1 2 AUG 1980

Honorable T. L. Harville Jim Wells County Judge 200 North Almond Street Alice, Texas 78332

Dear Judge Harville:

This is in reference to the February, 1980, redistricting plan for Jim Wells County, Texas, submitted to the Attorney General pursuant to Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended. Your submission was completed on June 13, 1980.

We have analyzed carefully the materials contained in your submission, data obtained from the Bureau of the Census and comments from other interested persons. Our analysis reveals that while the proposed plan adequately deals with some of the concerns we had in the previously submitted plan, the plan continues to dilute the voting strength of the minority concentration that exists in the southern portion of the City of Alice by distributing those voters among all four commissioner precincts. On the other hand, it appears that a number of plans were available to the Commissioners Court that would not have had that effect. The adoption of a plan that would maintain Mexican-American voting strength at a minimum level, where alternative options would provide a fairer chance for minority representation, is relevant to the question of an impermissible racial purpose in its adoption (see Wilkes County v. United States, 450 F. Supp. 1171 (D.D.C. 1978), aff'd 439 U.S. 999; see also, 28 C.F.R. 51.19)), particularly where, as here, the plan was drawn with no significant input from the affected minority group.

Under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act the submitting authority has the burden of proving that a submitted change has no discriminatory purpose or effect. See, e.g., <u>Georgia</u> v. <u>United States</u>, 411 U.S. 526 (1973); 28 C.F.R. 51.19. In light of the considerations discussed above, I cannot conclude, as I must under the Voting Rights Act, that that burden has been sustained in this instance. Therefore, on behalf of the Attorney General, I must object to the submitted change.

Of course, as provided by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act, you have the right to seek a declaratory judgment from the United States District Court for the District of Columbia that this change neither has the purpose nor will have the effect of denying or abridging the right to vote on account of race, color, or membership in a language minority group. In addition, the Procedures for the Administration of Section 5 (28 C.F.R. 51.21(b) and (c), 51.23, and 51.24) permit you to request the Attorney General to reconsider the objection. However, until the objection is withdrawn or the judgment from the District of Columbia Court obtained, the effect of the objection by the Attorney General is to make the redistricting plan for Jim Wells County, Texas, legally unenforceable.

To enable this Department to meet its responsibility to enforce the Voting Rights Act, please inform us within twenty days of your receipt of this letter of the course of action the Jim Wells County Commissioners Court plans to take with respect to this matter. If you have any questions concerning this letter, please feel free to call Ms. Elda Gordon (202--724-7403) of our staff, who has been assigned to handle this submission.

Sincerely,

JAMES P. TURNER Acting Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division