civil
21 action. The stipulation shall not be admissible in any other proceeding between the United States of
22 America and the defendants in this or any other court with the exception of an action to enforce the terms
23 and provisions of this permanent injunction, a contempt action related to this injunction, or any other
24 future litigation involving an issue regarding whether defendants have failed to comply with this
25 injunction.

26 **STIPULATION FOR PERMANENT**
27 **INJUNCTION AND OTHER**
28 **EQUITABLE RELIEF, AND**
PERMANENT INJUNCTION

United States v. Roderick Prescott, et al.
Case No. 02-CV-0692-L(LAB) (SD Cal.)

1 8. The stipulated facts, or factual findings made herein, may be admissible in any other
 2 proceeding in any court involving investors or participants in any tax shelter, plan or arrangement
 3 promoted by the defendants.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED this 30 day of May, 2003


5 BY THE COURT:

6
 7 
 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9
 10
 11
 12 Prepared and submitted by:

13 CAROL C. LAM
 14 United States Attorney

15 ROBERT H. PLAXICO State Bar No. 054953
 16 Assistant United States Attorney

17 
 18 ROBERT D. METCALFE
 19 Trial Attorney, Tax Division
 20 U.S. Department of Justice
 21 P.O. Box 7238
 22 Ben Franklin Station
 23 Washington, D.C. 20044-7238
 24 Telephone: (202) 307-6525
 25 Facsimile: (202) 514-6770

26 Attorneys for Plaintiff, United States of America

27 **STIPULATION FOR PERMANENT
 28 INJUNCTION AND OTHER
 EQUITABLE RELIEF, AND
 PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

United States v. Roderick Prescott, et al.
 Case No. 02-CV-0692-L(LAB) (SD Cal.)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Seen and agreed to:



LAMOND R. MILLS
MILLS & MILLS, LLC
Nevada Bar #001944
502 South Ninth Street
Las Vegas, NV 89101
Telephone: (702) 386-0030

Attorney for Defendants, Roderick A. Prescott
and Trust Educational Services

**STIPULATION FOR PERMANENT
INJUNCTION AND OTHER
EQUITABLE RELIEF, AND
PERMANENT INJUNCTION**

United States v. Roderick Prescott, et al.
Case No. 02-CV-0692-L(LAB) (SD Cal.)