

April 2014

Bystander-Focused Prevention of Sexual Violence

Research on the causes of sexual violence and evaluation of prevention efforts indicates that bystanders (also referred to as witnesses, defenders, or upstanders) are a key piece of prevention work.

Common Components of Bystander Intervention

- **Awareness**. A key first step is to heighten awareness so individuals and groups are better able to identify instances of sexual violence.
- Sense of Responsibility. A sense of responsibility gives the bystander motivation to step in and take action. Bystanders are much more likely to help friends than strangers, and are more likely to help strangers if they see them as part of a group they identify with (like supporting the same sports team).¹
- **Perceptions of norms**. Perceptions of peer norms about helping (whether you think your friends are likely to help), and perceptions of authorities' (like teachers') attitudes are related to bystander attitudes. People often mistakenly think others are less supportive of doing something to address sexual violence than they actually are. Studies show links between perceptions of helping, trust, and commitment among community members; trust in campus authorities; and their willingness to take action as a bystander.²
- Weighing pros and cons. People weigh the costs and benefits of getting involved in a risky situation. These include threats to their own safety, negative consequences for their relationships with others, and the potential to change the outcome of a risky situation or to help a victim.
- **Confidence**. People who feel more confident in their ability to help are more likely to take action.³ A consistent research finding is that prevention programs, particularly in-person educational and skill workshops, increase individuals' sense that they can take effective action.⁴
- **Building Skills**. People need to know what to do and how to do it. Population survey data shows that many people are at a loss for specific ways to help. Survivors tell us that friends and family do not always do things that are useful or supportive, and these negative or unhelpful responses make coping with and recovering from abuse much harder. Some of the promise of bystander intervention training is that it can give motivated community members skills to intervene in ways that protect their own safety and are truly supportive to victims.
- **Context**. Bystanders also need safety nets for themselves resources they can call upon and community policies that support intervention.

Delivery Methods

• In person, skill-building curricula. Workshops of varying lengths are the most researched prevention training for potential bystanders. Some of the first programs were Katz's Mentors in Violence Prevention^{7,8} and Berkowitz's Men's Project. Moynihan et al.'s Bringing in the Bystander^{TM11} mainly addresses sexual violence but also includes segments related to relationship abuse. Green Dot has an intensive training curriculum called SEEDS for college students that is also now being implemented and evaluated in high schools. Foubert's One in Four has programs for men and for women that train them to be active bystanders, again with more of a focus on sexual assault.

The biggest and most consistent impacts of bystander training are on attitudes, including confidence as a bystander, intent to take action, and perceived benefits of action.¹⁷ Students have also shown decreases in belief in rape myths and increases in knowledge.¹⁸ Published results exist for MVP, Bringing in the Bystander™, One in Four, Coaching Boys to Men¹⁹ (for high school students), and Green Dot, though studies vary quite a bit in the methods used.²⁰ Berkowitz's Men's Project has some data to suggest that it may reduce perpetration of sexual assault among some participants in the program and change men's norms about coercion in relationships.²¹ The Coaching Boys to Men program and Bringing in the Bystander have both shown higher self-reported bystander behaviors among participants in these programs.²²

Several key studies of bystander programs used more rigorous experimental and quasiexperimental designs but more research is needed.²³ A recent meta-analysis found promising effects of bystander prevention curricula.²⁴

• Social marketing campaigns. These have been developed to raise awareness across many different groups of people. On campuses, Know Your Power™ is one of the best researched. It models positive bystander actions.²⁵ Green Dot is also a college-based anti-violence project that includes a social marketing awareness campaign. Evaluations of this work are underway.²⁶ The Red Flag campaign²⁷ in Virginia has not yet been evaluated. These are merely a few examples as new local campaigns are being developed at a rapid rate.

Research suggests that these campaigns increase awareness of the problem of relationship abuse and sexual violence, as well as positive attitudes about being an active bystander across various groups of people.²⁸ However, social marketing campaigns alone have not yet been linked to changes in behavior and are likely to be particularly useful when linked with other prevention tools.²⁹ Evaluation data are limited with the exception of the Know Your Power™ campaign, which found promising attitude change results across several studies.³⁰

- Online resources. Bystander prevention is increasingly going online:
 - The <u>University of Montana</u>³¹ has a program for all students about sexual assault that includes a segment on how to be a helpful bystander.
 - Emory University ³² has developed a three-hour training for college men that includes a bystander component.
 - Haven³³ and Every Choice³⁴ also have bystander components in their online sexual assault trainings.
 - The <u>National Sexual Violence Resource Center</u>³⁵ has an online training related to bystander action in broader community contexts.
 - o Agent of Change³⁶ is another online training with some preliminary evaluation results.
 - The app <u>Circle of 6</u>³⁷ focuses on preventing sexual assault by helping individuals mobilize their support system to intervene when they might need help.

These are a few examples, though no published evaluations exist and more research is needed to examine their effectiveness. A growing amount of research describes the effectiveness of online prevention efforts for certain health behaviors like problematic drinking and HIV prevention.³⁸ This is an interesting innovation that warrants rigorous evaluation research.

• Interactive theater. This is another strategy for reaching large audiences with fewer resources and is particularly popular as part of college campus first year student orientations. Central

Michigan's No Zebras, ³⁹ California State's InterACT program, ⁴⁰ and SCREAM Theater at Rutgers ⁴¹ are three of the most well-known examples that place bystanders at the center of the theater skits. A group of actors stages scenes related to sexual assault or relationship violence and at key moments asks for audience input or participation to model positive bystander intervention and risk reduction. To date, InterACT is the only one evaluated in the peer review literature using a pre- post design. ⁴² A rigorous evaluation of SCREAM is currently underway. ⁴³

Faculty Training. Also important, especially on college campuses, are the faculty, who are part
of the community. Training for faculty is emerging as an interesting next step for bystander
prevention at colleges and universities. To date, curricula have been developed but not
evaluated.⁴⁴

Combining Tools

- Research is clear that using multiple tools for a multi-pronged approach to prevention is best.⁴⁵
- A recent study showed the benefits of combining a bystander-focused social marketing campaign with an educational workshop to improve attitudes about being an active bystander.
- A rigorous research study in middle schools showed the benefits of a classroom based curriculum combined with school-wide efforts – including policy changes and enforcement, involvement of teachers as active bystanders and monitors and a school-wide poster campaign. The school-wide efforts seemed most crucial for violence reduction.⁴⁷

Challenges to bystander action

Research also tells us that bystanders are often unsure of themselves as responders. They are unclear about whether intervention is needed or welcome, or what they should do to help. For example, a national survey of adults found that over half the sample suspected they knew a friend, family member or co-worker who was a victim of domestic violence, but 65% wanted more information about what to do about it. A study of college students found that 58% did not know how to help a victim. These studies show that bystanders often lack the awareness and skills to take helpful actions. Recent research also indicates that bystander action is different if they know the victim, the perpetrator or both. Further, some new findings suggest that compared to other forms of interpersonal violence, sexual violence may be less safe for bystanders, highlighting the importance of bystander safety as a critical component of prevention work. Part of increasing safety is changing community contexts so that there are adequate resources for bystanders to draw upon, peer norms encourage helping together, and bystanders learn skills for how to help without putting themselves in danger.

Bystander intervention is one promising component of sexual violence prevention. Research suggests it will be most effective if bystanders are provided with active learning experiences to build skills, if education is conducted in combination with peer norms shifts, and if intervention is supported by policies that provide safety nets for bystanders.

http://tva.sagepub.com/content/early/2013/07/24/1524838013496335.abstract

https://www.facebook.com/mvpnational

⁹http://www.alanberkowitz.com/articles/VAW_Bystander_Paper.pdf

11 http://cola.unh.edu/prevention-innovations/bystander

http://www.livethegreendot.com/index.html

Foubert, J.D., Langhinrichsen-Rohling J., Brasfield, H. & Hills, B. (2010). Effects of a rape awareness program on college women: increasing bystander efficacy and willingness to intervene. *Journal of Community Psychology, 38*, 813-827;

Katz, J., Heisterkamp, A. & Flemming, A. M. (2011). The social justice roots of the Mentors in Violence Prevention model and its application to a high school setting. *Violence Against Women*, *17*, 684-702;

Langhinrichsen-Rohling, J., Foubert, J. D., Brasfield, H. M., Hill, B., & Shelley-Tremblay, S. (2011). The Men's Program: Does it impact college men's self-reported bystander efficacy and willingness to intervene? *Violence Against Women, 17,* 743-759; Miller, E., Tancredit, D. J., McCauley, H. L., Decker, M. R., Virata, M. C. D., Anderson, H. A., ... Silverman, J. G. (2012). "Coaching boys into men": A cluster-randomized controlled trial of a dating violence prevention program. *Journal of Adolescent Health, 51* 431–438.

¹Bennett, S., Banyard, V. L., Garnhart, L. (under review) To act or not to act, That is the question?: Barriers and facilitators of bystander intervention; Levine, M., Prosser, A., Evans, D., & Reicher, S. (2005). Identity and emergency intervention: How social group membership and inclusiveness of group boundaries shape helping behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *31*, 443-453.

² Sulkowski, M. L. (2011). An investigation of students' willingness to report violence in campus communities. Psychology of Violence, 1, 53-65.

³ Banyard, V. L., Eckstein, R., & Moynihan, M. M. (2010). Sexual violence prevention: The role of stages of change. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, 25, 111-135.

⁴ Banyard, V.L., Moynihan, M.M., & Plante, E.G. (2007). Sexual violence prevention through bystander education: An experimental evaluation. *Journal of Community Psychology*, *35*, 463–481.

⁵ Knowledge Networks (2011). College Dating Violence and Abuse Poll. Accessed from https://www.breakthecycle.org/college-dating-violence-and-abuse-poll

⁶ Sylaska, K. M. & Edwards, K. M. (2013). Disclosure of intimate partner violence to informal social support network members: A review of the literature. Trauma, Violence, and Abuse, published online first July 24, 2013.

⁸ Katz, J., Heisterkamp, A. & Flemming, A. M. (2011). The social justice roots of the Mentors in Violence Prevention model and its application to a high school setting. *Violence Against Women, 17,* 684-702.

¹⁰ Gidycz, C. A., Orchowski, L. A., & Berkowitz, A. D. (2011). Preventing sexual aggression among college men: An evaluation of a social norms and bystander intervention program. *Violence Against Women, 17*, 720-742.

¹² Banyard, V.L., Moynihan, M.M., & Plante, E.G. (2007). Sexual violence prevention through bystander education: An experimental evaluation. *Journal of Community Psychology*, *35*, 463–481.

¹⁴ Coker, A. L., Cook-Craig, P. G., Williams, C. M., Fisher, B. S., Clear, E R., Garcia, L. S., & Hegge, L. M. (2011). Evaluating Green Dot: An active bystander intervention to reduce sexual assault on the college campuses. *Violence Against Women, 17*, 777-796. ¹⁵ http://www.oneinfourusa.org/index.php

Langhinrichsen-Rohling, J., Foubert, J. D., Brasfield, H. M., Hill, B., & Shelley-Tremblay, S. (2011). The Men's Program: Does it impact college men's self-reported bystander efficacy and willingness to intervene? *Violence Against Women, 17*, 743-759.

¹⁷ Banyard, V.L., Moynihan, M.M., & Plante, E.G. (2007). Sexual violence prevention through bystander education: An experimental evaluation. *Journal of Community Psychology*, *35*, 463–481

¹⁸ Cares, A.C., Banyard, V. L., Moynihan, M. M., Potter, S. J., Williams, L. M., & Stapleton, J. G. (in press). Changing attitudes about being a bystander to violence: Translating an in-person education program to a new campus. *Violence Against Women;*¹⁹ http://www.futureswithoutviolence.org/content/features/detail/811/

²⁰ Ahrens, C. E., Rich, M. D., & Ullman, J. B. (2011). Rehearsing for real life: The impact of the interACT sexual assault prevention program on self-reported likelihood of engaging in bystander interventions. *Violence Against Women, 17*, 760-776; Banyard, V.L., Moynihan, M.M., & Plante, E.G. (2007). Sexual violence prevention through bystander education: An experimental evaluation. *Journal of Community Psychology, 35*, 463–481;

Cares, A.C., Banyard, V. L., Moynihan, M. M., Potter, S. J., Williams, L. M., & Stapleton, J. G. (in press). Changing attitudes about being a bystander to violence: Translating an in-person education program to a new campus. *Violence Against Women;* Coker, A. L., Cook-Craig, P. G., Williams, C. M., Fisher, B. S., Clear, E R., Garcia, L. S., & Hegge, L. M. (2011). Evaluating Green Dot: An active bystander intervention to reduce sexual assault on the college campuses. *Violence Against Women, 17*, 777-796; Gidycz, C. A., Orchowski, L. A., & Berkowitz, A. D. (2011). Preventing sexual aggression among college men: An evaluation of a social norms and bystander intervention program. *Violence Against Women, 17*, 720-742;

²¹ Gidycz, C. A., Orchowski, L. A., & Berkowitz, A. D. (2011). Preventing sexual aggression among college men: An evaluation of a social norms and bystander intervention program. *Violence Against Women, 17,* 720-742.

²² Miller, E., Tancredit, D. J., McCauley, H. L., Decker, M. R., Virata, M. C. D., Anderson, H. A., ... Silverman, J. G. (2012). "Coaching boys into men": A cluster-randomized controlled trial of a dating violence prevention program. Journal of Health, 51 431-438.

²³ Miller, E., Tancredit, D. J., McCauley, H. L., Decker, M. R., Virata, M. C. D., Anderson, H. A., ... Silverman, J. G. (2012). "Coaching boys into men": A cluster-randomized controlled trial of a dating violence prevention program. Journal of Adolescent Health, 51 431-438.

²⁴ Katz, J. & Moore, J. (2013). Bystander education training for campus sexual assault prevention: An initial meta-analysis. Violence and Victims, 28, 1054-1067.

²⁵ Potter, S. J. (2012). Using a multi-media social marketing campaign to increase active bystanders on the college campus. Journal of American College Health, 60(4), 282-295.

²⁶ Coker, A. L., Cook-Craig, P. G., Williams, C. M., Fisher, B. S., Clear, E R., Garcia, L. S., & Hegge, L. M. (2011). Evaluating Green Dot: An active bystander intervention to reduce sexual assault on the college campuses. Violence Against Women. 17, 777-796. ²⁷ www.theredflagcampaign.org

²⁸ Potter, S. J. (2012). Using a multi-media social marketing campaign to increase active bystanders on the college campus. Journal of American College Health, 60(4), 282-295.

²⁹ Banyard, V., Potter, S. J., Cares, A. C., Williams, L. M., Moynihan, M. M., & Stapleton, J. G. (unpublished manuscript). Bystander interventions to prevention sexual violence on diverse campuses: A test of multiple prevention tools.

³⁰ Potter, S. J. (2012). Using a multi-media social marketing campaign to increase active bystanders on the college campus. Journal of American College Health, 60(4), 282-295

³¹ www.umt.edu/petsa

http://emoryott.technologypublisher.com/technology/12983

³³ www.everfi.com/haven

http://every-choice.com

www.nsvrc.org/publications/nsvrc-publications/engaging-bystanders-sexual-violence-prevention

http://agentofchange.net/data.html

www.circleof6app.com

³⁸ Paschall, M.J., Antin, T., Ringwalk, C. L., & Saltz, R. F. (2011). Evaluation of an internet-based alcohol misuse prevention course for college freshmen: Findings of a randomized multi-campus trial. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 41, 300-308; Swendeman, D. & Rotheram-Borus, M. J. (2011). Innovation in sexually transmitted disease and HIV prevention: Internet and mobile phone delivery vehicles for global diffusion. Current Opinions in Psychiatry, 23, 139-144.

³⁹https://www.cmich.edu/ess/studentaffairs/SAPA/Programs/Pages/no_zebras.aspx

⁴⁰ www.csulb.edu/colleges/cla/departments/communicationstudies/interact

http://vpva.rutgers.edu/scream-theater-and-scream-athletes

⁴² Ahrens, C. E., Rich, M. D., & Ullman, J. B. (2011). Rehearsing for real life: The impact of the interACT sexual assault prevention program on self-reported likelihood of engaging in bystander interventions. Violence Against Women, 17, 760-776.

⁴³ McMahon, personal communication, 2013.

⁴⁴ Cares, A. C. (2012). *Teaching about Criminal Victimization: Guidelines for Faculty*. Lowell, MA: University of Massachusetts http://www.uml.edu/docs/Faculty%20Guidelines FINAL SEP2012 tcm18-60976.pdf

⁴⁵ Nation, M., Crusto, C., Wandersman, A., Kumpfer, K. L., Seybolt, D., Morrisey-Kane, E., & Davino, K. (2003). What works in prevention: Principles of effective prevention programs. American Psychologist, 58, 449-456.

⁴⁶ Banyard, V., Potter, S. J., Cares, A. C., Williams, L. M., Moynihan, M. M., & Stapleton, J. G. (unpublished manuscript). Bystander interventions to prevention sexual violence on diverse campuses: A test of multiple prevention tools.

⁴⁷ Taylor, B. G., Stein, N D., Mumford, E. A., & Woods, D. (2013). Shifting boundaries: An experimental evaluation of a dating violence prevention program in middle schools. Prevention Science, 14, 64-76.

⁴⁸ Opinion Research Corporation, accessed from www.loveisnotabuse.com/itstimetotalk.

⁴⁹ Knowledge Networks (2011). College Dating Violence and Abuse Poll. Accessed from

https://www.breakthecycle.org/college- dating-violence-and-abuse-poll

50 Bennett, S., Banyard, V. L., Garnhart, L. (under review) To act or not to act, That is the question?: Barriers and facilitators of bystander intervention.

⁵¹ Hamby, S., Weber, M., Grych, J. & Banyard, V. (under review). What Difference Do Bystanders Make? The Association of Victim Outcomes with Bystander Involvement In a Community Sample. Psychology of Violence.